AGENDA HIGHLIGHTS

- FY 2011 TransNet AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT REVENUE REVISIONS AND FY 2012 TO FY 2016 TRANSIT REVENUE ESTIMATES
- FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FEDERAL FY 2010 FOR JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE AND NEW FREEDOM PROGRAMS AND FY 2012 AND FY 2013 TransNet SENIOR MINI-GRANT PROJECTS
- SAN DIEGO REGION AGGREGATE SUPPLY STUDY

PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES DURING THE MEETING

YOU CAN LISTEN TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING BY VISITING OUR WEB SITE AT WWW.SANDAG.ORG
Welcome to SANDAG. Members of the public may speak to the Board of Directors on any item at the time the Board is considering the item. Please complete a Speaker’s Slip, which is located in the rear of the room, and then present the slip to the Clerk of the Board seated at the front table. Also, members of the public are invited to address the Board on any issue under the agenda item entitled Public Comments/Communications/Member Comments. Public speakers are limited to three minutes or less per person. The Board of Directors may take action on any item appearing on the agenda.

This agenda and related staff reports can be accessed at www.sandag.org under Meetings on the SANDAG Web site. Public comments regarding the agenda can be forwarded to SANDAG via the e-mail comment form also available on the Web site. E-mail comments should be received no later than 12 noon, two working days prior to the Board of Directors meeting. Any handouts, presentations, or other materials from the public intended for distribution at the Board of Directors meeting should be received by the Clerk of the Board no later than 12 noon, two working days prior to the meeting.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), SANDAG will accommodate persons who require assistance in order to participate in SANDAG meetings. If such assistance is required, please contact SANDAG at (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting. To request this document or related reports in an alternative format, please call (619) 699-1900, (619) 699-1904 (TTY), or fax (619) 699-1905.

SANDAG offices are accessible by public transit. Phone 511 or see 511sd.com for route information.
BOARD OF DIRECTORS AGENDA
Friday, February 25, 2011

ITEM #   RECOMMENDATION

+1. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES
   +A. JANUARY 14, 2011, BOARD POLICY MEETING MINUTES
   +B. JANUARY 28, 2011, BOARD BUSINESS MEETING MINUTES
   APPROVE

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/MEMBER COMMENTS

Public comments under this agenda item will be limited to five public speakers. Members of the public shall have the opportunity to address the Board on any issue within the jurisdiction of SANDAG that is not on this agenda. Other public comments will be heard during the items under the heading “Reports.” Anyone desiring to speak shall reserve time by completing a “Request to Speak” form and giving it to the Clerk of the Board prior to speaking. Public speakers should notify the Clerk of the Board if they have a handout for distribution to Board members. Public speakers are limited to three minutes or less per person. Board members also may provide information and announcements under this agenda item.

+3. ACTIONS FROM POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEES
   APPROVE

This item summarizes the actions taken by the Borders Committee on January 28, by the Executive and Regional Planning Committees on February 11, and the Transportation Committee on February 18, 2011.

CONSENT (4 through 10)

+4. TransNet REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION CONGESTION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM FEE ADJUSTMENT (Marney Cox and Ariana zur Nieden)*
   APPROVE

The TransNet Extension Ordinance requires that the Regional Transportation Congestion Improvement Program transportation mitigation fee charged by local jurisdictions be adjusted every year on July 1 in order to maintain the purchasing power of the program for improvements to the Regional Arterial System. The Board of Directors is asked to approve a 2 percent adjustment to the Regional Transportation Congestion Improvement Program, raising the minimum fee from $2,081 to $2,123 beginning July 1, 2011.

+5. FY 2010 AUDITED COMPREHENSIVE ANNUAL FINANCIAL REPORT (Lauren Warrem)*
   INFORMATION

In accordance with SANDAG Bylaws, the FY 2010 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) audit has been completed and is presented for informational purposes. Additionally, the attached report summarizes the communications from the independent auditor to the governing body, in compliance with the Statement of Auditing Standards 114. Copies of the CAFR will be distributed to each member agency.
+6. QUARTERLY INVESTMENT REPORT - PERIOD ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2010 (Lisa Kondrat-Dauphin)*

The SANDAG Investment Policy requires that the Board of Directors be provided a quarterly report of investments held by SANDAG. This report includes all money under the direction or care of SANDAG as of December 31, 2010.

+7. QUARTERLY PROGRESS REPORT ON TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS - OCTOBER TO DECEMBER 2010 (José A. Nuncio)*

This quarterly report summarizes the current status of major highway, transit, arterial, traffic management, and transportation demand management projects in the SANDAG five-year Regional Transportation Improvement Program for the period October to December 2010.

+8. REPORT SUMMARIZING DELEGATED ACTIONS TAKEN BY EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR (Lauren Warrem)*

In accordance with SANDAG Board Policy Nos. 003 (Investment Policy), 017 (Delegation of Authority), and 024 (Procurement and Contracting-Construction), this report summarizes certain delegated actions taken by the Executive Director since the last Board of Directors meeting.

+9. REPORTS ON MEETINGS AND EVENTS ATTENDED ON BEHALF OF SANDAG (Kim Kawada)

Board members will provide brief reports orally or in writing on external meetings and events attended on behalf of SANDAG since the last Board of Directors meeting.

+10. APPOINTMENT OF POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERS (Kim Kawada)

This item summarizes the voting and advisory members appointed to the Executive, Transportation, Regional Planning, Borders, and Public Safety Committees as well as the Committee Chairs and Vice Chairs appointed by the SANDAG Chair.

**REPORTS (11 through 14)**

+11. FY 2011 TransNet AND TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT REVENUE REVISIONS AND FY 2012 TO FY 2016 TRANSIT REVENUE ESTIMATES (First Vice Chair Jack Dale, Transportation Committee Chair; Sookyung Kim and Marney Cox)*

By March 1 of each year, SANDAG provides revenue estimates for the upcoming fiscal year as well as a projection for the next four fiscal years to allow the transit and local agencies to plan for capital projects and to determine operating subsidies. The Transportation Committee recommends that the Board of Directors: (1) approve the revision to the FY 2011 TransNet revenue estimate; (2) accept the revision to the FY 2011 Transportation
Development Act (TDA) revenue estimate while maintaining the current apportionment; (3) approve the FY 2012 allocations for TransNet and State Transit Assistance and the apportionments for TDA and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) programs; and (4) approve the transit revenue estimates for FY 2013 to FY 2016 for TDA, FTA, and TransNet programs.

+12. **FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FEDERAL FY 2010 FOR JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE AND NEW FREEDOM PROGRAMS AND FY 2012 AND FY 2013 TransNet SENIOR MINI-GRANT PROJECTS** (First Vice Chair Jack Dale, Transportation Committee Chair; Danielle Kochman)*

SANDAG is responsible for administering the federal Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) and New Freedom programs, including the distribution of funds through a competitive selection process. Similarly, SANDAG also is responsible for distributing Senior Mini-Grant funds through a competitive process as required by the TransNet Extension Ordinance. The Transportation Committee recommends that the Board of Directors approve the JARC, New Freedom, and TransNet Senior Mini-Grant Projects proposed for selection as shown in Attachment 1.

+13. **SAN DIEGO REGION AGGREGATE SUPPLY STUDY** (Laurie Berman, Caltrans District 11 Director; Richard Chavez and Cheryl Mason)

In collaboration with Caltrans, SANDAG received a Transportation Planning Special Studies grant to explore construction aggregate supply in the San Diego region. SANDAG prepared a report identifying issues surrounding aggregate and developed Geographic Information System and other tools that policymakers could use to help identify potential aggregate sources. Staff will present the highlights and major findings from this study for Board of Directors information.

14. **QUALITY OF LIFE FUNDING STRATEGY UPDATE** (Rob Rundle)*

The Quality of Life Ad Hoc Steering Committee and the Quality of Life Stakeholders Working Group have been meeting regularly to provide input to the SANDAG Board of Directors on the development of a Quality of Life funding strategy. An update will be provided on the work that has been accomplished to date.

15. **CONTINUED PUBLIC COMMENTS**

If the five speaker limit for public comments was exceeded at the beginning of this agenda, other public comments will be taken at this time. Subjects of previous agenda items may not again be addressed under public comment.

+16. **CLOSED SESSION: CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL**

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(b)
Three potential claims regarding Interstate 15 (Julie Wiley)
+17. CLOSED SESSION – CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL - PURSUANT TO GOVERNMENT CODE SECTIONS 54956.9(b) AND 54956.8

One Potential Case - In re South Bay Expressway, L.P. and California Transportation Ventures, Inc., Case No. 10-04516 (Claims by debtor in bankruptcy) (Julie Wiley)

Property: State Route 125 Toll Road
SANDAG Negotiators: Gary L. Gallegos, Julie Wiley, Marney Cox
Property Owner Negotiators: South Bay Expressway, LP
Under Negotiation: Price and terms of payment for subject property

18. UPCOMING MEETINGS

The next Board Policy meeting is scheduled for Friday, March 11, 2011, at 10 a.m. The next Board Business meeting is scheduled from Friday, March 25, 2011, at 9 a.m.

19. ADJOURNMENT

+ next to an agenda item indicates an attachment
* next to an agenda item indicates a San Diego County Regional Transportation Commission item
FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FEDERAL FY 2010 FOR JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE AND NEW FREEDOM PROGRAMS AND FY 2012 AND FY 2013 TransNet SENIOR MINI-GRANT PROJECTS

File Number 3320200

Introduction

SANDAG manages three competitive grant programs for capital and operating transportation projects and services: the Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) program aimed at transportation for reverse commuters and work-related transportation for persons of limited means; the New Freedom program that is focused on transportation for persons with disabilities; and the Senior Mini-Grant program that funds specialized transportation services for senior citizens. The JARC and New Freedom programs are funded by the Federal Transit Administration, and the Senior Mini-Grant is funded by TransNet.

All three programs require that SANDAG conduct a competitive selection process to distribute the funds. Eligible applicants include private nonprofit organizations, local jurisdictions, private and public transportation operators, and the Consolidated Transportation Services Agency. SANDAG recently completed the competitive selection process for all three programs and is bringing forward a recommended list of potential projects to be funded. All projects selected for funding must be derived from the priorities identified in the 2010-2014 Coordinated Public Transit and Human Services Transportation Plan that was adopted by the SANDAG Board of Directors on October 22, 2010.

Discussion

Competitive Selection Process

A call for projects for all three programs was issued on August 13, 2010, and closed on November 12, 2010. Project submittals for all three programs were evaluated and ranked by external evaluation committees using the Senior Mini-Grant criteria approved by the Transportation Committee on April 2, 2010, and the JARC and New Freedom criteria approved by the Transportation Committee on June 18, 2010. The evaluation committees were made up of experts in the field of specialized transportation, including transportation consultants, staff from social service transportation providers, and other regional transportation planning agencies. This ranked list was then turned into funding recommendations based on the amount of funding projected to be available. The Project Evaluation Criteria is provided as Attachment 2.
Because funding levels are based on estimates from the federal government and local sales tax revenues at the time of the call for projects, the actual amount of funding available at the time funding is disbursed to project recipients may differ from the estimate. If the amount available is lower than the estimated amount, adjustments will either be made to the lowest ranked project(s) being funded, or distributed among all successful applicants. If the actual amount available is higher than the amount apportioned, any unallocated monies will be rolled over into future funding cycles. Each grant program requires a matching contribution from the grantee, depending on the type of project.

**Funding Recommendations**

**JARC and New Freedom Programs**

The Governor of California selected SANDAG to be the agency responsible for awarding federal JARC and New Freedom grants in San Diego County. These two programs are funded from the Safe, Accountable, Flexible Efficient, Transportation Act – Legacy for Users (SAFETEA-LU). The Federal Register announcing the federal fiscal year apportionments, including JARC and New Freedom, were published in February and May of 2010. The competitive process was conducted in order to award grants for this single year of funding.

A total of 13 applications were received requesting more than $2.32 million in federal FY 2010 funds for JARC, and 13 applications requesting more than $2.02 million were received under New Freedom (Attachment 1). Examples of eligible projects include transit routes that service reverse commuters and work trips for persons of limited means, travel training programs, volunteer driver and aide programs, paratransit, the brokerage of multijurisdictional transportation services, and capital projects, such as purchasing vehicles and supporting accessible taxi programs.

The funding recommendations are outlined in Attachment 1. For the JARC program, a total of $1.78 million is available for distribution after deducting ten percent for SANDAG’s grant administration allowance. This funding was sufficient to fully fund ten grant applications, and partially fund an eleventh project (discussions were held with this project applicant who subsequently submitted a revised project budget to align with the remaining funding available). For the New Freedom program, a total of $940,444 is available for distribution, after deducting the ten percent SANDAG grant administration allowance. An additional $51,452 is available from a prior year allocation for a project that was not implemented, resulting in a total of $991,896 for New Freedom projects. The recommendation is to fully fund seven New Freedom projects and partially fund an eighth project.

**TransNet Senior Mini-Grant Program**

The Transportation Committee approved a process and criteria for selecting eligible projects for FY 2012 and FY 2013 funding under the TransNet Senior Mini-Grant Program on April 2, 2010. Based on TransNet revenue estimates proposed to be revised by the Board of Directors this month (see Agenda No. 11), a total of $1,169,026 in FY 2012 and $1,233,394 in FY 2013 is projected to be available through this program. The amount available for grant awards is $1,127,026 in FY 2012 and $1,191,394 in FY 2013, after deducting $42,000 for SANDAG project oversight costs each year.

Seventeen applications were received requesting more than $1.79 million in FY 2012 funds and $1.84 million in FY 2013. A total of 12 projects are recommended for funding over the two-year period. A description of each Senior Mini-Grant project submitted and the funding recommendation are provided in Attachment 1. Eligible projects may use Senior Mini-Grant funds
for capital or operating costs of services and facilities that improve mobility for seniors. Examples of eligible projects include senior shuttles, travel training programs, volunteer driver and aide programs, paratransit, the brokerage of multijurisdictional transportation services, and capital projects, such as purchasing vehicles and supporting accessible taxi programs.

At its meeting on February 9, 2011, the Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee (ITOC) was asked to discuss the recommended grant proposals in relation to their consistency with the TransNet Extension Ordinance and Senior Mini-Grant program requirements. The ITOC concurred with all of the Senior Mini-Grant proposals with the exception of the North County Transit District proposal for Mobility/Travel Training (Rank #12, as shown in Attachment 1). The aim of the program is to train individuals who might otherwise use expensive paratransit services on how to use fixed-route bus and rail services. The concerns raised by ITOC related to the cost-efficiency of the project, specifically the number of people being trained and the level of follow-up to see if people trained were riding fixed-route services. In calendar year 2010, 440 people were trained through this program, and based on a survey done with trainees as a six month follow-up, nearly 70 percent of people trained now use fixed-route public transit. By training these individuals to utilize fixed-route transit, it helps shift passengers away from the much more costly paratransit services, which ultimately leads to cost savings. The NCTD Executive Director has indicated that this would be the transit agency’s last year of applying for these funds for the Mobility/Travel Training program.

**Next Steps**

If the funding allocations outlined in Attachment 1 are approved by the Board of Directors, the selected projects would be amended into the 2010 Regional Transportation Improvement Program at the earliest opportunity, which allows agencies to submit the required grant applications for the two federal programs. In addition, Notices of Award would be sent to the selected grantees, and it is anticipated that grant agreements would be issued to grantees in summer 2011. The approved projects also would be included in the SANDAG Budget. Periodic progress reports on the JARC, New Freedom, and TransNet Senior Mini-Grants projects will be provided to the Transportation Committee and to the ITOC (for TransNet Senior Mini-Grants only).

---

GARY L. GALLEGOS  
Executive Director


Key Staff Contact: Danielle Kochman, (619)699-1921, dko@sandag.org
# NEW FREEDOM PROJECT DESCRIPTIONS, EVALUATION, AND GRANT REQUESTS

## New Freedom Project Descriptions and Rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank*</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req'd Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jewish Family Services (JFS)</td>
<td>JFS is proposing to continue the volunteer driver program called &quot;Rides &amp; Smiles.&quot; This program offers personal transportation to seniors and individuals with disabilities in the University Community area of San Diego County. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010- $89,855</td>
<td>FFY 2010- $89,855</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>City of La Mesa</td>
<td>Rides4Neighbors: This volunteer driver service would provide elderly and disabled residents with transportation assistance for medical, essential shopping, personal business, and other community transportation needs, both within and outside La Mesa City limits. The programs staff recruits, screens, and trains volunteer drivers, who will receive mileage reimbursement for the use of their own personal vehicles. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $116,462</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $116,462</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Full Access to Coordinated Transportation (FACT)</td>
<td>MedAccessRide: This project is proposing to expand mobility management services by purchase of an accessible vehicle to provide medical transportation for persons with disabilities beyond the service area covered by American with Disabilities Act (ADA) paratransit, in North County Inland and North County Coastal areas. FACT will improve a ride scheduling center that is accessible through one phone number throughout the county. This centralized ride center will handle scheduling and dispatch and will employ the latest software and hardware technologies. Capital and Mobility Management funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $ 260,000</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $ 260,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank*</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Requested Grant $</td>
<td>Recommended Grant $</td>
<td>Req’d Match</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>FACT</td>
<td>MedRide: This service would provide transportation to seniors for accessing medical services (nonemergency) only, in view of the limited funds available. The service area will include regions in San Diego County that do not have adequate medical transportation options for seniors. Trip request will be reviewed by FACT mobility management staff who will try to direct request to an existing transportation system (bus, rail, ADA paratransit, or private transportation service); if existing transportation services are not available/appropriate, the request will be forwarded to the designated contracted service provider for the delivery of the trip. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $100,000</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $100,000</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>North County Transit District (NCTD)</td>
<td>This project proposes to increase access to key destinations for disabled veterans and active duty service members seeking medical care. The project would provide a shuttle service to two key locations. The first location is the Wounded Warrior Center and adjacent Navy Hospital on Camp Pendleton, and the second location is the new Veterans Administration Clinic in Oceanside. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $189,707</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $189,707</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yellow Cab</td>
<td>This project proposes to purchase and expand transportation capabilities by procuring four accessible taxicab vehicles for persons with disabilities to serve the areas of North County. This project will provide this service without the need for advanced reservations at no additional cost to the passenger. Capital funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $149,689</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $149,689</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Renewing Life</td>
<td>This project is proposing to expand its door-through-door transportation service to reach additional 400 low-income, disabled, and senior residents in the South Bay. In addition, this project also proposes to expand target population to serve domestic violence shelter clients with safety-focused transportation services to court meetings, counseling, and therapy sessions. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $50,000</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $50,000</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### New Freedom Project Descriptions and Rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank*</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req’d Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>NCTD - Travel Training</td>
<td>Mobility/Travel Training: This project proposes two training programs to help individuals learn how to use the NCTD Rider's Guide, its contents, and create and plan travel options. Independence is achieved through an individualized or group training where a trainee navigates the transit system on the BREEZE buses. Mobility Management funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $421,708</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $36,183</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding. Staff worked with NCTD to reduce the requested grant amount to align with remaining available funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Funded Project Subtotals:</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $1,377,421</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $991,896</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Projects Not Recommended For Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req’d Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>City Link Foundation</td>
<td>This project proposes to establish a subregional vehicle maintenance program to coordinate preventative and scheduled maintenance services for buses and vans that serve developmentally disabled individuals. Capital and Mobility Management funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $196,800</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Ocean Hills Country Club</td>
<td>This project proposes to meet the needs of door-to-door paratransit services for Ocean Hills Country Club residents of Oceanside and Vista. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $63,468</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sol Transportation</td>
<td>This project proposes to purchase two wheelchair accessible vehicles, to be used for the programs Rides4Neighbors in La Mesa and RIDEFACT in Ramona and the San Diego County Estates. With these vehicles, this project further proposes to expand paratransit services in areas currently not well served by public transit. Rides4Neighbors personnel communicate with Sol Transportation to schedule appointments for riders. Same day service is provided on availability; if a vehicle is not available at the required time, rider has to wait until it becomes available. Capital funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $61,606</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## New Freedom Project Descriptions and Rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank*</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req'd Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>All Congregations Together</td>
<td>This project proposes to expand the ComLink Transportation Services to fill the gaps in transportation options for individuals with limited means, seniors, and individuals with disabilities to include those restricted to using ADA paratransit services. This transportation service will be primarily available to individuals that reside in the urban and suburban areas of San Diego. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $127,311</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Accessible San Diego</td>
<td>Accessible Tourism Transportation Information Network: This project proposes a continuation of the mobility center providing access information to travelers, seniors, and veterans with disabilities. This project also proposes development and expansion of transportation solutions in areas with sufficient densities to support coordinated services based on gaps in services and to conduct the Accessible Tourism Transportation Information Network strategic plan a blueprint success. Mobility Management funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $198,000</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unfunded Projects Subtotals: FFY 2010 - $647,185 FFY 2010 - $0

*Duplication of ranking represents a tie
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank*</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req’d Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) Route 905</td>
<td>This project proposes to maintain Route 905 service. Route 905 is a fixed-route bus service operated with standard coaches that connects the Iris Avenue Trolley Station to jobs in Otay Mesa. This project operates with a 15-minute frequency on weekdays (with a span of service 4:40 a.m. - 8 p.m.) and with a 60-minute frequency on Saturdays (with a span of service of 5:40 a.m. - 7 p.m.). Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010- $277,303</td>
<td>FFY 2010- $277,303</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MTS Route 960</td>
<td>This project proposes to maintain Route 960 service. Route 960 is a fixed-route express service operated with standard coaches and connects the residential areas of southeastern San Diego and City Heights to jobs in both Kearny Mesa and University City. It operates as peak-period express with eight northbound trips in the morning (two of those trips do not serve University City), and six return trips in the afternoon. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $160,820</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $160,820</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MTS Route 30</td>
<td>Route 30 weekend service: Route 30 is an existing route connecting the residential areas of downtown San Diego, Old Town/Midway, and Pacific Beach to jobs in both University City and Downtown. On weekdays, it operates with a 15-minute frequency, and on weekends it operates with 30-minute service all day. Weekend service was introduced in June 2006 in response to the 2003 Welfare to Work study prepared by SANDAG. The funds that have been requested are to fund existing service on Route 30 with no new service on this route proposed in the application. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $406,674</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $406,674</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank*</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Requested Grant $</td>
<td>Recommended Grant $</td>
<td>Req'd Match</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>North County Transit District (NCTD) - Valley Parkway</td>
<td>This project seeks to increase bus headway on Valley Parkway in Escondido to increase access to jobs both for local residents and for workers in businesses. The project proposes to increase peak bus service frequency on Valley Parkway from once per hour on weekdays to once every 30 minutes, and to increase weekend bus service from once every two hours to once per hour. This new higher level of service proposes to provide additional access to work-based trips for working populations below poverty line who live and or work in the Mission Park section of Escondido. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $42,484</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $42,484</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>NCTD - El Norte Parkway</td>
<td>This project proposes to introduce new bus service on El Norte Parkway, east of Broadway, in Escondido to increase access to jobs. This project further proposes to provide additional access to work-based trips for working populations below poverty level who currently have excessive walk distances to reach transit. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $87,243</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $87,243</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>St. Madeleine Sophie’s Center</td>
<td>This project proposes to purchase two (2) Class A, 8-passenger/3 wheelchair; two, two-way radios; and one printer (to replace existing underperforming printer). The program is proposing that the purchase of the two additional vehicles will increase vehicle availability; current vehicle reliability is lacking due to mechanical problems. Capital funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $91,098</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $91,098</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NCTD - 351/352</td>
<td>This project seeks to increase bus headways on routes 351 and 352 in East Escondido to increase access to jobs. The project proposes to provide additional access to work-based trips for working populations below poverty who live in the Mission Park section of Escondido by shortening the 351 and 352 routes and increasing bus headways from every 30 minutes to every 15 minutes in the peak and every 20 minutes in the off-peak on an existing route. The segment of the route removed will be dealt with by NCTD outside of this process. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $216,139</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $216,139</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank*</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Requested Grant $</td>
<td>Recommended Grant $</td>
<td>Req’d Match</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NCTD - SPRINTER</td>
<td>This project proposes to extend the current JARC project another year, which funds additional weekend SPRINTER trips tied to the increase of service to 30-minute frequency from 10 a.m. to 6 p.m. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $107,106</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $107,106</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>The IRC</td>
<td>This project is proposing to launch the Work Commute Options Program (WCOP), a new initiative aimed at reducing transportation-related barriers to self sufficiency for low-income families. The project will be housed in IRC’s Center for Financial Opportunity, and integrated into the Employment Career Development, Small Business, and Financial Education services currently offered. WCOP has a project design that includes classroom training, one-on-one assistance, accompanied educational bus trips, and an auto-loan product closely linked with a ride share component to encourage carpooling. Operating and Mobility Management funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $143,738</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $143,738</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>NCTD - Medical Jobs Shuttle</td>
<td>This project seeks to create a new shuttle connecting Nordahl SPRINTER station and Escondido SPRINTER station with jobs at Palomar Hospital, the new Palomar Pomerado Hospital on the west side of Escondido, as well as other medical and industrial park jobs on Escondido’s near west side. Operating funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $151,215</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $151,215</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## JARC Project Descriptions and Rankings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank*</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req'd Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>NCTD - Route 302</td>
<td>This project is proposing to improve access to employment sites in the Route 302 corridor for working populations below poverty who live in the Cities of Oceanside and Vista, as well as the other SPRINTER corridor communities of San Marcos and Escondido, by increasing service frequency to every 15 minutes during the peak periods and mid-day on weekdays. Operating funds are being requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $262,062</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $96,709</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding. Staff worked with NCTD to reduce the requested grant amount to align with remaining available funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>NCTD - Dial-A-Ride Northwest Escondido</td>
<td>This project seeks to create a Dial-A-Ride service to the public in northwest Escondido in an area roughly bordered on the south by State Route 78, on the east by Broadway, on the north by Country Club Lane, and on the west by Woodward Street in San Marcos. Dial-A-Ride proposes to connect residents of areas served to the larger NCTD network. The service will eliminate long walks to existing transit lines and create shorter overall travel for riders.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $127,908</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Full Access to Coordinated Transportation (FACT) (WorkRIDE)</td>
<td>The proposed project, WorkRIDE, will provide transportation from designated group homes, shelters, training centers, and other program sites to and from employment related destinations. The program will procure three vehicles (minivans), which will be leased to Sol transportation at no cost. The average trips are worth $34.50 each at market prices. 6,000 trips represent 80% of the annual capacity of the three vehicles; while the remaining 20% of the trips maybe general purpose trips performed at Sol's discretion. Operating and Capital funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $302,000</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $0</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req'd Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Funded Projects Subtotals</td>
<td>FY 2010 - $1,945,882</td>
<td>FY 2010 - $1,780,529</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Projects Not Recommended For Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req'd Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>NCTD - Dial-A-Ride Northwest Escondido</td>
<td>This project seeks to create a Dial-A-Ride service to the public in northwest Escondido in an area roughly bordered on the south by State Route 78, on the east by Broadway, on the north by Country Club Lane, and on the west by Woodward Street in San Marcos. Dial-A-Ride proposes to connect residents of areas served to the larger NCTD network. The service will eliminate long walks to existing transit lines and create shorter overall travel for riders.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $127,908</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $0</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Full Access to Coordinated Transportation (FACT) (WorkRIDE)</td>
<td>The proposed project, WorkRIDE, will provide transportation from designated group homes, shelters, training centers, and other program sites to and from employment related destinations. The program will procure three vehicles (minivans), which will be leased to Sol transportation at no cost. The average trips are worth $34.50 each at market prices. 6,000 trips represent 80% of the annual capacity of the three vehicles; while the remaining 20% of the trips maybe general purpose trips performed at Sol's discretion. Operating and Capital funds have been requested.</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $302,000</td>
<td>FFY 2010 - $0</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Unfunded Project Subtotals: FFY 2010 - $647,185

*Duplication of ranking represents a tie
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank*</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req’d Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>City of Vista</td>
<td>The City of Vista's Out &amp; About senior transportation program provides affordable, accessible, and flexible transportation throughout the community. This is accomplished through a senior shuttle service, volunteer mileage reimbursement service, and new taxi voucher component. The project will provide 10,843 one-way senior passenger trips, reimburse 35,000 miles to volunteer drivers, and distribute 1,800 discounted taxi vouchers to seniors in need.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $95,912&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $99,025&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $194,937</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $95,912&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $99,025&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $194,937</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Traveler’s Aid</td>
<td>SenioRide proposes to efficiently and effectively improve the mobility options of seniors throughout San Diego. SenioRide offers a selection of transportation options that consists of taxicab vouchers, door-to-door service reimbursement, and a volunteer driver program.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $108,982&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $111,315&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $220,297</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $108,982&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $111,315&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $220,297</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Full Access to Coordinated Transportation (FACT)</td>
<td>MedRide: The proposed service will provide seniors with a brokered transportation service that will select the appropriate transportation option for each senior based on their individual needs and qualifications. The service area will include regions in San Diego County that do not have adequate medical transportation options for seniors.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $200,000&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $200,000&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $400,000</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $200,000&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $200,000&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $400,000</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>ElderHelp</td>
<td>This program proposes to expand ElderHelp’s existing door-through-door volunteer driver program. This expansion would require hiring a full-time Transportation Coordinator, a part-time Volunteer Recruiter, a Bus Driver, continuing support of the ride coordination software, and more focused marketing and outreach.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $98,936&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $97,280&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $196,216</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $98,936&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $97,280&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $196,216</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>City of Oceanside</td>
<td>Solutions for Seniors on the Go is a senior transportation program that offers three levels of service: curb-to-curb taxi scrip subsidies, door-to-door shuttle services, and door-through-door volunteer driver services for nonemergency medical trips.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $198,300&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $0&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $198,300</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $198,300&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $0&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $198,300</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Jewish Family Services</td>
<td>Rides &amp; Smiles will continue to provide dependable, friendly, and personal door-through-door volunteer driver transportation services for seniors in need in both the North County Inland and College areas of the County, as well as expanding into the Tierrasanta area.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $184,590&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $196,160&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $380,750</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $184,590&lt;br&gt;FY 2013 - $196,160&lt;br&gt;TOTAL - $380,750</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Requested Grant $</td>
<td>Recommended Grant $</td>
<td>Req'd Match</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>FACT</td>
<td>MedAccessRide: This project proposes to expand mobility management services by increasing outreach to transportation service providers. Additionally, this project proposes to purchase an accessible vehicle that would then be loaned to a private transportation provider, who would in turn be required to utilize that vehicle to provide trips for individuals that call FACT and cannot be served by an already existing transportation program.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $9,000 FY 2013 - $56,000 TOTAL - $65,000</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $9,000 FY 2013 - $56,000 TOTAL - $65,000</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>City of La Mesa</td>
<td>Rides4Neighbors staff recruits, screens, and trains volunteer drivers who use their own vehicles to accommodate ride requests for eligible riders. The program has expanded to include communities east of La Mesa (El Cajon, Santee, Lakeside, Alpine, Lemon Grove, and Spring Valley). In addition to the volunteer driver program, Rides4Neighbors provides a discounted taxi voucher program and accessible shuttle service.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $116,462 FY 2013 - $173,838 TOTAL - $290,300</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $116,462 FY 2013 - $173,838 TOTAL - $290,300</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Friends of Adult Day Health Care</td>
<td>This project proposes to contract with the Poway Adult Day Health Care Center to use their buses and their staff to provide door-to-door and door-through-door transportation for those 60 and older who are enrolled in the Poway Center for medical trips during the day when the vehicles are not in use.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $103,974 FY 2013 - $120,054 TOTAL - $224,028</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $103,974 FY 2013 - $120,054 TOTAL - $224,028</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Redwood Senior Homes &amp; Services</td>
<td>This project proposes to continue Redwood Senior Homes &amp; Services &quot;Out &amp; About&quot; transportation program, a free community service that provides seniors access to shopping through a fixed weekly shopping shuttle, and also nonemergency medical appointments by reservation.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $78,218 FY 2013 - $86,038 TOTAL - $164,256</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $10,870 FY 2013 - $86,038 TOTAL - $96,908</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding. Staff worked with Redwood Senior Homes &amp; Services to reduce the requested grant amount to align with remaining available funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank*</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Requested Grant $</td>
<td>Recommended Grant $</td>
<td>Req’d Match</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Redwood Senior Homes &amp; Services</td>
<td>This project proposes to continue providing transportation under Redwood Senior Homes &amp; Services Senior Nutrition Program. This program provides transportation for frail seniors to bring them to the Joslyn Senior Center Monday through Friday where they are then served lunch.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $29,700 FY 2013 - $29,700 TOTAL - $59,400</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $0 FY 2013 - $29,700 TOTAL - $29,700</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding. Staff worked with Redwood Senior Homes &amp; Services to reduce the requested grant request amount to align with remaining available funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>North County Transit District (NCTD)</td>
<td>Mobility/Travel Training: This project proposes two training programs to help individuals learn how to use the NCTD Rider’s Guide, its contents, and create and plan travel options. Independence is achieved through an individualized or group training where a trainee navigates the transit system on the BREEZE buses.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $52,713 FY 2013 - $52,713 TOTAL - $105,427</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $0 FY 2013 - $21,984 TOTAL - $21,984</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is recommended for funding. Staff worked with NCTD to reduce the requested grant amount to align with remaining available funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Funded Project Subtotals: FY 2012 - $1,276,787 FY 2013 - $1,222,123 TOTAL - $2,498,911

Projects Not Recommended For Funding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Requested Grant $</th>
<th>Recommended Grant $</th>
<th>Req’d Match</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Peninsula Shepherd Senior Center</td>
<td>This project proposes to continue and expand Peninsula Shepherd Center’s transportation program serving Point Loma and surrounding areas. The four types of service proposed include a volunteer transportation and van shopping service, an urgent transportation program, in-depth assessments, and travel training.</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $63,560 FY 2013 - $68,044 TOTAL - $131,604</td>
<td>FY 2012 - $0 FY 2013 - $0 TOTAL - $0</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rank</td>
<td>Sponsor</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Requested Grant $</td>
<td>Recommended Grant $</td>
<td>Req'd Match</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 14   | ITN San Diego            | This project proposes to continue volunteer driver and accessible shuttle services and expand services to eight contiguous zip codes, including downtown San Diego 92101, Pacific Beach 92109, North Park 92104, and College 92115.                                                                                                           | FY 2012 - $124,560  
FY 2013 - $107,520  
TOTAL - $232,080 | FY 2012 - $0  
FY 2013 - $0  
TOTAL - $0                      | 20%          | All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 15   | Alpha Project            | This project proposes to increase and expand transportation options for highly vulnerable populations, including homeless, very-low income, and low-income seniors living in San Marco, Escondido, Oceanside, Vista, Carlsbad, Encinitas, Linda Vista, Spring Valley, La Mesa, Lemon Grove, National City, Chula Vista, City Heights, North Park, Logan Heights, Barrio Logan, and downtown San Diego.                                                                                                         | FY 2012 - $200,000  
FY 2013 - $200,000  
TOTAL - $400,000 | FY 2012 - $0  
FY 2013 - $0  
TOTAL - $0                      | 20%          | All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 16   | St. Paul’s Senior Home Services | The goal of the St. Paul’s PACE is to improve the quality of life for trail, low-income seniors by transporting them to the PACE Center where they will receive a broad range of medical and social services. The services they receive enable them to continue to live independently in the community with dignity and pride.                                                                                                        | FY 2012 - $125,000  
FY 2013 - $0  
TOTAL - $125,000 | FY 2012 - $0  
FY 2013 - $0  
TOTAL - $0                      | 20%          | All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 17   | Accessible San Diego     | This project proposes to continue the Accessible Tourism Transportation Information Network Senior Transportation Program, which provides information and referral services and coordination to expand the transportation options for seniors and people with disabilities who do not drive on their own.                                                                                  | FY 2012 - $0  
FY 2013 - $250,000  
TOTAL - $250,000 | FY 2012 - $0  
FY 2013 - $0  
TOTAL - $0                      | 20%          | All requirements were met for this project. Based on the scores of the evaluation committee, this project is not recommended for funding.                                                                                                                                                                                                              |

Unfunded Project Subtotals:  
FY 2012 - $513,120  
FY 2013 - $625,564  
TOTAL - $1,138,684  
FY 2012 - $0  
FY 2013 - $0  
TOTAL - $0

*Duplication of ranking represents a tie
Project Evaluation Criteria

NEW FREEDOM

Goals and Objectives (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

1. Will the project serve the appropriate population? Does the proposal provide pertinent demographic data and/or maps?
2. Will the proposed program increase or enhance the availability of transportation for individuals with disabilities?
3. To what extent is the proposed project consistent with the goals and objectives of the New Freedom program?

Operational/Implementation Plan (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

4. How thorough is the implementation plan? Does the proposal include project tasks, timelines, benchmarks, key milestones, key personnel, deliverables, and routes and schedules as applicable? Does the implementation plan and timeline seem feasible?
5. Does the applicant demonstrate the technical ability to manage the project? Has the applicant effectively implemented projects using federal or state funds in the recent past; has the applicant managed similar projects; has the applicant had sufficient experience in providing services for the targeted clientele? Does the agency have adequate staff to resources to handle the project? If applicable, are drivers properly trained? If applicable, does the agency display the ability to maintain vehicles?
6. Does this project relate to other services or facilities provided by the agency or firm? Does the implementation plan correspond with the project goals/objectives?

Program Effectiveness and Performance Indicators (5 points each; maximum 20 points)

7. Does the project make use of New Freedom funds in an efficient and cost-effective manner?
8. Does the proposal describe efforts to ensure the project’s cost-effectiveness (and other measurable units of service)? Will the project experience increasing efficiencies over time? If applying for a capital project, does the applicant demonstrate that the purchase is the most cost-effective product for the service being provided?
9. Does the proposal provide measurable performance indicators to measure and evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed project in meeting the identified goals? For capital-related projects, does the applicant establish milestones and methods for reporting the status of project delivery?
10. Does the applicant describe methodologies and procedures for ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the project or service?
Coordination and Program Outreach (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

11. Does the proposal describe how key stakeholders will remain involved and informed throughout the process? Did the applicant attach three letters of support from stakeholders to the grant application?

12. How thorough are the applicant’s proposed strategies for marketing the project and promoting public awareness?

13. To what extent does the project demonstrate coordination among various entities? **(5 point maximum - 1 point per type of coordination)**
   a.  Shared use of vehicles
   b.  Dispatching or scheduling
   c.  Maintenance
   d.  Back-up transportation
   e.  Staff training programs
   f.  Joint procurement of services and supplies
   g.  Active participation in local social service transportation planning process
   h.  Coordination of client trips with other transportation agencies

Sustainability (5 points each; maximum 10 points)

14. Does the applicant demonstrate a long-term commitment to the project to continue the effort beyond the availability of the requested grant resources? Is this applicant financial capable of sustaining operations after the initial grant funding is expended?

15. Does the applicant provide sufficient justification as to why New Freedom funding is needed for this project?

Innovation (5 points each; maximum 10 points)

16. Is the proposed project an innovative solution to addressing the need, and could the innovations be applied to other services in the region?

17. Are there elements of the project that are environmental sustainable (including the use of alternative fuels and clean air vehicles)?

Project Budget (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

18. Was a clearly defined budget submitted for the proposed project?

19. Does the project appear to be feasible as described?

20. Is the source of local share stable?
JOB ACCESS AND REVERSE COMMUTE (JARC)

Goals and Objectives (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

1. Will the project serve the appropriate population? Does the proposal provide pertinent demographic data and/or maps?
2. Will the proposed program increase or enhance the availability of transportation for low income individuals for job-related trips?
3. To what extent is the proposed project consistent with the goals and objectives of the JARC program?

Operational/Implementation Plan (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

4. How thorough is the implementation plan? Does the proposal include project tasks, timelines, benchmarks, key milestones, key personnel, deliverables, and routes and schedules as applicable? Does the implementation plan and timeline seem feasible?
5. Does the applicant demonstrate the technical ability to manage the project? Has the applicant effectively implemented projects using federal or state funds in the recent past; has the applicant managed similar projects; has the applicant had sufficient experience in providing services for the targeted clientele? Does the agency have adequate staff to resources to handle the project? If applicable, are drivers properly trained? If applicable, does the agency display the ability to maintain vehicles?
6. Does this project relate to other services or facilities provided by the agency or firm? Does the implementation plan correspond with the project goals/objectives?

Program Effectiveness and Performance Indicators (5 points each; maximum 20 points)

7. Does the project make use of JARC funds in an efficient and cost-effective manner?
8. Does the proposal describe efforts to ensure the project’s cost-effectiveness (and other measurable units of service)? Will the project experience increasing efficiencies over time? If applying for a capital project, does the applicant demonstrate that the purchase is the most cost-effective product for the service being provided?
9. Does the proposal provide measurable performance indicators to measure and evaluate the effectiveness of the proposed project in meeting the identified goals? For capital-related projects, does the applicant establish milestones and methods for reporting the status of project delivery?
10. Does the applicant describe methodologies and procedures for ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the project or service?
**Coordination and Program Outreach (5 points each; maximum 15 points)**

11. Does the proposal describe how key stakeholders will remain involved and informed throughout the process? Did the applicant attach three letters of support from stakeholders to the grant application?

12. How thorough are the applicant’s proposed strategies for marketing the project and promoting public awareness?

13. To what extent does the project demonstrate coordination among various entities? *(5 point maximum - 1 point per type of coordination)*
   a. Shared use of vehicles
   b. Dispatching or scheduling
   c. Maintenance
   d. Back-up transportation
   e. Staff training programs
   f. Joint procurement of services and supplies
   g. Active participation in local social service transportation planning process
   h. Coordination of client trips with other transportation agencies

**Sustainability (5 points each; maximum 10 points)**

14. Does the applicant demonstrate a long-term commitment to the project to continue the effort beyond the availability of the requested grant resources? Is this applicant financially capable of sustaining operations after the initial grant funding is expended?

15. Does the applicant provide sufficient justification as to why JARC funding is needed for this project?

**Innovation (5 points each; maximum 10 points)**

16. Is the proposed project an innovative solution to addressing the need, and could the innovations be applied to other services in the region?

17. Are there elements of the project that are environmentally sustainable (including the use of alternative fuels and clean air vehicles)?

**Project Budget (5 points each; maximum 15 points)**

18. Was a clearly defined budget submitted for the proposed project?

19. Does the project appear to be feasible as described?

20. Is the source of local share stable?
TransNet SENIOR MINI-GRANT PROGRAM

Goals and Objectives (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

1. Will the project serve the appropriate population? Does the proposal provide pertinent demographic data and/or maps?

2. Will the proposed program increase or enhance the availability of transportation for seniors?

3. To what extent is the proposed project consistent with the goals and objectives of the Senior Mini-Grant program?

Operational/Implementation Plan (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

4. How thorough is the implementation plan? Does the proposal include project tasks, timelines, benchmarks, key milestones, key personnel, deliverables, and routes and schedules as applicable? Does the implementation plan and timeline seem feasible?

5. Does the applicant demonstrate the technical ability to manage the project? Has the applicant effectively implemented projects using federal or state funds in the recent past; has the applicant managed similar projects; has the applicant had sufficient experience in providing services for the targeted clientele? Does the agency have adequate staff and resources to handle the project? If applicable, are drivers properly trained? If applicable, does the agency display the ability to maintain vehicles?

6. Does this project relate to other services or facilities provided by the agency or firm? Does the implementation plan correspond with the project goals/objectives?

Coordination and Program Outreach (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

7. Does the proposal describe how key stakeholders will remain involved and informed throughout the process? Does the proposal include three letters of support from stakeholders for the grant application?

8. How thorough are the applicants proposed strategies for marketing the project and promoting public awareness?

9. To what extent does the project demonstrate coordination among various entities?

(5 points maximum - 1 point per type of coordination)

a. Shared use of vehicles
b. Dispatching or scheduling
c. Maintenance
d. Back-up transportation
e. Staff training programs
f. Joint procurement of services and supplies
g. Active participation in local social service transportation planning process
h. Coordination of client trips with other transportation agencies
Performance Indicators (5 points each; maximum 15 points)

10. Cost Efficiency Indicator – Operating Cost in Dollars per Vehicle Service Hour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Proposed/1st year</th>
<th>2nd year</th>
<th>3rd year</th>
<th>4+ year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;70</td>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>&gt;60</td>
<td>&gt;55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>65&lt;x&lt;70</td>
<td>60&lt;x&lt;65</td>
<td>55&lt;x&lt;55</td>
<td>50&lt;x&lt;55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60&lt;x&lt;65</td>
<td>55&lt;x&lt;60</td>
<td>50&lt;x&lt;55</td>
<td>45&lt;x&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>≤60</td>
<td>≤55</td>
<td>≤50</td>
<td>≤45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

11. Cost Effectiveness Indicator – Operating Cost in Dollars per Passenger

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Proposed/1st year</th>
<th>2nd year</th>
<th>3rd year</th>
<th>4+ year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>&gt;32</td>
<td>&gt;29</td>
<td>&gt;26</td>
<td>&gt;23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>29&lt;x&lt;32</td>
<td>26&lt;x&lt;29</td>
<td>23&lt;x&lt;26</td>
<td>20&lt;x&lt;23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>26&lt;x&lt;29</td>
<td>23&lt;x&lt;26</td>
<td>20&lt;x&lt;23</td>
<td>17&lt;x&lt;20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>≤26</td>
<td>≤23</td>
<td>≤20</td>
<td>≤17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

12. Service Effectiveness Indicator – Passenger Utilization in Percentages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points</th>
<th>Proposed/1st year</th>
<th>2nd year</th>
<th>3rd year</th>
<th>4+ year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt;15</td>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>&lt;35</td>
<td>&lt;30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15&lt;x&lt;25</td>
<td>20&lt;x&lt;30</td>
<td>25&lt;x&lt;35</td>
<td>30&lt;x&lt;40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>25&lt;x&lt;35</td>
<td>30&lt;x&lt;40</td>
<td>35&lt;x&lt;45</td>
<td>40&lt;x&lt;50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>≥35</td>
<td>≥40</td>
<td>≥45</td>
<td>≥50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Program Effectiveness (5 points each; maximum 10 points)

13. Does the applicant describe methodologies and procedures for ongoing monitoring and evaluation of the project or service?

14. Is the grant request amount appropriate given the priority being addressed by the project?

Operational Sustainability (5 points maximum)

15. Does the applicant demonstrate a long-term commitment to the project to continue the effort beyond the availability of the requested grant resources? Is this applicant financially capable of sustaining operations after the initial grant funding is expended?

Innovation (5 points each; maximum 10 points)

16. Is the proposed project an innovative solution to addressing the need, and could the innovations be applied to other services in the region?

17. Are there elements of the project that are environmentally sustainable (including the use of alternative fuels and clean air vehicles)?
**Project Budget (5 points each; maximum 15 points)**

18. Was a clearly defined budget submitted for the proposed project?
19. Does the project appear to be feasible as described?
20. Is the source of local share stable?