

Scoring Criteria Matrix

Points calculated by SANDAG are marked with an asterisk (*) in the Scoring Matrix

NO.	CATEGORY	PTS	CRITERIA	PTS POSSIBLE	%
1. PROJECT CONNECTIONS AND SAFETY (24% of total points)					
A.	Regional Bike Network*	6	Project will directly connect to the Regional Bike Network	Up to 8	4%
		8	Project will construct part of the Regional Bike Network		
B.	Local Bike Network	8	Closes a gap between existing bike facilities	Up to 8	4%
C.	Existing Pedestrian Network	8	Closes a gap in the existing pedestrian network	Up to 8	4%
D.	Connection to Existing or Programmed Transit*	6	Bike improvement within 1.5 miles of a regional transit station	Up to 12	6%
		2	Pedestrian improvement within 0.25-mile of a local transit stop		
		4	Pedestrian improvement directly connects to a local transit stop		
		4	Pedestrian improvement within 0.5-mile of a regional transit station		
E.	Safety and Access Improvements	6	Completes connection in existing network at location with documented safety hazard or accident history (within the last seven years):	Up to 12	6%
		2	One to two correctable crashes involving nonmotorized users		
		4	Three to four correctable crashes involving nonmotorized users		
		6	Five or more correctable crashes involving nonmotorized users		
		6	Creates access or overcomes barriers in area where hazardous conditions prohibit safe access for people walking and biking.		
2. QUALITY OF PROPOSED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECT (20.5% of total points)					
A.	Project Impact and Effectiveness	Up to 5	How well will the proposed traffic calming address the identified need in the project area? Are the proposed solutions appropriate for the situation?	Up to 15	7.5%
		Up to 5	How well will the proposed pedestrian improvements address the identified need in the project area?		
		Up to 5	How well will the proposed bike improvements address the identified need in the project area?		
B.	Program Objectives		How well does the project meet the ATGP program objectives?	Up to 18	9%
C.	Innovation and Advanced Technologies		Is this project an Federal Highway Administration or state experimentation effort? Does the project use innovative solutions or propose solutions that are new to the region and can potentially serve as a replicable model? How well does the project advance mobility hub concepts and/or leverage advanced technologies that encourage multi-modal travel options in the proposed project area?	Up to 8	4%

TransNet Active Transportation Grant Program
Capital Grants

NO.	CATEGORY	PTS	CRITERIA	PTS POSSIBLE	%
3. SUPPORTIVE POLICIES AND PROGRAMS (6.5% of total points)					
A.	Complimentary Programs		Are capital improvements accompanied by supportive programs such as an awareness campaign, education efforts, and/or increased enforcement?	Up to 3	1.5%
B.	Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emission Reductions	1 1 Up to 8	The jurisdiction adopted a Climate Action Plan (CAP) on or before the application due date. The jurisdiction adopted a Complete Streets Policy (or the equivalent) on or before the application due date. How well does the proposed project directly reduce GHG emissions such as through implementation of a CAP, parking strategies, advanced technologies, or other strategies?	Up to 10	5%
4. DEMAND ANALYSIS (GIS)* (7.5% of total points)					
			Factors contributing to score: population and employment, population and employment densities, intersection density, vehicle ownership, and activity centers.	Up to 15	7.5%
5. PROJECT READINESS* (10% of total points)					
	Completion of Major Milestones	2 4 Up to 4 Up to 10	Neighborhood-level plan, corridor study, or community active transportation strategy. Environmental clearance Right-of-way acquisition Final design 30 percent design (3 points) 60 percent design (6 points) 90 percent design (9 points) Final design (10 points)	Up to 20	10%
6. EFFICIENT USE OF PROGRAM FUNDS* (3% of total points)					
			Points for efficient use of program funds are awarded based on dollar amount requested. Points are calculated separately for large-category and small-category projects. See point scales below.	Up to 6	3%
7. MATCHING FUNDS* (3.5% of total points)					
			Points for matching funds are awarded based on a scale with a matching fund percentage range of 0 percent to 48 percent and above. See point scale below.	Up to 7	3.5%
8. REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT – BOARD POLICY NO. 033 POINTS* (25% of total points)					
			Points are awarded per jurisdiction based upon the methodology adopted in Board Policy No. 033 .	Up to 50	25%
TOTAL PROJECT SCORE				200	100%

**TransNet Active Transportation Grant Program
Capital Grants**

Efficient Use of Program Funds: Maximum points = 6 points

Dollar Amount Requested	Points for ATGP Capital – Large Category
\$1,333,340 – \$1,500,000	1
\$1,166,672 – \$1,333,339	2
\$1,000,004 – \$1,166,671	3
\$833,336 – \$1,000,003	4
\$666,668 – \$833,335	5
\$500,000 – \$666,667	6

Dollar Amount Requested	Points for ATGP Capital – Small Category
\$416,668 – \$499,999	1
\$333,335 – \$416,667	2
\$250,001 – \$333,334	3
\$166,668 – \$250,000	4
\$83,335 – \$166,667	5
\$1 – \$83,334	6

Matching Funds Point Scale: Maximum points = 7 points

Match Fund Percentage	Points for ATGP Capital (both Large Category and Small Category)
0%	0
0.01 – 7.99%	1
8.00 – 15.99%	2
16.00 – 23.99%	3
24.00 – 31.99%	4
32.00 – 39.99%	5
40.00 – 47.99%	6
48.00% and above	7