

**SGIP Capital Final Scores (Sum of Ranks)**

PROJECT	Weight	Weighted Pts. Poss.	Escondido - Transit Center Active Transportation Connections	La Mesa - North Spring Street Smart Growth Corridor	Lemon Grove - Broadway/Olive Street Intersection Improvements	Lemon Grove - Construct Connect Main Street Segment	Lemon Grove - Lemon Grove Avenue Realignment	National City - N Avenue Improvements	National City - Westside Mobility Improvements	National City - Downtown Westside Wayfinding and Community Gateways	Oceanside - Seagaze Drive Downtown Mobility Project	City of San Diego/Civic San Diego - 14th Street Pedestrian Promenade Demonstration Block	City of San Diego - San Ysidro Wayfinding Signs	Solana Beach - Traffic Calming & AT Facilities for SB Transit Station TOD	Vista - Paseo Santa Fe Phase II	
<b>EVALUATOR 1</b>																
A2. Expedited Approval Process (4 Pts. Possible)	(1)	4	4.00	4.00	0.00	3.50	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	
E1. Urban Design /Community Context (6 Pts. Possible)	(2)	12	10.00	10.00	4.00	10.00	10.00	6.00	10.00	11.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	10.00	11.00	
2A. Support for Public Transit (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	25.00	25.00	20.00	20.00	25.00	20.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	22.50	25.00	25.00	
2B. Providing Transportation Choices (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	25.00	25.00	10.00	25.00	20.00	20.00	25.00	20.00	25.00	25.00	17.50	25.00	25.00	
2C. Community Enhancements (5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	20	18.00	18.00	8.00	20.00	20.00	12.00	20.00	20.00	16.00	20.00	20.00	18.00	18.00	
2D. Addressing Project Area Issues (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	15.00	9.00	12.00	12.00	15.00	12.00	15.00	12.00	15.00	
2E. Sustainability (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.00	
2F. Universal Design (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.50	2.00	2.00	
3B. Evidence of Local Commitment (2 Pts. Possible)	(6)	12	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	9.00	6.00	12.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			109.00	109.00	62.00	105.50	113.00	87.00	112.00	108.00	109.00	107.00	98.00	101.50	114.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			102.13	92.19	127.13	102.13	118.13	101.50	123.50	134.50	120.44	123.38	114.38	82.94	90.94	
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>211.13</b>	<b>201.19</b>	<b>189.13</b>	<b>207.63</b>	<b>231.13</b>	<b>188.50</b>	<b>235.50</b>	<b>242.50</b>	<b>229.44</b>	<b>230.38</b>	<b>212.38</b>	<b>184.44</b>	<b>204.94</b>	
<b>Rank</b>			<b>7</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>9</b>	
<b>EVALUATOR 2</b>																
A2. Expedited Approval Process (4 Pts. Possible)	(1)	4	3.00	4.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	2.50	4.00	4.00	1.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.50	
E1. Urban Design /Community Context (6 Pts. Possible)	(2)	12	6.00	8.00	3.00	5.00	10.00	7.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	8.00	9.00	10.00	11.00	
2A. Support for Public Transit (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	17.50	20.00	5.00	15.00	20.00	15.00	22.50	15.00	20.00	12.50	20.00	22.50	20.00	
2B. Providing Transportation Choices (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	17.50	22.50	10.00	12.50	17.50	12.50	20.00	20.00	20.00	17.50	20.00	22.50	25.00	
2C. Community Enhancements (5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	20	8.00	12.00	4.00	10.00	12.00	8.00	16.00	16.00	12.00	16.00	12.00	16.00	18.00	
2D. Addressing Project Area Issues (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	7.50	10.50	3.00	6.00	10.50	9.00	13.50	10.50	12.00	9.00	12.00	9.00	12.00	
2E. Sustainability (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	2.00	1.00	0.50	1.50	2.00	1.50	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	
2F. Universal Design (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	2.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	
3B. Evidence of Local Commitment (2 Pts. Possible)	(6)	12	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	6.00	9.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			75.50	92.00	33.00	63.50	89.00	62.50	100.00	88.50	89.00	82.00	89.50	88.50	100.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			102.13	92.19	127.13	102.13	118.13	101.50	123.50	134.50	120.44	123.38	114.38	82.94	90.94	
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>177.63</b>	<b>184.19</b>	<b>160.13</b>	<b>165.63</b>	<b>207.13</b>	<b>164.00</b>	<b>223.50</b>	<b>223.00</b>	<b>209.44</b>	<b>205.38</b>	<b>203.88</b>	<b>171.44</b>	<b>190.94</b>	
<b>Rank</b>			<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	
<b>EVALUATOR 3</b>																
A2. Expedited Approval Process (4 Pts. Possible)	(1)	4	3.00	1.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	1.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	1.00	4.00	
E1. Urban Design /Community Context (6 Pts. Possible)	(2)	12	6.00	8.00	8.00	2.00	10.00	4.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	12.00	6.00	6.00	10.00	
2A. Support for Public Transit (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	15.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	10.00	15.00	15.00	10.00	10.00	15.00	20.00	15.00	
2B. Providing Transportation Choices (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	10.00	15.00	10.00	5.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	10.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	20.00	25.00	
2C. Community Enhancements (5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	20	8.00	8.00	4.00	12.00	8.00	8.00	12.00	8.00	12.00	16.00	12.00	8.00	20.00	
2D. Addressing Project Area Issues (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	12.00	9.00	9.00	15.00	9.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	9.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	15.00	
2E. Sustainability (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	1.00	1.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	
2F. Universal Design (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
3B. Evidence of Local Commitment (2 Pts. Possible)	(6)	12	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	6.00	6.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			68.00	73.00	52.00	48.00	77.00	50.00	88.00	67.00	71.00	81.00	74.00	71.00	98.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			102.13	92.19	127.13	102.13	118.13	101.50	123.50	134.50	120.44	123.38	114.38	82.94	90.94	
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>170.13</b>	<b>165.19</b>	<b>179.13</b>	<b>150.13</b>	<b>195.13</b>	<b>151.50</b>	<b>211.50</b>	<b>201.50</b>	<b>191.44</b>	<b>204.38</b>	<b>188.38</b>	<b>153.94</b>	<b>188.94</b>	
<b>Rank</b>			<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>6</b>	
<b>EVALUATOR 4</b>																
A2. Expedited Approval Process (4 Pts. Possible)	(1)	4	4.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	
E1. Urban Design /Community Context (6 Pts. Possible)	(2)	12	10.00	12.00	8.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	10.00	12.00	12.00	
2A. Support for Public Transit (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	25.00	25.00	15.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	25.00	
2B. Providing Transportation Choices (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	25.00	25.00	
2C. Community Enhancements (5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	20	20.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	16.00	16.00	20.00	20.00	
2D. Addressing Project Area Issues (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	12.00	15.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	15.00	9.00	
2E. Sustainability (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	
2F. Universal Design (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	
3B. Evidence of Local Commitment (2 Pts. Possible)	(6)	12	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			112.00	117.00	69.00	110.00	108.00	111.00	117.00	116.00	115.00	105.00	97.00	106.00	111.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			102.13	92.19	127.13	102.13	118.13	101.50	123.50	134.50	120.44	123.38	114.38	82.94	90.94	
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>214.13</b>	<b>209.19</b>	<b>196.13</b>	<b>212.13</b>	<b>226.13</b>	<b>212.50</b>	<b>240.50</b>	<b>250.50</b>	<b>235.44</b>	<b>228.38</b>	<b>211.38</b>	<b>188.94</b>	<b>201.94</b>	
<b>Rank</b>			<b>6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>11</b>	
<b>EVALUATOR 5</b>																
A2. Expedited Approval Process (4 Pts. Possible)	(1)	4	4.00	3.00	2.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	3.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	3.00	4.00	
E1. Urban Design /Community Context (6 Pts. Possible)	(2)	12	10.00	12.00	4.00	6.00	11.00	11.00	12.00	12.00	8.00	10.00	8.00	8.00	12.00	
2A. Support for Public Transit (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	25.00	25.00	10.00	15.00	20.00	20.00	17.50	25.00	20.00	15.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
2B. Providing Transportation Choices (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	25.00	25.00	15.00	15.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	
2C. Community Enhancements (5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	20	20.00	20.00	4.00	12.00	16.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	16.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	
2D. Addressing Project Area Issues (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	9.00	12.00	6.00	6.00	12.00	15.00	15.00	12.00	15.00	10.50	12.00	9.00	15.00	
2E. Sustainability (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	
2F. Universal Design (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	
3B. Evidence of Local Commitment (2 Pts. Possible)	(6)	12	9.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	6.00	6.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			106.00	112.00	49.00	71.00	104.00	107.00	109.50	112.00	102.00	95.50	106.00	99.00	111.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			102.13	92.19	127.13	102.13	118.13	101.50	123.50	134.50	120.44	123.38	114.38	82.94	90.94	
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>208.13</b>	<b>204.19</b>	<b>176.13</b>	<b>173.13</b>	<b>222.13</b>	<b>208.50</b>	<b>233.00</b>	<b>246.50</b>	<b>222.44</b>	<b>218.88</b>	<b>220.38</b>	<b>181.94</b>	<b>201.94</b>	
<b>Rank</b>			<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	
<b>EVALUATOR 6</b>																
A2. Expedited Approval Process (4 Pts. Possible)	(1)	4	4.00	3.00												

**SGIP Capital Final Scores (Sum of Ranks)**

PROJECT	Weight	Weighted Pts. Poss.	Escondido - Transit Center Active Transportation Connections	La Mesa - North Spring Street Smart Growth Corridor	Lemon Grove - Broadway/Olive Street Intersection Improvements	Lemon Grove - Construct Connect Main Street Segment	Lemon Grove - Lemon Grove Avenue Realignment	National City - N Avenue Improvements	National City - Westside Mobility Improvements	National City - Downtown Westside Wayfinding and Community Gateways	Oceanside - Seagaze Drive Downtown Mobility Project	City of San Diego/Civic San Diego - 14th Street Pedestrian Promenade Demonstration Block	City of San Diego - San Ysidro Wayfinding Signs	Solana Beach - Traffic Calming & AT Facilities for SB Transit Station TOD	Vista - Paseo Santa Fe Phase II
<b>EVALUATOR 7</b>															
A2. Expedited Approval Process (4 Pts. Possible)	(1)	4	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	4.00	3.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	1.00	3.00
E1. Urban Design /Community Context (6 Pts. Possible)	(2)	12	6.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	10.00	6.00	12.00	4.00	10.00	12.00	6.00	2.00	12.00
2A. Support for Public Transit (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	15.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	10.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	10.00	20.00
2B. Providing Transportation Choices (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	15.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	20.00	10.00	25.00	15.00	20.00	20.00	15.00	5.00	20.00
2C. Community Enhancements (5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	20	8.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	16.00	12.00	16.00	8.00	20.00	20.00	12.00	4.00	16.00
2D. Addressing Project Area Issues (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	9.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	12.00	9.00	15.00	6.00	15.00	15.00	6.00	3.00	15.00
2E. Sustainability (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00
2F. Universal Design (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	2.00
3B. Evidence of Local Commitment (2 Pts. Possible)	(6)	12	12.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00
<b>Totals:</b>			69.00	42.00	41.00	41.00	87.00	66.00	108.00	53.00	100.00	102.00	70.00	33.00	102.00
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			102.13	92.19	127.13	102.13	118.13	101.50	123.50	134.50	120.44	123.38	114.38	82.94	90.94
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>171.13</b>	<b>134.19</b>	<b>168.13</b>	<b>143.13</b>	<b>205.13</b>	<b>167.50</b>	<b>231.50</b>	<b>187.50</b>	<b>220.44</b>	<b>225.38</b>	<b>184.38</b>	<b>115.94</b>	<b>192.94</b>
<b>Rank</b>			<b>8</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>EVALUATOR 8</b>															
A2. Expedited Approval Process (4 Pts. Possible)	(1)	4	3.00	4.00	1.00	2.00	4.00	1.00	1.00	2.00	3.00	2.00	2.00	3.00	4.00
E1. Urban Design /Community Context (6 Pts. Possible)	(2)	12	8.00	12.00	2.00	4.00	8.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	10.00	12.00
2A. Support for Public Transit (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	25.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	25.00	15.00	20.00	25.00	20.00
2B. Providing Transportation Choices (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	20.00	25.00	5.00	10.00	15.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	20.00	15.00	10.00	20.00	20.00
2C. Community Enhancements (5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	20	12.00	20.00	4.00	20.00	4.00	8.00	8.00	20.00	16.00	16.00	8.00	16.00	16.00
2D. Addressing Project Area Issues (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	12.00	15.00	6.00	9.00	12.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	12.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	12.00
2E. Sustainability (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00
2F. Universal Design (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	1.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00
3B. Evidence of Local Commitment (2 Pts. Possible)	(6)	12	0.00	12.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	0.00	6.00	12.00	6.00	12.00	0.00	0.00	12.00
<b>Totals:</b>			82.00	116.00	36.00	47.00	89.00	25.00	43.00	48.00	96.00	79.00	55.00	87.00	100.00
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			102.13	92.19	127.13	102.13	118.13	101.50	123.50	134.50	120.44	123.38	114.38	82.94	90.94
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>184.13</b>	<b>208.19</b>	<b>163.13</b>	<b>149.13</b>	<b>207.13</b>	<b>126.50</b>	<b>166.50</b>	<b>182.50</b>	<b>216.44</b>	<b>202.38</b>	<b>169.38</b>	<b>169.94</b>	<b>190.94</b>
<b>Rank</b>			<b>6</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>EVALUATOR 9</b>															
A2. Expedited Approval Process (4 Pts. Possible)	(1)	4	2.50	3.00	3.50	2.00	3.75	3.00	3.50	3.50	3.25	3.25	3.00	2.00	3.00
E1. Urban Design /Community Context (6 Pts. Possible)	(2)	12	6.50	8.50	6.00	8.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	8.00	9.00	9.50	8.50	9.50	10.00
2A. Support for Public Transit (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	17.50	21.25	11.25	12.50	15.00	12.50	13.75	12.50	17.50	17.50	16.25	15.00	20.00
2B. Providing Transportation Choices (5 Pts. Possible)	(5)	25	15.00	17.50	16.25	16.25	16.25	12.50	13.75	12.50	17.50	15.00	17.50	17.50	20.00
2C. Community Enhancements (5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	20	12.00	15.00	8.00	15.00	10.00	10.00	13.00	12.00	13.00	18.00	12.00	13.00	18.00
2D. Addressing Project Area Issues (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	7.50	9.00	6.00	12.00	9.00	8.25	6.00	6.00	11.25	12.00	9.75	7.50	13.50
2E. Sustainability (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	1.00	1.25	0.75	2.00	0.75	1.25	1.25	1.00	1.50	1.75	1.00	0.75	1.75
2F. Universal Design (2 Pts. Possible)	(1)	2	1.00	1.75	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.25	1.25	1.50	1.50	1.25	1.50	1.00	1.75
3B. Evidence of Local Commitment (2 Pts. Possible)	(6)	12	6.00	7.50	10.50	12.00	7.50	7.50	7.50	7.50	12.00	10.50	9.00	9.00	9.00
<b>Totals:</b>			69.00	83.75	70.00	74.75	74.25	62.00	70.75	64.50	86.50	88.75	75.25	97.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			102.13	92.19	127.13	102.13	118.13	101.50	123.50	134.50	120.44	123.38	114.38	82.94	90.94
<b>Total Score</b>			<b>171.13</b>	<b>175.94</b>	<b>197.13</b>	<b>176.88</b>	<b>192.38</b>	<b>163.50</b>	<b>194.25</b>	<b>199.00</b>	<b>206.94</b>	<b>212.13</b>	<b>192.88</b>	<b>158.19</b>	<b>187.94</b>
<b>Rank</b>			<b>11</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Sum of Ranks</b>															
<b>Evaluator 1</b>			7	10	11	8	3	12	2	1	5	4	6	13	9
<b>Evaluator 2</b>			9	8	13	11	4	12	1	2	3	5	6	10	7
<b>Evaluator 3</b>			9	10	8	13	4	12	1	3	5	2	7	11	6
<b>Evaluator 4</b>			6	10	12	8	5	7	2	1	3	4	9	13	11
<b>Evaluator 5</b>			8	9	12	13	4	7	2	1	3	6	5	11	10
<b>Evaluator 6</b>			5	9	12	13	2	8	4	1	3	7	6	11	10
<b>Evaluator 7</b>			8	12	9	11	4	10	1	6	3	2	7	13	5
<b>Evaluator 8</b>			6	2	11	12	3	13	10	7	1	4	9	8	5
<b>Evaluator 9</b>			11	10	4	9	7	12	5	3	2	1	6	13	8
<b>Total</b>			<b>69</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>98</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>61</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>71</b>
<b>Overall Rank</b>			<b>7</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>8</b>

# SGIP Capital

## Detailed Quantitative Scores

TOTAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE				183	102.13	92.19	127.13	102.13	118.13	101.50	123.50	134.50	120.44	123.38	114.38	82.94	90.94
No.	Category/Criteria	Points Possible	Weight	Total Weighted Points Possible	Escondido - Transit Connections	La Mesa - North Spring Street Smart Growth Corridor	Lemon Grove - Broadway/Olive Street Intersection Improvements	Lemon Grove - Construct Main Street Segment	National City - N Avenue Realignment	National City - Westside Mobility Improvements	Oceanside - Seagate Drive Downtown Wayfinding and Community Gateways	City of San Diego/Civic San Diego - 14th Street Pedestrian Promenade Demonstration Block	City of San Diego - San Ysidro Wayfinding Signs	Solana Beach - Traffic Calming & AT Facilities for SB Transit Station TOD	Vista - Paseo Santa Fe Phase II		
<b>1A. INTENSITY OF PLANNED DEVELOPMENT IN PROJECT'S SGOA</b>																	
A1.	Planned Densities Relative to SGOA Place Type Thresholds	6	(1)	6	3.00	4.00	6.00	0.00	2.00	6.00	5.00	5.00	4.00	6.00	0.00	4.00	5.00
<b>1B. EXISTING AND ENTITLED LAND DEVELOPMENT AROUND PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECT</b>																	
B1.	Existing Development Density within 1/4 mile radius of proposed capital project site	6	(1)	6	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	1.00
B2.	ENTITLED Development Density within 1/4 mile radius of proposed capital project site	6	(1)	6	3.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	3.00	5.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	4.00
B3.	Mix of Uses	3	(2)	6	4.00	4.00	6.00	2.00	4.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	4.00	4.00	6.00	4.00	6.00
B4.	New Uses	2	(1)	2	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	2.00
<b>1C. NEW AFFORDABLE HOUSING DEVELOPMENT</b>																	
C1.	New Affordable Housing	3	(2)	6	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.00
C2.	Low to Very Low income Housing Units	2	(1)	2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00
<b>1D. TRANSPORTATION CHARACTERISTICS</b>																	
D1.	Relation to Transit	12	(1)	12	12.00	12.00	10.00	12.00	10.00	0.00	12.00	12.00	8.00	10.00	12.00	12.00	0.00
D2.	Bicycle Facilities	2	(2)	4	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	4.00	4.00
D3.	Walkability	4	(2)	8	4.00	6.00	6.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	6.00	6.00	8.00	6.00	2.00	4.00	4.00
D4.	TDM Strategies	2	(2)	4	4.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	2.00
<b>3. PROJECT READINESS</b>																	
3A.	Major Milestones Completed	4	(5)	20	10.00	5.00	20.00	10.00	15.00	5.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	5.00	10.00
4.	COST EFFECTIVENESS	16	(1)	16	5.00	6.00	16.00	12.00	9.00	11.00	1.00	10.00	15.00	7.00	14.00	2.00	4.00
5.	MATCHING FUNDS	10	(1)	10	0.00	1.00	1.00	3.00	10.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	5.00
6.	REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT - POLICY NO. 033	75	(1)	75	53.13	42.19	53.13	53.13	53.13	62.50	62.50	62.50	48.44	59.38	59.38	35.94	35.94

**SGIP Planning Scores (Sum of Ranks)**

PROJECT	Weight	Weighted Pts. Poss.	El Cajon - Gillespie Field Aerotropolis	El Cajon - Transit Center Transit-Supportive Land Use and Mobility Plan	Imperial Beach - Palm Avenue Mixed Use and Commercial Corridor Plan West End Sector	Lemon Grove - ADA Transition Plan	Lemon Grove - Broadway Downtown Village Specific (DVSP) Expansion	Lemon Grove - Complete Streets Mobility Plan (Mobility Element Update)	Lemon Grove - Trolley Grade Separation Study	Lemon Grove - Massachusetts Station Specific Plan	National City - Downtown Specific Plan Update	Oceanside - Coastal Rail Trail Extension (Oceanside Blvd across Loma Alta Marsh)	City of San Diego/Civic San Diego - Sixth Avenue Bridge Promenade Feasibility and Conceptual Design	City of San Diego - Grantville Trolley Station/Alvarado Creek Enhancement Project	City of San Diego - Kearny Mesa Smart Growth Employment Area Plan	City of San Diego - Pacific Beach Greenways, Parks and Transit
<b>EVALUATOR 1</b>																
2. Smart Growth Development Potential (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	14.00	20.00	16.00	8.00	18.00	12.00	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00	16.00	20.00	16.00	18.00
3. Proposed Project Goals and Objectives (6.67 Pts. Possible)	(3)	20	16.50	19.50	16.50	6.00	18.00	9.00	16.50	16.50	19.50	18.00	13.50	19.50	12.00	12.00
4. Method to Accomplish Project Objectives (6 Pts. Possible)	(5)	30	27.50	30.00	25.00	20.00	25.00	15.00	22.50	22.50	27.50	27.50	25.00	30.00	22.50	25.00
5. Implementation (7 Pts. Possible)	(5)	35	30.00	32.50	32.50	15.00	27.50	32.50	25.00	25.00	30.00	32.50	30.00	35.00	20.00	25.00
6. Evidence of Local Commitment/Community Support (2.5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	10	6.00	10.00	8.00	8.00	10.00	6.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	2.00	10.00
<b>Totals:</b>			94.00	112.00	98.00	52.00	98.50	78.50	88.00	88.00	110.00	110.00	94.50	114.50	72.50	90.00
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			53.25	53.25	48.13	53.42	62.42	59.42	54.42	62.42	63.67	54.29	65.58	65.58	62.58	53.58
<b>Total Score</b>			147.25	165.25	146.13	105.42	160.92	137.92	143.92	150.42	173.67	160.29	160.08	180.08	135.08	143.58
<b>Rank</b>			8	3	9	14	4	12	10	7	2	5	6	1	13	11
<b>EVALUATOR 2</b>																
2. Smart Growth Development Potential (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	12.00	14.00	16.00	6.00	10.00	16.00	8.00	18.00	20.00	6.00	8.00	20.00	20.00	16.00
3. Proposed Project Goals and Objectives (6.67 Pts. Possible)	(3)	20	12.00	16.50	10.50	6.00	9.00	12.00	7.50	18.00	18.00	6.00	4.50	18.00	18.00	16.50
4. Method to Accomplish Project Objectives (6 Pts. Possible)	(5)	30	20.00	30.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	15.00	20.00	27.50	20.00	20.00	30.00	20.00	25.00
5. Implementation (7 Pts. Possible)	(5)	35	27.50	30.00	32.50	20.00	25.00	20.00	17.50	30.00	30.00	20.00	25.00	32.50	27.50	27.50
6. Evidence of Local Commitment/Community Support (2.5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	10	4.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	6.00	10.00	4.00	4.00	10.00	8.00	10.00	8.00	8.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			75.50	100.50	94.00	65.00	55.00	88.00	54.50	67.50	105.50	62.50	108.00	101.00	93.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			53.25	53.25	48.13	53.42	62.42	59.42	54.42	62.42	63.67	54.29	65.58	65.58	62.58	
<b>Total Score</b>			128.75	153.75	142.13	118.42	117.42	147.42	108.92	129.92	169.17	116.79	118.08	173.58	163.58	146.58
<b>Rank</b>			9	4	7	10	12	5	14	8	2	13	11	1	3	6
<b>EVALUATOR 3</b>																
2. Smart Growth Development Potential (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	12.00	16.00	16.00	12.00	20.00	12.00	20.00	16.00	18.00	14.00	20.00	16.00	8.00	16.00
3. Proposed Project Goals and Objectives (6.67 Pts. Possible)	(3)	20	18.00	19.50	15.00	9.00	12.00	9.00	15.00	12.00	16.50	12.00	6.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
4. Method to Accomplish Project Objectives (6 Pts. Possible)	(5)	30	20.00	25.00	20.00	10.00	20.00	20.00	15.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	27.50	25.00	27.50
5. Implementation (7 Pts. Possible)	(5)	35	25.00	30.00	30.00	10.00	25.00	25.00	5.00	30.00	30.00	15.00	30.00	30.00	20.00	25.00
6. Evidence of Local Commitment/Community Support (2.5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	10	6.00	8.00	8.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	4.00	4.00	8.00	6.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			81.00	98.50	94.00	48.00	79.00	79.00	59.00	70.00	97.50	72.00	89.00	96.50	72.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			53.25	53.25	48.13	53.42	62.42	59.42	54.42	62.42	63.67	54.29	65.58	65.58	62.58	
<b>Total Score</b>			134.25	151.75	142.13	101.42	132.42	138.42	113.42	132.42	161.17	126.29	154.58	162.08	134.58	145.08
<b>Rank</b>			10	5	7	14	4	8	13	11	2	12	3	1	9	6
<b>EVALUATOR 4</b>																
2. Smart Growth Development Potential (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	16.00	16.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	16.00	12.00	20.00	16.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
3. Proposed Project Goals and Objectives (6.67 Pts. Possible)	(3)	20	17.01	20.01	20.01	15.00	17.01	15.00	15.00	20.01	18.00	20.01	20.01	20.01	19.50	20.01
4. Method to Accomplish Project Objectives (6 Pts. Possible)	(5)	30	30.00	30.00	30.00	25.00	30.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	25.00
5. Implementation (7 Pts. Possible)	(5)	35	30.00	30.00	35.00	30.00	25.00	35.00	30.00	25.00	35.00	35.00	30.00	35.00	30.00	30.00
6. Evidence of Local Commitment/Community Support (2.5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	10	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	6.00	10.00	6.00	10.00	8.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	8.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			103.01	106.01	115.01	102.01	86.00	101.00	88.00	100.01	102.00	110.01	110.01	111.50	105.01	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			53.25	53.25	48.13	53.42	62.42	59.42	54.42	62.42	63.67	54.29	65.58	65.58	62.58	
<b>Total Score</b>			156.26	159.26	163.14	155.43	148.42	160.42	142.42	162.43	165.67	164.30	175.59	180.59	175.08	158.59
<b>Rank</b>			11	9	6	12	13	8	14	7	4	5	2	1	3	10
<b>EVALUATOR 5</b>																
2. Smart Growth Development Potential (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	20.00	20.00	20.00	12.00	20.00	20.00	16.00	20.00	20.00	14.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00
3. Proposed Project Goals and Objectives (6.67 Pts. Possible)	(3)	20	19.50	19.50	18.00	16.50	9.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	19.50	16.50	18.00	18.00	18.00	18.00
4. Method to Accomplish Project Objectives (6 Pts. Possible)	(5)	30	25.00	30.00	15.00	22.50	20.00	22.50	20.00	20.00	22.50	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00	25.00
5. Implementation (7 Pts. Possible)	(5)	35	30.00	30.00	20.00	25.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	30.00	30.00	30.00	27.50	25.00	25.00
6. Evidence of Local Commitment/Community Support (2.5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	10	8.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	10.00	8.00	8.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	10.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			102.50	109.50	83.00	86.00	77.00	86.00	76.00	80.00	102.00	101.50	100.50	96.00	98.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			53.25	53.25	48.13	53.42	62.42	59.42	54.42	62.42	63.67	54.29	65.58	65.58	62.58	
<b>Total Score</b>			155.75	162.75	131.13	139.42	139.42	156.92	130.42	142.42	165.67	164.30	175.59	166.08	158.58	151.58
<b>Rank</b>			7	4	13	11	11	6	14	10	3	9	1	2	5	8
<b>EVALUATOR 6</b>																
2. Smart Growth Development Potential (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	16.00	16.00	16.00	8.00	20.00	12.00	8.00	16.00	16.00	8.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	16.00
3. Proposed Project Goals and Objectives (6.67 Pts. Possible)	(3)	20	15.00	18.00	15.00	9.00	15.00	15.00	6.00	12.00	15.00	12.00	9.00	9.00	15.00	15.00
4. Method to Accomplish Project Objectives (6 Pts. Possible)	(5)	30	25.00	25.00	20.00	15.00	20.00	15.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	20.00	15.00	20.00
5. Implementation (7 Pts. Possible)	(5)	35	20.00	30.00	25.00	25.00	20.00	20.00	10.00	20.00	25.00	30.00	25.00	25.00	15.00	25.00
6. Evidence of Local Commitment/Community Support (2.5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	10	6.00	6.00	10.00	8.00	6.00	10.00	6.00	8.00	8.00	10.00	6.00	6.00	6.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			82.00	95.00	86.00	65.00	86.00	72.00	40.00	71.00	84.00	80.00	79.00	63.00	86.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			53.25	53.25	48.13	53.42	62.42	59.42	54.42	62.42	63.67	54.29	65.58	65.58	62.58	
<b>Total Score</b>			135.25	148.25	134.13	118.42	148.42	131.42	94.42	133.42	147.67	144.58	137.58	125.58	139.58	
<b>Rank</b>			7	2	9	13	1	11	14	10	3	8	4	6	12	5
<b>EVALUATOR 7</b>																
2. Smart Growth Development Potential (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	12.00	20.00	16.00	0.00	16.00	12.00	4.00	8.00	16.00	12.00	8.00	8.00	12.00	4.00
3. Proposed Project Goals and Objectives (6.67 Pts. Possible)	(3)	20	15.00	20.01	18.00	0.00	18.00	12.00	3.00	6.00	18.00	9.00	9.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
4. Method to Accomplish Project Objectives (6 Pts. Possible)	(5)	30	25.00	30.00	30.00	0.00	25.00	20.00	5.00	10.00	25.00	30.00	25.00	15.00	15.00	15.00
5. Implementation (7 Pts. Possible)	(5)	35	30.00	35.00	35.00	0.00	25.00	20.00	5.00	10.00	25.00	30.00	30.00	20.00	15.00	30.00
6. Evidence of Local Commitment/Community Support (2.5 Pts. Possible)	(4)	10	10.00	10.00	10.00	2.00	8.00	8.00	4.00	8.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	6.00	10.00	
<b>Totals:</b>			92.00	115.01	109.00	2.00	72.00	52.00	21.00	42.00	94.00	91.00	82.00	55.00	65.00	
<b>Quantitative Score</b>			53.25	53.25	48.13	53.42	62.42	59.42	54.42	62.42	63.67	54.29	65.58	65.58	62.58	
<b>Total Score</b>			145.25	166.26	157.13	55.42	154.42	131.42	75.42	104.42	157.67	145.29	147.58	120.58	114.58	118.58
<b>Rank</b>			7	1	3	14	4	8	13	12	2	6	5	9	11	10
<b>EVALUATOR 8</b>																
2. Smart Growth Development Potential (5 Pts. Possible)	(3)	15	20.00	20.00	12.00	0.00	16.00	12.00	12.00	12.00	20.00	16.00	8.00	8.00	12.00	16.00
3. Proposed Project Goals and Objectives (6.67 Pts. Possible)	(3)	20	15.00	15.00	12.00	0.00	15.00									

# SGIP Planning

## Detailed Quantitative Scores

Points Possible																		
Weight																		
Total Weighted Points Possible																		
El Cajon - Gillespie Field Aerotropolis																		
El Cajon - Transit Center and Mobility Plan																		
Imperial Beach - Palm Avenue Mixed Use and Commercial Corridor Plan																		
Lemon Grove - ADA Transition Plan																		
Lemon Grove - Broadway Village Specific (DVSP) Expansion																		
Lemon Grove - Complete Streets Mobility Plan (Mobility Element Update)																		
Lemon Grove - Downtown Village Specific																		
Lemon Grove - Massachusetts Street Separation Study																		
National City - Downtown Specific Plan																		
Oceanside - Coastal Rail Trail Extension (Oceanside Blvd across Loma Alta Marsh)																		
City of San Diego/Civic San Diego - Sixth Avenue Bridge Promenade Feasibility and Conceptual Design																		
City of San Diego - Grantville Trolley Station/Alvarado Creek Enhancement Project																		
City of San Diego - Kearny Mesa Smart Growth Employment Area Plan																		
City of San Diego - Pacific Beach Greenways, Parks and																		

**Total Quantitative Score**    85    53.25    53.25    48.13    53.42    62.42    59.42    54.42    62.42    63.67    54.29    65.58    65.58    62.58    53.58

No.	Category/Criteria																	
1.	5	(3)	15	15.00	15.00	9.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	15.00	3.00	9.00	
7.	20	(1)	20	7.00	7.00	11.00	3.00	12.00	9.00	4.00	12.00	7.00	7.00	11.00	11.00	20.00	5.00	
8.	50	(1)	50	31.25	31.25	28.13	35.42	35.42	35.42	35.42	35.42	41.67	32.29	39.58	39.58	39.58	39.58	