

ATGP Capital Detailed Quantitative Scores

	Points Possible	Carlsbad - Carlsbad Blvd & Tamarack Ave Pedestrian Improvement Project	Chula Vista - Main Street Corridor Pedestrian Improvements	Chula Vista - Industrial Boulevard Pedestrian and Bicycle Improvements	County of San Diego - Coastal Bike Path Safety Improvements, Las Flores Creek Construction at 1-8	County of San Diego - Coastal Bike Path Safety Improvements, Stuart Mesa/41 Area Construction at 1-8	Escondido - Creek Trail Signalized Bike/Ped Crossing to Rainbow Avenue Project	Imperial Beach - Bernardo Shores Bayshore Bikeway Mobility Improvement Project	National City - Fern Avenue Pedestrian and Enhancements Project	National City - Citywide Midblock Crossing Street Bicycle Facility Project	¹ Oceanside - Sweetwater River Bikeway/30th Project	Santee - Seagaze Drive Downtown Crossing	Santee - Citywide Bike Lanes Project	Santee - San Diego River Trail Design Project	Solana Beach - Stevens/Valley Avenue Corridor - Bicycle and Pedestrian Improvement Project		
TOTAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE	125	40.87	64.32	58.26	20.90	21.50	63.46	71.06	57.84	54.87	79.61	82.11	68.93	75.62	55.92	61.02	58.40
No.	Category/Criteria																
1. PROJECT CONNECTIONS AND SAFETY	20	10.00	10.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	10.00	8.00	6.00	2.00	8.00	18.00	4.00	18.00	14.00	6.00	12.00
1A. Regional Bicycle Network	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6.00	8.00	6.00	0.00	6.00	8.00	0.00	8.00	8.00	0.00	6.00
1D. Connection to Transit	12	10.00	10.00	10.00	0.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	2.00	10.00	4.00	10.00	6.00	6.00	6.00
4. DEMAND ANALYSIS (GIS)	15	6.07	11.07	8.61	1.54	1.54	11.61	5.54	8.61	11.14	15.14	10.14	14.14	10.54	6.54	7.54	8.54
5. PROJECT READINESS	20	6.00	4.00	2.00	6.00	6.00	9.00	10.00	10.00	6.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	10.00	2.00	10.00	6.00
6. COST EFFECTIVENESS	10	8.80	5.00	4.40	1.90	2.50	0.60	8.10	3.10	5.60	3.80	1.30	7.50	10.00	6.30	9.40	6.90
7. MATCHING FUNDS	10	10.00	3.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00
8. REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT - POLICY NO. 033	50	0.00	31.25	31.25	11.46	11.46	31.25	35.42	28.13	28.13	41.67	41.67	32.29	27.08	27.08	27.08	23.96

¹The Seagaze Drive Downtown Mobility Project is being recommended for funding through the SGIP Capital Grant Program, and is therefore recommended to be removed from the ATGP competition.

ATGP Non-Capital - Planning Scores (Sum of Ranks)

PROJECT	Chula Vista - Multi-Modal Pedestrian / Bikeway Master Plan	Coronado - Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy	Del Mar - Comprehensive Active Transportation Master Plan	Del Mar - Coast to Crest Trail Railroad Underpass Design Study	Lemon Grove - ADA Transition Plan	Poway - Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy	City of San Diego - Euclid and Market Complete Streets Master Plan	Santee - Bike Master Plan Update
EVALUATOR 1								
1. Program Objectives (30 Pts. Possible)	25.00	28.00	25.00	28.00	23.00	26.00	28.00	20.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	10.00	12.00	11.00	14.00	10.00	12.00	14.00	11.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	25.00	25.00	23.00	28.00	20.00	18.00	28.00	25.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	10.00	12.00	10.00	13.00	13.00	12.00	14.00	11.00
Totals:	70.00	77.00	69.00	83.00	66.00	68.00	84.00	67.00
Quantitative Score	59.55	74.33	33.19	37.11	59.58	33.17	59.82	50.32
Total Score	129.55	151.33	102.19	120.11	125.58	101.17	143.82	117.32
Rank	3	1	7	5	4	8	2	6
EVALUATOR 2								
1. Program Objectives (30 Pts. Possible)	24.00	23.00	18.00	20.00	15.00	24.00	25.00	24.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	12.00	8.00	10.00	5.00	11.00	13.00	11.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	22.00	24.00	10.00	20.00	15.00	17.00	25.00	20.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	11.00	13.00	10.00	13.00	5.00	10.00	12.00	11.00
Totals:	69.00	72.00	46.00	63.00	40.00	62.00	75.00	66.00
Quantitative Score	59.55	74.33	33.19	37.11	59.58	33.17	59.82	50.32
Total Score	128.55	146.33	79.19	100.11	99.58	95.17	134.82	116.32
Rank	3	1	8	5	6	7	2	4
EVALUATOR 3								
1. Program Objectives (30 Pts. Possible)	25.00	25.00	20.00	25.00	15.00	22.00	25.00	20.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	10.00	9.00	12.00	10.00	10.00	13.00	10.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	18.00	22.00	12.00	28.00	15.00	10.00	25.00	20.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	12.00	10.00	15.00	10.00	8.00	12.00	10.00
Totals:	67.00	69.00	51.00	80.00	50.00	50.00	75.00	60.00
Quantitative Score	59.55	74.33	33.19	37.11	59.58	33.17	59.82	50.32
Total Score	126.55	143.33	84.19	117.11	109.58	83.17	134.82	110.32
Rank	3	1	7	4	6	8	2	5
EVALUATOR 4								
1. Program Objectives (30 Pts. Possible)	23.00	28.00	18.00	10.00	23.00	15.00	28.00	20.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	15.00	15.00	7.00	5.00	15.00	7.00	15.00	10.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	25.00	25.00	15.00	10.00	25.00	15.00	25.00	25.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	15.00	15.00	7.00	5.00	15.00	5.00	15.00	10.00
Totals:	78.00	83.00	47.00	30.00	78.00	42.00	83.00	65.00
Quantitative Score	59.55	74.33	33.19	37.11	59.58	33.17	59.82	50.32
Total Score	137.55	157.33	80.19	67.11	137.58	75.17	142.82	115.32
Rank	4	1	6	8	3	7	2	5
EVALUATOR 5								
1. Program Objectives (30 Pts. Possible)	25.00	25.00	22.00	23.00	18.00	22.00	25.00	22.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	13.00	7.00	10.00	10.00	8.00	12.00	12.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	27.00	29.00	18.00	22.00	18.00	15.00	27.00	27.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	13.00	11.00	11.00	10.00	8.00	11.00	9.00
Totals:	76.00	80.00	58.00	66.00	56.00	53.00	75.00	70.00
Quantitative Score	59.55	74.33	33.19	37.11	59.58	33.17	59.82	50.32
Total Score	135.55	154.33	91.19	103.11	115.58	86.17	134.82	120.32
Rank	2	1	7	6	5	8	3	4
EVALUATOR 6								
1. Program Objectives (30 Pts. Possible)	24.00	28.00	22.00	25.00	15.00	27.00	29.00	28.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	11.00	12.00	12.00	10.00	5.00	11.00	13.00	13.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	20.00	28.00	20.00	25.00	20.00	27.00	28.00	28.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	14.00	11.00	13.00	10.00	10.00	14.00	11.00
Totals:	67.00	82.00	65.00	73.00	50.00	75.00	84.00	80.00
Quantitative Score	59.55	74.33	33.19	37.11	59.58	33.17	59.82	50.32
Total Score	126.55	156.33	98.19	110.11	109.58	108.17	143.82	130.32
Rank	4	1	8	5	6	7	2	3
EVALUATOR 7								
1. Program Objectives (30 Pts. Possible)	25.00	28.00	20.00	20.00	10.00	24.00	20.00	22.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	13.00	10.00	10.00	6.00	11.00	7.00	11.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	24.00	25.00	22.00	22.00	20.00	18.00	20.00	23.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	13.00	14.00	10.00	10.00	7.00	10.00	10.00	10.00
Totals:	74.00	80.00	62.00	62.00	43.00	63.00	57.00	66.00
Quantitative Score	59.55	74.33	33.19	37.11	59.58	33.17	59.82	50.32
Total Score	133.55	154.33	95.19	99.11	102.58	96.17	116.82	116.32
Rank	2	1	8	6	5	7	3	4
Sum of Ranks								
Evaluator 1	3	1	7	5	4	8	2	6
Evaluator 2	3	1	8	5	6	7	2	4
Evaluator 3	3	1	7	4	6	8	2	5
Evaluator 4	4	1	6	8	3	7	2	5
Evaluator 5	2	1	7	6	5	8	3	4
Evaluator 6	4	1	8	5	6	7	2	3
Evaluator 7	2	1	8	6	5	7	3	4
Total	21	7	51	39	35	52	16	31
Overall Rank	3	1	7	6	5	8	2	4

ATGP Non-Capital Planning Projects Detailed Quantitative Scores

Points Possible	Chula Vista - Multi-Modal Pedestrian / Bikeway Master Plan	Coronado - Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy	Del Mar - Comprehensive Active Transportation Master Plan	Del Mar - Coast to Crest Trail Railroad Underpass Design Study	Lemon Grove - ADA Transition Plan	Poway - Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy	Civic San Diego/City of San Diego - Euclid and Market Complete Streets Master Plan	Santee - Bike Master Plan Update	
TOTAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE	110	59.55	74.33	33.19	37.11	59.58	33.17	59.82	50.32

No.	Category/Criteria									
7.	DEMAND ANALYSIS	20	14.30	12.87	10.02	8.94	12.16	11.09	10.74	10.74
8.	COST EFFECTIVENESS	20	5.00	17.50	15.00	20.00	10.00	7.50	2.50	12.50
9.	MATCHING FUNDS	20	9.00	20.00	4.00	4.00	2.00	0.00	7.00	0.00
10.	REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT - POLICY NO. 033	50	31.25	23.96	4.17	4.17	35.42	14.58	39.58	27.08

ATGP Non-Capital - EEA Program Scores (Sum of Ranks)

PROJECT	Chula Vista - Walk+Bike Chula Vista Education Encouragement Awareness Campaign	El Cajon - Be Safe, El Cajon	Oceanside - Bike/Bus Safety Public Outreach Project
EVALUATOR 1			
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)	18.00	18.00	18.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	13.00	12.00	13.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	25.00	26.00	26.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	15.00	15.00	15.00
5. Evaluation (20 Pts. Possible)	17.00	16.00	15.00
6. Innovation (10 Pts. Possible)	7.00	9.00	10.00
Totals:	95.00	96.00	97.00
Quantitative Score	57.95	61.25	56.59
Total Score	152.95	157.25	153.59
Rank	3	1	2
EVALUATOR 2			
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)	16.00	14.00	14.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	10.00	10.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	23.00	20.00	22.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	14.00	13.00
5. Evaluation (20 Pts. Possible)	16.00	12.00	15.00
6. Innovation (10 Pts. Possible)	6.00	12.00	8.00
Totals:	85.00	82.00	82.00
Quantitative Score	57.95	61.25	56.59
Total Score	142.95	143.25	138.59
Rank	2	1	3
EVALUATOR 3			
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)	15.00	15.00	15.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	15.00	15.00	15.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	15.00	15.00	25.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	10.00	15.00	15.00
5. Evaluation (20 Pts. Possible)	15.00	10.00	10.00
6. Innovation (10 Pts. Possible)	8.00	8.00	10.00
Totals:	78.00	78.00	90.00
Quantitative Score	57.95	61.25	56.59
Total Score	135.95	139.25	146.59
Rank	3	2	1
EVALUATOR 4			
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)	20.00	15.00	15.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	15.00	10.00	10.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	25.00	20.00	20.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	15.00	10.00	7.00
5. Evaluation (20 Pts. Possible)	13.00	15.00	15.00
6. Innovation (10 Pts. Possible)	10.00	7.00	7.00
Totals:	98.00	77.00	74.00
Quantitative Score	57.95	61.25	56.59
Total Score	155.95	138.25	130.59
Rank	1	2	3
EVALUATOR 5			
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)	17.00	19.00	18.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	11.00	14.00	11.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	22.00	26.00	28.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	10.00	12.00	11.00
5. Evaluation (20 Pts. Possible)	18.00	18.00	16.00
6. Innovation (10 Pts. Possible)	7.00	7.00	9.00
Totals:	85.00	96.00	93.00
Quantitative Score	57.95	61.25	56.59
Total Score	142.95	157.25	149.59
Rank	3	1	2
EVALUATOR 6			
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)	18.00	18.00	16.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	13.00	11.00	11.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	28.00	28.00	28.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	12.00	14.00	14.00
5. Evaluation (20 Pts. Possible)	18.00	18.00	18.00
6. Innovation (10 Pts. Possible)	6.00	8.00	9.00
Totals:	95.00	97.00	96.00
Quantitative Score	57.95	61.25	56.59
Total Score	152.95	158.25	152.59
Rank	2	1	3
EVALUATOR 7			
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)	19.00	20.00	15.00
2. Comprehensiveness (15 Pts. Possible)	15.00	15.00	15.00
3. Methodology (30 Pts. Possible)	27.00	28.00	25.00
4. Community Support (15 Pts. Possible)	13.00	15.00	14.00
5. Evaluation (20 Pts. Possible)	20.00	20.00	15.00
6. Innovation (10 Pts. Possible)	5.00	8.00	10.00
Totals:	99.00	106.00	94.00
Quantitative Score	57.95	61.25	56.59
Total Score	156.95	167.25	150.59
Rank	2	1	3


Sum of Ranks

Evaluator 1	3	1	2
Evaluator 2	2	1	3
Evaluator 3	3	2	1
Evaluator 4	1	2	3
Evaluator 5	3	1	2
Evaluator 6	2	1	3
Evaluator 7	2	1	3
Total	16	9	17
Overall Rank	2	1	3

ATGP Non-Capital

EEA Programs

Detailed Quantitative Scores



TOTAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE **90** **57.95** **61.25** **56.59**

No.	Category/Criteria				
*8.	COST EFFECTIVENESS	20	6.70	20.00	13.30
*9.	MATCHING FUNDS	20	20.00	10.00	11.00
*10.	REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT - POLICY NO. 033	50	31.25	31.25	32.29

ATGP Non-Capital - Parking Scores (Sum of Ranks)

PROJECT		National City Bike Parking Enhancements
EVALUATOR 1		
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)		18.00
2. Comprehensiveness (10 Pts. Possible)		8.00
3. Methodology (10 Pts. Possible)		8.00
4. Community Support (10 Pts. Possible)		10.00
5. Evaluation (10 Pts. Possible)		8.00
6. Innovation (30 Pts. Possible)		29.00
Totals:		81.00
Quantitative Score		101.67
Total Score		182.67
Rank		1
EVALUATOR 2		
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)		15.00
2. Comprehensiveness (10 Pts. Possible)		4.00
3. Methodology (10 Pts. Possible)		6.00
4. Community Support (10 Pts. Possible)		8.00
5. Evaluation (10 Pts. Possible)		5.00
6. Innovation (30 Pts. Possible)		15.00
Totals:		53.00
Quantitative Score		101.67
Total Score		154.67
Rank		1
EVALUATOR 3		
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)		15.00
2. Comprehensiveness (10 Pts. Possible)		10.00
3. Methodology (10 Pts. Possible)		7.00
4. Community Support (10 Pts. Possible)		10.00
5. Evaluation (10 Pts. Possible)		5.00
6. Innovation (30 Pts. Possible)		30.00
Totals:		77.00
Quantitative Score		101.67
Total Score		178.67
Rank		1
EVALUATOR 4		
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)		15.00
2. Comprehensiveness (10 Pts. Possible)		7.00
3. Methodology (10 Pts. Possible)		7.00
4. Community Support (10 Pts. Possible)		7.00
5. Evaluation (10 Pts. Possible)		7.00
6. Innovation (30 Pts. Possible)		25.00
Totals:		68.00
Quantitative Score		101.67
Total Score		169.67
Rank		1
EVALUATOR 5		
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)		20.00
2. Comprehensiveness (10 Pts. Possible)		10.00
3. Methodology (10 Pts. Possible)		9.00
4. Community Support (10 Pts. Possible)		8.00
5. Evaluation (10 Pts. Possible)		7.00
6. Innovation (30 Pts. Possible)		24.00
Totals:		78.00
Quantitative Score		101.67
Total Score		179.67
Rank		1
EVALUATOR 6		
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)		19.00
2. Comprehensiveness (10 Pts. Possible)		9.00
3. Methodology (10 Pts. Possible)		9.00
4. Community Support (10 Pts. Possible)		9.00
5. Evaluation (10 Pts. Possible)		7.00
6. Innovation (30 Pts. Possible)		25.00
Totals:		78.00
Quantitative Score		101.67
Total Score		179.67
Rank		1
EVALUATOR 7		
1. ATGP Program Objectives (20 Pts. Possible)		18.00
2. Comprehensiveness (10 Pts. Possible)		5.00
3. Methodology (10 Pts. Possible)		10.00
4. Community Support (10 Pts. Possible)		5.00
5. Evaluation (10 Pts. Possible)		5.00
6. Innovation (30 Pts. Possible)		20.00
Totals:		63.00
Quantitative Score		101.67
Total Score		164.67
Rank		1
Sum of Ranks		
Evaluator 1		1
Evaluator 2		1
Evaluator 3		1
Evaluator 4		1
Evaluator 5		1
Evaluator 6		1
Evaluator 7		1
Total		7
Overall Rank		1

<p>ATGP Non-Capital Parking Projects Detailed Quantitative Scores</p>	<p>Points Possible</p>	<p>National City - Bicycle Parking Enhancements</p>
<p>TOTAL QUANTITATIVE SCORE</p>	<p><i>110</i></p>	<p>101.67</p>
<p>No. Category/Criteria</p>		
<p>7. DEMAND ANALYSIS</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>20.00</p>
<p>8. COST EFFECTIVENESS</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>20.00</p>
<p>9. MATCHING FUNDS</p>	<p>20</p>	<p>20.00</p>
<p>10. REGIONAL HOUSING NEEDS ASSESSMENT - POLICY NO. 033</p>	<p>50</p>	<p>41.67</p>

Note: Only (ONE) Bike Parking project was submitted to the Cycle 3 ATGP.