

**TransNet ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2014 LAND ACQUISITION GRANT PROGRAM  
SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RANKING AND AVERAGE CRITERIA RESULTS**

PRJ Ref #	Project Title	Applicant	Requested Grant Amount	Proposed Match Amount	Project Acreage	Cost Per Acre	Project Evaluation Criteria*	Average Score	Maximum Score Possible	Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks	Overall Rank	Cumulative Funding Request Amount	Discussion/Conditions
1	Skyline 779	Endangered Habitats Conservancy	\$ 4,913,000.00	\$ 1,500,000.00	779.00	\$ 6,306.80	Number of listed species	3.40	10.00	13	1	\$ 4,913,000.00	Important North/South linkage from Hollenbeck to Sycuan Peak as identified in USFWS MSCP Status Report. Significant contribution to conservation of Hermes Copper.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	8.80	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	6.20	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	7.40	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	12.40	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	13.00	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	10.00	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	9.80	15.00				
							Special considerations	10.00	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>81.00</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
2	San Diego Mountain Ranch	Trust for Public Land	\$ 940,081.00	\$ 1,694,700.00	982.00	\$ 957.31	Number of listed species	4.00	10.00	19	2	\$ 5,853,081.00	Protects critical habitat area for Quino checkerspot butterfly. Has potential for occurrence and/or reintroduction of presumed extirpated red-legged frogs. Has high ratio of proposed match to grant request. Site needs to be managed for threats from cattle and illegal access.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	8.00	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	8.60	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	5.60	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	13.40	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	12.00	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	7.40	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	7.80	15.00				
							Special considerations	11.80	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>78.60</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
3	Lakeside Downs	Endangered Habitats Conservancy	\$ 5,359,000.00	\$ 3,000,000.00	409.23	\$ 13,095.33	Number of listed species	4.00	10.00	19	2	\$ 11,212,081.00	Core population of gnatcatcher. Species refugia for gnatcatchers. Part of Lakeside linkage that connects Crestridge and San Diego River to Santee and Miramar and Goodan Ranch to the north. Historical/potential for current Hermes Copper. Contains structure on-site for management. Critical property for conservation buffer for Miramar MCAS.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	7.60	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	5.00	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	5.80	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	12.00	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	13.00	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	12.60	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	10.80	15.00				
							Special considerations	9.20	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>80.00</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
4	Luce Creek	California Department of Fish and Wildlife	\$ 1,647,200.00	\$ 492,000.00	391.00	\$ 4,212.79	Number of listed species	5.60	10.00	23	4	\$ 12,859,281.00	Critical area for Quino checkerspot butterfly and gnatcatcher, and historically for Hermes Copper. Update Hollenbeck Wildlife Management Plan to address threats (illegal access, etc.) and enhance populations of Quino checkerspot butterfly, Hermes Copper, gnatcatchers, etc. on the Luce Creek property.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	7.20	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	8.80	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	8.40	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	12.40	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	12.40	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	3.40	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	11.00	15.00				
							Special considerations	9.60	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>78.80</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
5	Clover Flat	Trust for Public Land	\$ 1,722,700.00	\$ 1,792,500.00	763.22	\$ 2,257.15	Number of listed species	3.80	10.00	26	5	\$ 14,581,981.00	Protects critical habitat area for Quino checkerspot butterfly. Has potential for occurrence and/or reintroduction of presumed extirpated red-legged frogs. Has high ratio of proposed match to grant request. Site needs to be managed for threats from cattle and illegal access.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	7.00	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	8.00	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	6.80	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	12.40	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	12.00	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	6.20	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	7.80	15.00				
							Special considerations	9.00	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>73.00</b>	<b>115.00</b>				

\*Final project Overall Ranks are determined using the Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks instead of the Sum of Average Criteria Scores. Each evaluator's total scores were sorted into individual Total Ranks. Their individual Total Ranks were then added together for a Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks. The Sum of Ranks were ordered into Overall Ranks, with the lowest number indicating which projects scored the highest across the evaluators. This measure is more objective, mitigating for and normalizing evaluators' scoring differentials.

**TransNet ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2014 LAND ACQUISITION GRANT PROGRAM  
SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RANKING AND AVERAGE CRITERIA RESULTS**

PRJ Ref #	Project Title	Applicant	Requested Grant Amount	Proposed Match Amount	Project Acreage	Cost Per Acre	Project Evaluation Criteria*	Average Score	Maximum Score Possible	Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks	Overall Rank	Cumulative Funding Request Amount	Discussion/Conditions
6	Cielo Del Norte/White Phase B	Escondido Creek Conservancy	\$ 6,332,634.00	\$ 8,025,000.00	241.95	\$ 26,173.32	Number of listed species	1.60	10.00	<b>28</b>	<b>6</b>	\$ 20,914,615.00	Completes a priority acquisition area that has had significant outside investment (\$35.5 million). Supports significant population of Hermes Copper and gnatcatcher. Core block of habitat and minimizes threats to adjacent lands.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	6.60	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	5.80	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	5.40	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	11.40	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	12.60	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	13.60	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	8.40	15.00				
							Special considerations	9.80	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>75.20</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
7	Lucky 5 Ranch Phase II	Anza-Borrego Foundation	\$ 2,191,000.00	\$ 1,000,000 + \$60,000/year	1170.00	\$ 1,872.65	Number of listed species	1.80	10.00	<b>41</b>	<b>7</b>	\$ 23,105,615.00	Provides a missing gap between conserved Anza Borrego State Park property and Cuyumaca State Park. Low cost-per-acre. No updated site-specific biological information. Unable to confirm species on site. Low threat of development.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	4.80	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	7.00	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	6.20	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	11.40	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	11.40	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	3.80	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	9.00	15.00				
							Special considerations	11.40	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>66.80</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
8	Proctor Valley Paxton/Fazio Trust	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service - SDNWR	\$ 1,444,500.00	\$ 18,425.00	39.75	\$ 36,339.62	Number of listed species	3.60	10.00	<b>44</b>	<b>8</b>	\$ 24,550,115.00	Important for Quino checkerspot butterfly and connectivity in south county. Buffers high-quality adjacent conserved habitats. High cost-per-acre. Disturbed habitat. Low native species cover.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	5.00	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	4.60	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	6.00	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	10.40	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	10.00	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	7.20	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	6.80	15.00				
							Special considerations	7.00	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>60.60</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
9	Beaver Hollow	The Nature Conservancy	\$ 750,000.00	\$ 20,000.00	120.00	\$ 6,250.00	Number of listed species	0.20	10.00	<b>48</b>	<b>9</b>	\$ 25,300,115.00	Connectivity between refuge and conserved open space. Degraded property with illegal clearing and goats. No listed species identified. No biological information to confirm species on site.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	1.40	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	4.80	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	5.00	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	13.00	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	9.40	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	6.40	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	8.80	15.00				
							Special considerations	9.60	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>58.60</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
10	Fenton Ranch Estates	San Dieguito RVC & River Park JPA	\$ 1,315,253.00	\$ 745,331.00	32.88	\$ 40,001.61	Number of listed species	2.40	10.00	<b>49</b>	<b>10</b>	\$ 26,615,368.00	Under threat from approved development. Property highly disturbed, no endangered species. High restoration cost.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	3.60	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	4.00	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	4.60	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	10.00	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	10.00	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	11.00	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	4.80	15.00				
							Special considerations	8.40	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>58.80</b>	<b>115.00</b>				

\*Final project Overall Ranks are determined using the Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks instead of the Sum of Average Criteria Scores. Each evaluator's total scores were sorted into individual Total Ranks. Their individual Total Ranks were then added together for a Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks. The Sum of Ranks were ordered into Overall Ranks, with the lowest number indicating which projects scored the highest across the evaluators. This measure is more objective, mitigating for and normalizing evaluators' scoring differentials.

**TransNet ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2014 LAND ACQUISITION GRANT PROGRAM  
SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RANKING AND AVERAGE CRITERIA RESULTS**

PRJ Ref #	Project Title	Applicant	Requested Grant Amount	Proposed Match Amount	Project Acreage	Cost Per Acre	Project Evaluation Criteria*	Average Score	Maximum Score Possible	Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks	Overall Rank	Cumulative Funding Request Amount	Discussion/Conditions
11	Paradise Mountain Ranch	California Land Conservancy	\$ 3,750,000.00	\$ -	250.90	\$ 14,946.19	Number of listed species	1.80	10.00	55	11	\$ 30,365,368.00	Provides buffer to core habitat areas. Moderate potential for only 1 listed species and highly disturbed. No matching funds.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	3.20	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	7.80	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	4.80	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	6.40	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	7.00	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	10.00	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	6.20	15.00				
							Special considerations	7.20	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	54.40	115.00				
12	San Luis Rey River-Loretta St	Trust for Public Land	\$ 1,114,740.00	\$ 1,465,620.00	35.32	\$ 31,561.16	Number of listed species	3.20	10.00	59	12	\$ 31,480,108.00	Buffer property. Has some gnatcatchers. High cost-per-acre. Not a core habitat area.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	4.40	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	5.60	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	5.40	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	6.40	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	5.60	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	7.20	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	4.60	15.00				
							Special considerations	7.20	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	49.60	115.00				
13	Saint Jerome's	City of San Diego	\$ 3,299,134.00	\$ 725,000.00	17.70	\$ 186,391.75	Number of listed species	3.60	10.00	59	12	\$ 34,779,242.00	Great potential for restoration. Highly disturbed, high restoration cost. High cost-per-acre. Low match.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	6.60	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	3.00	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	3.60	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	3.60	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	7.20	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	10.60	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	6.00	15.00				
							Special considerations	5.60	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	49.80	115.00				
14	MacHutchin	Buena Vista Audubon Society	\$ 2,176,386.00	\$ 50,000.00	3.56	\$ 611,344.38	Number of listed species	2.60	10.00	60	14	\$ 36,955,628.00	Provides buffer for the lagoon. Highly disturbed. No known endangered species. High cost-per-acre. Low match.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	2.40	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	4.00	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	3.40	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	3.20	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	6.40	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	12.00	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	12.20	15.00				
							Special considerations	5.40	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	51.60	115.00				
15	Cheatham	Buena Vista Audubon Society	\$ 1,175,000.00	\$ 2,713,584.00	31.00	\$ 37,903.23	Number of listed species	1.40	10.00	67	15	\$ 38,130,628.00	Provides some connectivity. Highly disturbed. Limited native species. No endangered species. High cost-per-acre. High restoration cost, required to improve functionality.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	3.00	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	3.20	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	3.80	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	3.20	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	8.80	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	9.40	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	6.60	15.00				
							Special considerations	9.20	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	48.60	115.00				

\*Final project Overall Ranks are determined using the Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks instead of the Sum of Average Criteria Scores. Each evaluator's total scores were sorted into individual Total Ranks. Their individual Total Ranks were then added together for a Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks. The Sum of Ranks were ordered into Overall Ranks, with the lowest number indicating which projects scored the highest across the evaluators. This measure is more objective, mitigating for and normalizing evaluators' scoring differentials.

**TransNet ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM  
FISCAL YEAR 2014 LAND ACQUISITION GRANT PROGRAM  
SUMMARY OF EVALUATION RANKING AND AVERAGE CRITERIA RESULTS**

PRJ Ref #	Project Title	Applicant	Requested Grant Amount	Proposed Match Amount	Project Acreage	Cost Per Acre	Project Evaluation Criteria*	Average Score	Maximum Score Possible	Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks	Overall Rank	Cumulative Funding Request Amount	Discussion/Conditions
16	Roberts Ranch	Pala Band of Mission Indians	\$ 20,000,000.00	\$7,111,500 + \$57,500/year	1331.96	\$ 15,015.47	Number of listed species	2.00	10.00	<b>71</b>	<b>16</b>	\$ 58,130,628.00	Great ecosystem benefits. Large property. Very low threat of development. Listed species identified was not supported (surveys have not yet been conducted). Total acquisition cost very high.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	4.20	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	5.20	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	5.20	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	9.00	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	7.20	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	0.80	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	5.40	15.00				
							Special considerations	5.60	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>44.60</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
17	Moosa Creek	San Diego Habitat Conservancy	\$ 3,098,304.00	\$ 50,000.00	41.00	\$ 75,568.39	Number of listed species	3.20	10.00	<b>74</b>	<b>17</b>	\$ 61,228,932.00	Supports vireo and potential flycatcher. Property will be conserved as part of proposed wetland mitigation bank. No threat. High cost. Low match.
							Magnitude of benefits for listed species	4.60	10.00				
							Number of unlisted species	4.00	10.00				
							Magnitude of benefits for unlisted species	4.60	10.00				
							Level of effort for long-term management	8.80	15.00				
							Degree of connectivity to protected habitats	9.60	15.00				
							Likelihood property will be developed	0.00	15.00				
							Increases likelihood to adapt to climate change	1.60	15.00				
							Special considerations	3.20	15.00				
							<b>Sum of Average Criteria Score</b>	<b>39.60</b>	<b>115.00</b>				
<b>TOTAL</b>			<b>\$ 61,228,932.00</b>	<b>\$ 30,403,660.00</b>									

\*Final project Overall Ranks are determined using the Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks instead of the Sum of Average Criteria Scores. Each evaluator's total scores were sorted into individual Total Ranks. Their individual Total Ranks were then added together for a Sum of Evaluation Committee Ranks. The Sum of Ranks were ordered into Overall Ranks, with the lowest number indicating which projects scored the highest across the evaluators. This measure is more objective, mitigating for and normalizing evaluators' scoring differentials.