INTRODUCTION

This report provides an update through December 31, 2012, on three competitive grant programs that SANDAG manages for specialized transportation projects and services: (1) the Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) program; (2) the New Freedom program; and (3) the Senior Mini-Grant program. The JARC and New Freedom programs are funded by the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), and the Senior Mini-Grant program is funded through the TransNet Extension Ordinance.

All three programs require that SANDAG conduct a competitive selection process to distribute the funds. The first set of awards under the JARC and New Freedom projects were made in February 2007 and additional funds have been awarded through four subsequent cycles. Senior Mini-Grant projects were first awarded in September 2008 and again in February 2011.

DISCUSSION

GRANT PROGRAMS OVERVIEW

The JARC program provides funding for transportation projects for reverse commuters and employment-related transportation for persons of limited means. Since the program became a formula program required to be distributed through a competitive process in 2006, 35 JARC projects totaling over $9 million have been awarded funding. The New Freedom program provides funding for transportation projects serving individuals with disabilities that go beyond the minimum requirements of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. Since the program began in 2006, 34 projects have been awarded funding totaling over $4 million. The Senior Mini-Grant program funds specialized transportation services for seniors whose special needs cannot be met by conventional transit or paratransit service. Since the Senior Mini-Grant program began in 2009, 26 projects have been awarded funding totaling almost $6 million.

GRANT OVERSIGHT

SANDAG staff provides ongoing oversight of the three specialized transportation program grantees through review of progress reports and project performance submitted with each invoice. The grantees must maintain documentation of the services provided, and are inspected by SANDAG at regular site visits to ensure compliance with grant agreement service delivery requirements and SANDAG Board Policy No. 035: Competitive Grant Program Procedures (Attachment 1). This information is used to provide regular status updates to the Transportation Committee. The last report was presented at the December 14, 2012, meeting. Additionally, staff provides annual updates to the Social Services Transportation Advisory Council on all specialized transportation activities.
grant programs and to the TransNet Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee on the Senior Mini-Grant program.

**Service Delivery**

Attachment 2 includes a “performance watch list,” which compares the grantees actual cost/unit of service delivery to the original proposal. Grant agreements for these programs executed after January 1, 2012, include a provision where a grantee’s inability to achieve within 130 percent of its proposed cost per unit by the end of the sixth month of the project would trigger a requirement for the grantee to complete a Recovery Plan. By the end of the first year, if the grantee is still unable to achieve the 130 percent threshold, the grant agreement would be terminated. The “performance watch list” denotes grantees that may be required to complete a recovery plan or are in danger of contract termination because performance is not in line with the level of service delivery included in the original proposal, per the contract requirements.

**SANDAG Board Policy No. 035**

SANDAG Board Policy No. 035 was adopted in January 2010 and applies to all SANDAG grant programs. The intent of the policy is to hold grantees accountable to the project schedules they proposed in order to ensure fairness in the competitive process and encourage on-time project completion so the public can benefit from the project deliverables as soon as possible. Per Policy No. 035, in some circumstances, requests for extensions received by SANDAG from the grantee must be considered by the Transportation Committee. Attachment 2 includes a “schedule watch list,” which denotes those grantees that appear in danger of not being able to fully draw down on funds without requiring an extension request to be considered by the Transportation Committee.

**Next Steps**

Staff will continue to monitor grantee progress relative to the grant agreements, proposals, and Policy No. 035. The next report will be presented to the Transportation Committee in summer 2013.
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COMPETITIVE GRANT PROGRAM PROCEDURES

Applicability and Purpose of Policy

This Policy applies to the following grant programs administered through SANDAG, whether from TransNet or another source: Smart Growth Incentive Program, Environmental Mitigation Program, Bike and Pedestrian Program, Senior Mini Grant Program, Job Access Reverse Commute, New Freedom, and Section 5310 Elderly & Persons with Disabilities Transportation Program.

Nothing in this Policy is intended to supersede federal or state grant rules, regulations, statutes, or contract documents that conflict with the requirements in this Policy. There are never enough government grant funds to pay for all of the projects worthy of funding in the San Diego region. For this reason, SANDAG awards grant funds on a competitive basis that takes the grantees’ ability to perform their proposed project on a timely basis into account. SANDAG intends to hold grantees accountable to the project schedules they have proposed in order to ensure fairness in the competitive process and encourage grantees to get their projects implemented quickly so that the public can benefit from the project deliverables as soon as possible.

Procedures

1. Project Milestone and Completion Deadlines

   1.1. When signing a grant agreement for a competitive program funded and/or administered by SANDAG, grant recipients must agree to the project delivery objectives and schedules in the agreement. In addition, a grantee’s proposal must contain a schedule that falls within the following deadlines. Failure to meet the deadlines below may result in revocation of all grant funds not already expended. The final invoice for capital, planning, or operations grants must be submitted prior to the applicable deadline.

      1.1.1. Funding for Capital Projects. If the grant will fund a capital project, the project must be completed according to the schedule provided in the grant agreement, but at the latest, any necessary construction contract must be awarded within two years following execution of the grant agreement, and construction must be completed within eighteen months following award of the construction contract. Completion of construction for purposes of this policy shall be when the prime construction contractor is relieved from its maintenance responsibilities. If no construction contract award is necessary, the construction project must be complete within eighteen months following execution of the grant agreement.

      1.1.2. Funding for Planning Grants. If the grant will fund planning, the project must be completed according to the schedule provided in the grant agreement, but at the latest, any necessary consultant contract must be awarded within one year following execution of the grant agreement, and the planning project must be complete within two years following award of the consultant contract. Completion of planning for purposes of this policy shall be when grantee approves the final planning project deliverable. If no consultant contract award is necessary, the planning project must be complete within two years of execution of the grant agreement.
1.1.3 Funding for Operations Grants. If the grant will fund operations, the project must be completed according to the schedule provided in the grant agreement, but at the latest, any necessary services contract for operations must be awarded within one year following execution of the grant agreement, and the operations must commence within six months following award of the operations contract. If no services contract for operations is necessary, the operations project must commence within one year of execution of the grant agreement.

1.1.4 Funding for Equipment or Vehicles Grants. If the grant will fund equipment or vehicles, the project must be completed according to the schedule provided in the grant agreement, but at the latest, any necessary purchase contracts for equipment or vehicles must be awarded within one year following execution of the grant agreement, and use of the equipment or vehicles for the benefit of the public must commence within six months following award of the purchase contract.

2. Project Milestone and Completion Deadline Extensions

2.1. Schedules within grant agreements may include project scopes and schedules that will identify interim milestones in addition to those described in Section 1 of this Policy. Grant recipients may receive extensions on their project schedules of up to six months for good cause. Extensions of up to six months aggregate that would not cause the project to miss a completion deadline in Section 1 may be approved by the SANDAG Executive Director. Extensions beyond six months aggregate or that would cause the project to miss a completion deadline in Section 1 must be approved by the Policy Advisory Committee that has been delegated the necessary authority by the Board. For an extension to be granted under this Section 2, the following conditions must be met:

2.1.1. For extension requests of up to six months, the grantee must request the extension in writing to the SANDAG Program Manager at least two weeks prior to the earliest project schedule milestone deadline for which an extension is being requested. The Executive Director or designee will determine whether the extension should be granted. The Executive Director’s action will be reported out to the Board in following month’s report of delegated actions.

2.1.2. A grantee seeking an extension must document previous efforts undertaken to maintain the project schedule, explain the reasons for the delay, explain why the delay is unavoidable, and demonstrate an ability to succeed in the extended time frame the grantee proposes.

2.1.3. If the Executive Director denies an extension request under this Section 2, the grantee may appeal within ten business days of receiving the Executive Director’s response to the responsible Policy Advisory Committee by sending the appeal to the SANDAG Program Manager.

2.1.4. Extension requests that are rejected by the Policy Advisory Committee will result in termination of the grant agreement and obligation by the grantee to return to SANDAG any unexpended funds within 30 days. Unexpended funds are funds for project costs not incurred prior to rejection of the extension request by the Policy Advisory Committee.
3. Project Delays and Extensions in Excess of Six Months

3.1 Requests for extensions in excess of six months, or that will cause a project to miss a completion deadline in Section 1 (including those projects that were already granted extensions by the Executive Director and are again falling behind schedule), will be considered by the Policy Advisory Committee upon request to the SANDAG Program Manager.

3.2 A grantee seeking an extension must document previous efforts undertaken to maintain the project schedule, explain the reasons for the delay, explain why the delay is unavoidable, and demonstrate an ability to succeed in the extended time frame the grantee proposes. The grantee must provide the necessary information to SANDAG staff to place in a report to the Policy Advisory Committee. If sufficient time is available, and the grant utilized TransNet funds, the request will first be taken to the Independent Taxpayer Advisory Committee (ITOC) for a recommendation. The grantee should make a representative available at the meeting to present the information to, and/or answer questions from, the ITOC and Policy Advisory Committee.

3.3 The Policy Advisory Committee will only grant an extension under this Section 3 for extenuating circumstances that the grantee could not have reasonably foreseen.

4. Resolution and Execution of the Grant Agreement

4.1 Two weeks prior to the review by the Policy Advisory Committee of the proposed grants, prospective grantees must submit a resolution from their authorized governing body that includes the provisions in this Subsection 4.1. Failure to provide a resolution that meets the requirements in this Subsection 4.1 will result in rejection of the application and the application will be dropped from consideration with funding going to the next project as scored by the evaluation committee. In order to assist grantees in meeting this resolution deadline, when SANDAG issues the call for projects it will allow at least 90 days for grant application submission.

4.1.1 Grantee governing body commits to providing the amount of matching funds set forth in the grant application.

4.1.2 Grantee governing body authorizes staff to accept the grant funding and execute a grant agreement if an award is made by SANDAG.

4.2 Grantee’s authorized representative must execute the grant agreement within 45 days from the date SANDAG presents the grant agreement to the prospective grantee for execution. Failure to meet the requirements in this Subsection 4.2 may result in revocation of the grant award.

5. Increased Availability of Funding Under this Policy

5.1 Grant funds made available as a result of the procedures in this Policy may be awarded to the next project on the recommended project priority list from the most recent project selection process, or may be added to the funds available for the next project funding cycle, at the responsible Policy Advisory Committee’s discretion. Any project that loses funding due to failure to meet the deadlines specified in this Policy may be resubmitted to compete for funding in a future call for grant applications.

Adopted: January 2010
## Status of Active Specialized Transportation Grants
### Senior Mini-Grant Program through 12/31/12

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Term</th>
<th>Watch List</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance Threshold***</td>
<td>$23.07</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$17.75</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$230,737.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>13,000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$19.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$123,670.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>7,275</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$17.00</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$119,890.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>10,843</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$11.06</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$136,227.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>11,070</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$12.31</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$145,577.50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$17.13</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$217,315.80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>7,197</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$16.99</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$122,216.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>3,669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$33.77</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$45,335.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>8,500</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$33.77</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$103,974.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>3,669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$33.77</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$130,169.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>3,669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$33.77</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST</td>
<td>$130,169.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>3,669</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$33.77</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Proposed cost and trip figures for first year of proposal
** Cumulative to date
*** 130% of proposed first year cost/trip

### City of Oceanside - 5001100

- **Cost**: $217,315.80
- **Trips**: 11,070
- **Cost/Trip**: $17.13
- **Percent of Funding Expended**: 75.31%
- **Status**: Watch List
- **Performance Threshold***: $49.11
- **Cost Efficiency**: NO
- **Schedule**: YES

### City of Vista - 5001692

- **Cost**: $11,890.00
- **Trips**: 7,197
- **Cost/Trip**: $16.99
- **Percent of Funding Expended**: 29.55%
- **Status**: Watch List
- **Performance Threshold***: $19.20
- **Cost Efficiency**: NO
- **Schedule**: YES

### Travelers Aid Society - #5001700

- **Cost**: $136,227.50
- **Trips**: 10,843
- **Cost/Trip**: $11.06
- **Percent of Funding Expended**: 29.10%
- **Status**: Watch List
- **Performance Threshold***: $16.00
- **Cost Efficiency**: NO
- **Schedule**: YES

### City of La Mesa - 5001690

- **Cost**: $545,577.50
- **Trips**: 5,332
- **Cost/Trip**: $17.58
- **Percent of Funding Expended**: 75.31%
- **Status**: Watch List
- **Performance Threshold***: $19.20
- **Cost Efficiency**: NO
- **Schedule**: NO

### City of Oceanide - 5001100

- **Cost**: $217,315.80
- **Trips**: 11,070
- **Cost/Trip**: $17.13
- **Percent of Funding Expended**: 75.31%
- **Status**: Watch List
- **Performance Threshold***: $49.11
- **Cost Efficiency**: NO
- **Schedule**: YES

### Redwood Elderlink - 5001698

- **Cost**: $222,216.25
- **Trips**: 3,669
- **Cost/Trip**: $33.77
- **Percent of Funding Expended**: 62.56%
- **Status**: Watch List
- **Performance Threshold***: $43.90
- **Cost Efficiency**: NO
- **Schedule**: NO

### FACT - 5001103

- **Cost**: $45,335.00
- **Trips**: 8,500
- **Cost/Trip**: $5.33
- **Percent of Funding Expended**: 75.31%
- **Status**: Watch List
- **Performance Threshold***: $49.11
- **Cost Efficiency**: NO
- **Schedule**: YES

### Friends of Adult Day Health Care Centers - 5001694

- **Cost**: $103,974.00
- **Trips**: 3,669
- **Cost/Trip**: $29.38
- **Percent of Funding Expended**: 5.17%
- **Status**: Watch List
- **Performance Threshold***: $51.29
- **Cost Efficiency**: NO
- **Schedule**: YES

The contract term has ended. FACT will continue the project in 2014 using new funding through the Senior Mini-Grant and New Freedom programs.

At the current draw down rate, Friends of Adult Day Health Care Centers would require a 17 month extension to draw down on all funding.
## Status of Active Specialized Transportation Grants

**New Freedom Program through 12/31/12**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grant Term</th>
<th>Watch List</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance Threshold**</td>
<td></td>
<td>$35.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost Efficiency</td>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schedule</td>
<td></td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Jewish Family Services - #5001713

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>$179,710.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>6,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$27.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Efficiency NO
Schedule NO

### City of La Mesa - #5001709

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>$232,924.00</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>8,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$27.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Efficiency NO
Schedule NO

### FACT - #5001081

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>$848,798.75</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Units</td>
<td>19,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Unit</td>
<td>$44.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Efficiency NO
Schedule NO

### Renewing Life - #5001714

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cost</th>
<th>$30,522.42</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>3,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>$25.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cost Efficiency NO
Schedule YES

---

* Proposed cost and trip figures for first year of proposal
** Cumulative to date
*** 130% of proposed first year cost/trip

At the current draw down rate Renewing Life would require an 11 month extension to draw down on all funding.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Description</th>
<th>Proposal</th>
<th>Actual**</th>
<th>Grant Term</th>
<th>Watch List</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>$82,127.50</td>
<td>$82,711.23</td>
<td>04/01/2012 - 03/31/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $194.83</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Units</strong></td>
<td>548</td>
<td>789</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Unit</strong></td>
<td>$149.87</td>
<td>$74.41</td>
<td>71.49%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>St. Madeleine Sophie's Center - #5001342</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>$88,753.00</td>
<td>$1,102.19</td>
<td>05/01/2011 - 12/31/2017</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $28,844.73</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Car Loan</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Loan</strong></td>
<td>$22,188.25</td>
<td>$951.09</td>
<td>0.99%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Rescue Committee - #5001706</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>$156,072.00</td>
<td>$44,424.52</td>
<td>04/01/2012 - 03/31/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $10,144.68</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Car Loan</strong></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Loan</strong></td>
<td>$7,803.60</td>
<td>$8,884.90</td>
<td>5.33%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>North County Transit District (NCTD) Route 302</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposals</strong></td>
<td>$193,418.00</td>
<td>$193,418.00</td>
<td>03/01/2012 - 02/28/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $4.60</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency YES</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trips</strong></td>
<td>54,638</td>
<td>54,638</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Trip</strong></td>
<td>$3.54</td>
<td>$10.84</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCTD Route 351 &amp; 352</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposals</strong></td>
<td>$432,278.00</td>
<td>$434,598.00</td>
<td>03/01/2012 - 02/28/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $4.61</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>270,000</td>
<td>119,565</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trips</strong></td>
<td>122,000</td>
<td>122,000</td>
<td>79.02%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Trip</strong></td>
<td>$3.54</td>
<td>$2.86</td>
<td>79.02%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCTD Route 355/357 El Norte Parkway</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposals</strong></td>
<td>$259,454.00</td>
<td>$259,454.00</td>
<td>03/01/2012 - 02/28/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $4.71</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>71,565</td>
<td>71,565</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trips</strong></td>
<td>103,335</td>
<td>103,335</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Trip</strong></td>
<td>$3.63</td>
<td>$4.19</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCTD Medical Jobs Shuttle</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposals</strong></td>
<td>$502,430.00</td>
<td>$502,430.00</td>
<td>03/01/2012 - 02/28/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $4.60</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>85,550</td>
<td>85,550</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trips</strong></td>
<td>148,018</td>
<td>148,018</td>
<td>79.02%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Trip</strong></td>
<td>$3.54</td>
<td>$2.83</td>
<td>79.02%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NCTD SPRINT Service</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposals</strong></td>
<td>$324,312.90</td>
<td>$364,960.00</td>
<td>07/01/2012 - 06/30/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $1.25</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>222,222</td>
<td>222,222</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trips</strong></td>
<td>148,018</td>
<td>148,018</td>
<td>122.80%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Trip</strong></td>
<td>$0.96</td>
<td>$0.96</td>
<td>122.80%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Metropolitan Transit System (MTS) Route 960</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposals</strong></td>
<td>$321,640.00</td>
<td>$146,238.00</td>
<td>07/01/2012 - 06/30/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $5.31</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>78,706</td>
<td>41,351</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trips</strong></td>
<td>103,335</td>
<td>103,335</td>
<td>43.60%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Trip</strong></td>
<td>$0.96</td>
<td>$0.96</td>
<td>43.60%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MTS Route 30</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposals</strong></td>
<td>$813,348.00</td>
<td>$510,568.00</td>
<td>07/01/2012 - 06/30/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $3.27</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>322,888</td>
<td>202,532</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trips</strong></td>
<td>54,638</td>
<td>54,638</td>
<td>65.33%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost/Trip</strong></td>
<td>$4.09</td>
<td>$3.03</td>
<td>65.33%</td>
<td>Schedule NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MTS Route 905</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Proposals</strong></td>
<td>$554,606.00</td>
<td>$176,774.00</td>
<td>07/01/2012 - 06/30/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold*** $1.83</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cost</strong></td>
<td>393,967</td>
<td>276,828</td>
<td>Percent of Funding Expended</td>
<td>Cost Efficiency NO</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trips</strong></td>
<td>122,000</td>
<td>122,000</td>
<td>31.87%</td>
<td>Schedule YES</td>
<td>Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MTS is a direct recipient of the FTA and therefore does not have a grant agreement with SANDAG for JARC funding.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>Trips</td>
<td>Cost/Trip</td>
<td>Grant Term</td>
<td>Watch List</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MTS Route 932</strong></td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>1,291,624</td>
<td>$0.31</td>
<td>07/01/2012 - 06/30/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal*</td>
<td>Actual**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>641,669</td>
<td>$0.31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MTS Route 955</strong></td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>1,657,850</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td>07/01/2012 - 06/30/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal*</td>
<td>Actual**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$400,000.00</td>
<td>819,354</td>
<td>$0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MTS Route 967/968</strong></td>
<td>$384,856.00</td>
<td>124,382</td>
<td>$3.09</td>
<td>07/01/2012 - 06/30/2013</td>
<td>Performance Threshold***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposal*</td>
<td>Actual**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost</td>
<td>$384,856.00</td>
<td>62,096</td>
<td>$2.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Proposed cost and trip figures for first year of proposal
** Cumulative to date
*** 130% of proposed first year cost/trip