SHORELINE PRESERVATION COMMITTEE
The Shoreline Preservation Committee may take action on any item appearing on this agenda.

Thursday, November 4, 2004
11:30 a.m. – 1:00 p.m.

SANDAG, 7th Floor Conference Board Room *
401 B Street, Suite 800
San Diego, CA 92101-4231

Staff Contacts: Rob Rundle Shelby Tucker
(619) 699-6949 (619) 699-1916
rru@sandag.org stu@sandag.org

* Please note the change in meeting location.

SANDAG offices are accessible by public transit. Phone 1-800-COMMUTE or see www.sdcommute.com for route information.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), SANDAG will accommodate persons who require assistance in order to participate in SANDAG meetings. If such assistance is required, please contact SANDAG at (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

To request this document or related reports in an alternative format, please call (619) 699-1900, (619) 699-1904 (TTY), or fax (619) 699-1905.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM #</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Welcome and Introductions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Meeting Summary for September 2, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The September 2, 2004, meeting summary is enclosed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Public Comment and Communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Members of the public interested in addressing the Committee may do so during this time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Storm Water Runoff and Beach Sand Replenishment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>John Robertus from the San Diego Regional Water Quality Control Board will discuss options for recovering and processing beach quality sand from storm water detention facilities throughout the region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Discussion of the East Bay Regional Park District’s Plan to Raise Taxes for Maintenance of Parks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Steve Aceti, CalCoast, asked to place this item on the agenda to continue the discussion of potential funding sources for future beach sand replenishment activities in the San Diego region. The attached article is one mechanism for funding that was utilized in the bay area.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Beach Replenishment Funding Strategy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At its September meeting, the Committee requested this item be placed on the agenda to discuss the post-election strategy for beach sand replenishment in the region.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>FY 2006 Regional Shoreline Monitoring Efforts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>At its September meeting, the Committee approved the continuation of the Regional Shoreline Monitoring Program. Staff will update the Committee on the status of the 2006 Program.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Legislative Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>An informational update regarding the Water Resources Development Act will be provided by Steve Aceti from CalCoast. Additionally, committee members will discuss the status of other state and federal legislation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITEM #</td>
<td>ACTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 9.     | **Next Meeting Date**  
|        | It is suggested that the Committee’s next meeting date be scheduled for Thursday, February 5, 2005. |
| 10.    | Adjourn |

+ next to an agenda item indicates an attachment
September 9, 2004

To: Shoreline Preservation Committee

From: SANDAG Staff

Subject: SEPTEMBER 2, 2004, MEETING SUMMARY

Members in Attendance
Councilmember Ann Kulchin, City of Carlsbad, Chair
Councilmember James Bond, City of Encinitas, Co-Chair
Councilmember Jerry Finnell, City of Del Mar
Councilmember Jim Janney, City of Imperial Beach
Councilmember Philip Monroe, City of Coronado
Mayor Terry Johnson, City of Oceanside
Mayor Joe Kellejian, City of Solana Beach

Advisory Members
August Felando, California Lobster & Trap Fisherman's Association
Cami Mattson, San Diego North Convention and Visitors Bureau
Dedi Ridenour, Sierra Club
Brian Woodward, Surfrider Foundation
Patrick McKay, U.S. Navy

Staff Working Group
Lori Naylor, City of Solana Beach (for Steve Apple)
Ray Duncan, City of Oceanside
Don Hadley, City of Oceanside
Steven Jantz, City of Carlsbad
Ed Kleeman, City of Coronado
Kathy Weldon, City of Encinitas

Others
Erik Anderson, KPBS Radio
Clif Davenport, California Geological Survey/Coastal Sediment Management Workgroup
Shawn Dressel, Hofman Planning
Karen Green, SAIC
Lawrence Honma, AMEC
Sachiko Kohatsu, County of San Diego, Office of Sup. Slater-Price
Brian Leslie, City of Encinitas
Anne-Lise Lindquist, Moffat & Nichol
John Metz, Oceanside Beach & Harbor Advisory Committee
Dan Muslin, TEC icon
Dave Oakley, Encinitas Seacoast Preservation Association
Sue Steele, Seacoast Preservation
Shelby Tucker, SANDAG
Rob Rundle, SANDAG
Kristin Green, SANDAG
1. Welcome and introductions

Councilmember Kulchin welcomed everyone and conducted the meeting.

2. Meeting Summary for July 1, 2004

Meeting summary from the July 1, 2004, meeting was approved.

3. Public Comment and Communication

A member of the public commented on how well the sand that was deposited in Encinitas was doing. After three years the sand is still there, and undergrowth has begun to develop at the bottom of the cliffs.

Mayor Joe Kellejian, City of Solana Beach, informs the committee that Steve Aceti will not be able to make it to today’s meeting. Steve requested that his agenda items be discussed at the next meeting.

4. Continuation of Regional Shoreline Monitoring Efforts

Staff gave an overview of current monitoring efforts. At the end of June 2005, SANDAG will no longer be required to continue the shoreline monitoring program. Currently, data is collected at established transects twice a year in a spring and fall survey, and includes aerial photography of lagoons and the shoreline. Three program proposals were considered for the continuation of data collection. Depending on which proposal is chosen, the cost of monitoring could range anywhere between 87,000 and 94,000. Staff doesn’t feel that the enhanced program needs to be maintained, but would like to continue some level of data collection while keeping expenses to a minimum. The information that is collected through monitoring would be essential if the possibility of another Regional Beach Sand Project ever arose. Staff would like the committee to make a recommendation regarding the continuation of shoreline monitoring.

Councilmember Ann Kulchin, City of Carlsbad, noted that shoreline monitoring and data collection is very important. Further monitoring and collection will help to prove that more work must be done by giving people solid numbers to look at.

Members agree that photos can explain quite a bit, and are worth the price. The aerial photography may turn out to be an excellent way of educating people about what is happening on the beaches, as well as to show them the big picture.

Motion is made to accept modified program 2, which includes aerial photography. Motion was seconded and passed.

Recommendation is made that SANDAG staff occasionally reach out to jurisdictions affected by shoreline issues by presenting information about shoreline preservation at City Council meetings in order to educate the public on the issue.
5. Update on California Coastal Sediment Management Master Plan (CSMMP) and Sand Compatibility and Opportunistic Use Program (SCOUP)

Steve Sachs gave a presentation on the Coastal Sediment Management Master Plan (CSMMP) and Sand Compatibility Opportunistic Use Program (SCOUP). These programs are funded by the State and Federal government and are a joint effort between two agencies that have the resources and regulatory responsibility for beaches.

The CSMMP program has been going on for nearly a year, and the end objective is to develop a statewide sediment management plan that would focus on regional solutions to sediment management. Public workshops for the CSMMP have been held throughout the state in various locations between San Diego and Eureka. The Coastal Sediment Management Workgroup helped in this effort, and will be reviewing the information gathered at the workshops. Many of the comments from the workshop focused on bringing authorities together in order to get collaboration on a Federal, State, and local level, as well as to streamline CEQA/NEPA and permitting policies.

Steve then moved on to discuss the Sand Compatibility and Opportunistic Use Program (SCOUP). The SCOUP program is part of a study being done in the Oceanside littoral cell as part of the Sediment Management Plan. The goal of the SCOUP program is to develop an opportunistic sand compatibility program that can be used statewide. The SCOUP program will advocate for the allowance of a wider variety of sand to be used on opportunistic sand projects. SCOUP will also promote sand compatibility testing protocols that will result in having a readily available list of tests that would have to be done on specific types of sand and beaches in order to determine what type of sand can be used at specific locations. This would make the process of acquiring available sand from nearby locations less costly and more efficient. The SCOUP program will also create a template for the use of less than optimum source sands. A Consultant will work with the data and regulators to expand the options and opportunities for putting sand on the beach.

Members were in support of the ideas in both the SCOUP and CSMMP programs, but were skeptical that this would not have any significant impact on getting sand on their beaches soon. Clif Davenport noted that the SCOUP program has been laid out in such a way that jurisdictions will be able to get in front of the regulatory agencies from the beginning; this way, the concerns of the regulatory agencies can be addressed in the beginning of the process, and ‘buy in’ can be achieved.

Members wonder if the resource agencies will support the SCOUP program, and they want to make sure that all interest groups are taken into consideration during the construction of the template so that the template will move through the approval process more quickly. Steve explained that this shouldn’t be a problem because the people funding the SCOUP project are also guiding the work in both the planning and regulatory branches.

One member points out that it will be important to identify who can use terrestrial sand. Those areas should be focused on, and a policy should be created to make it easier for them to get that sand.
There is some concern about retention issues, when sand is deposited onto beaches. Steve explains that any biological impact will be an issue of concern, and will be dealt with in much the same way as similar concerns were dealt with during the Regional Beach Sand Project.

Steve notes the Coastal Sediment Management Workgroup website: http://www.dbw.ca.gov/csmw.htm

Clif recommends going to ‘quick hits,’ which will have all the information and comments regarding the public workshops.

6. Dredging Information

At the last meeting the possibility of getting a dredge was discussed in order to take advantage of any available sand in the area. Staff notes that the quantities of sand that a dredge would be used for would be larger than what is permitted for under the SCOUP program. Staff discussed the regions options with a local dredge contractor, Bill Dyson. Some questions that came up at the meeting, and will be important to answer before getting a dredge, were: how often would it be used; who would be using it; and where it would be birthed. There are a number of steps that would have to be taken for something like this to happen. An idea that came out of the meeting was to move away from thinking about this effort on a local level and think about coordinating with Orange County and Ventura, or potentially involving all of southern California. There are two types of dredges, each of which serves a different function. In the open water, only a Hopper dredge would be used, and only a cutter head would be used in a lagoon. There would be different environmental impacts depending on which dredge is used.

It was mentioned that Oceanside uses a cutter head dredge each year at the park entrance to the harbor, and that this might be beneficial to look into. Another idea would be to determine when equipment would be in the area and available for use so contractors would have this information.

Members point out that the most important thing to do will be to find funding for these projects. It will be important to lobby in order to get money for efforts such as this. Mayor Joe Kellejian mentions that he has learned that staff is responsible for a number of things at SANDAG. Mayor Kellejian feels that there are so many more questions and issues that will have to be dealt with regarding shoreline preservation and he is not sure if staff will have the time for all of the work. Staff assures Mayor Kellejian that they will be able to handle anything that they are asked to do, and that members of the committee should not be concerned with their workloads. Mayor Kellejian then moves to discuss the possibility of the Shoreline Preservation Committee being incorporated under one of the other four committees in order to get more power behind the committee, and also ‘buy in’ from other jurisdictions. Mayor Kellejian would like to see staff or the Chair talk with the executive committee to find out if it would be an option.

Councilmember James Bond, City of Encinitas, feels that people would support a $5.00 or $7.00 tax per year on their parcel to pay for beach replenishment. He feels that this tax would help us to get funding for sand relocation efforts. Councilmember Bond feels that no
matter what happens with TransNet, this should be voted on in 2006 because it is a totally different thing—one tax is on property and the other is a sales tax. Councilmember Bond feels that if we don’t move forward on a tax like this, we will be talking about the same issues ten years from now.

7. Discussion of the East Bay Regional Park District’s Plan to Raise Taxes for Maintenance of Parks

This item will be discussed at the next meeting.

8. Legislative Update

This Item will be discussed at the next meeting.

9. Next Meeting Date

Elected officials should spread the word about the importance of TransNet, and also explain what will be done in their jurisdictions.

The next meeting will be held November 4, 2004.

10. Adjourn