



BOARD OF DIRECTORS
JANUARY 23, 2004

AGENDA ITEM NO. 04-01-1-A
ACTION REQUESTED - APPROVE

MINUTES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING

December 19, 2003

Chairman Ron Morrison (National City) called the meeting of the SANDAG Board of Directors to order at 9:10 a.m. The attendance sheet for the meeting is attached.

1. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES (APPROVE)

Action: El Cajon – Imperial Beach. A motion and second was made to approve the minutes of the November 21, 2003, Board of Directors meeting. Yes – 14 (weighted vote, 88%). No – 0 (weighted vote, 0%). Abstain – 2 (Del Mar, Encinitas). Absent – 3 (Chula Vista, Lemon Grove, San Marcos).

2. ADDITIONS AND DELETIONS

None.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/MEMBERS COMMENTS

Robert Hoffman, a member of the public, suggested that in order for the public to hear speakers while listening to the Board meeting on the Internet, the public microphone should be placed no closer than 6 inches or farther than 12 inches from the speaker for maximum sound quality.

Noel Neudeck, President of Wheelchair Access Now Today, requested that a handheld wireless microphone be made available for public comment (he was subsequently provided with one). He also requested that the Executive Director ask the building manager to install automatic doors with a touch plate so that disabled people can easily enter the Wells Fargo Building.

Supervisor Greg Cox (County of San Diego) asked staff to follow up with that request.

CONSENT ITEMS (4 through 9)

Chair Morrison noted that he had received requests to pull Item No. 6 for comments.

6. FINAL ARJIS/SANDAG CONSOLIDATION PLAN (APPROVE)

This action would approve the final Automated Regional Justice Information System (ARJIS)/SANDAG Consolidation Plan, including the necessary policy and legal actions to

consolidate ARJIS within SANDAG, contingent upon supporting actions by the joint powers agency (JPA) jurisdictions and the formation of a policy advisory committee on Public Safety to cover both ARJIS and criminal justice functions.

Supervisor Cox expressed a concern about the name of the new policy committee. He said that the focus of ARJIS has been and will continue to be on criminal justice information. If there is a desire for this committee to get into other areas, that would be the time to change the name. He moved that the new policy committee be called the "criminal justice advisory committee." Councilmember Hal Ryan (Santee) seconded the motion.

Councilmember Patricia McCoy (Imperial Beach) stated that the trolley enforcement officers are not included in this and they need to be. She urged the Board to look into including them.

Chair Morrison asked if the trolley enforcement personnel are sworn officers. *Staff replied that they are not sworn officers.*

Councilmember Ramona Finnila (Carlsbad) stated that ARJIS is an umbrella organization with a number of various efforts. It is not just criminal justice, it is also public safety. There are preventative things that will be happening here once we get the data out to people to use. That's why we choose the term "public safety."

Following further discussion related to the name of the new policy advisory committee, Supervisor Cox agreed to amend his motion to accept the report recommendation and ask the new policy committee to come back with a recommendation on the appropriate title. The second to the motion accepted this modification.

Action: San Diego County - Santee. A motion and second was made to accept this report and to ask that the new policy committee report back with a recommendation on an appropriate title for the committee. Yes – 16 (weighted vote, 89%). No – 2 (weighted vote, 3%). Abstain - 0. Absent – 1 (San Marcos).

4. ACTIONS BY POLICY ADVISORY COMMITTEES (APPROVE)

Councilmember Christy Guerin (Encinitas) expressed her appreciation for the sensitivity the Transportation Committee is showing to the North/South Transportation Facility update. She didn't think we should spend money to study corridors we have studied before if they are not feasible.

Mayor Lori Holt Pfeiler (Escondido) asked that staff clarify the differences between agenda report No. 4 in the agenda package and the one distributed at the meeting. *Staff clarified that the section on the Borders Committee in the original agenda report was a compilation of actions taken at the two previous meetings. The agenda report was revised to accurately reflect the actions taken at the last Borders Committee meeting.*

5. FINAL 2030 CITIES/COUNTY FORECAST (APPROVE)

Last month the Board discussed the Final 2030 Cities/County Forecast, which is the baseline view of the future for the Regional Comprehensive Plan (RCP). This forecast reflects the

likely distribution of growth to jurisdictions and other areas within the region based on the currently adopted land use plans and policies of the 18 cities and the most recent information from the County of San Diego's General Plan update (GP2020). The Board is being asked to approve the Final 2030 Cities/County Forecast for use in planning and other purposes.

Mayor Steve Padilla (Chula Vista) requested that a notation be made to the piece of the data for the City of Chula Vista in the city/county employment forecast. The City is in the process of updating its General Plan within the next year. Given the allocation of industrial land this may change the data. He wanted to avoid unintended negative consequences for the City with the out-of-date information and asked that an addendum to this report including the updated information for the City of Chula Vista be developed. *Staff said that the 2030 forecast reflects the best information available at this time using current plans and policies. It is recognized that various jurisdictions are updating their plans. When this updated information is complete it will be added to subsequent versions of the forecasts.*

Vice Chair Mickey Cafagna (Poway) stated that this plan does not reflect fully meeting the demand for housing units, and we need to add an item to a future Board agenda to start talking about the buildout of San Diego County.

Councilmember Jim Madaffer (City of San Diego) asked about the percentage between natural growth and imported growth. *Staff responded that natural growth is roughly about two-thirds of total forecasted growth. This includes children of people who are currently residents.* Councilmember Madaffer asked that this information be separated out in future reports.

7. 2004 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM (APPROVE)

The Executive Committee has reviewed the proposed federal and state legislative program for the upcoming year and recommended its approval.

Supervisor Cox agreed that it is timely to add the focus on making sure we get the vehicle license fee (VLF) backfill for cities and counties. He noted that the Local Government Ballot Initiative on page 4 of the legislative program is a constitutional amendment that is being sponsored by a number of associations in addition to CitiPac.

8. PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE (ACCEPT FOR DISTRIBUTION)

The Proposed Amendment to SANDAG's Conflict of Interest Code reflects necessary updates to the list of designated positions subject to filing annual economic disclosure statements and the financial disclosure categories applicable to such designated positions. The County of San Diego is SANDAG's code reviewing body pursuant to Government Code Section 87306.5. The Board is asked to accept the Notice and Proposed Amendment for distribution. Following the comment period and approval by the Board at a subsequent meeting, the amended Conflict of Interest Code will be sent to the County of San Diego for review.

9. REGIONAL SHORELINE MONITORING PROGRAM FOR FY 2005 (APPROVE)

Approval of this item would continue the regional shoreline monitoring program that has been conducted since 1996. The monitoring program provides data to measure the impacts of beach erosion over time and documents the benefits of sand replenishment.

Action: Imperial Beach - Poway. A motion and second was made to approve Consent Items 4, 5, 7, 8, and 9. Yes – 18 (Weighted Vote 100%). No – 0. Abstain - 0. Absent – 1 (San Marcos).

CHAIR'S REPORT

10. PRESENTATION OF AWARD OF RECOGNITION TO SENATOR DENISE MORENO DUCHENY FOR PASSAGE OF AB 491

Chair Morrison stated that Senator Denise Ducheny authored and secured the passage of Senate Bill (SB) 491. This important legislation extends the housing element deadline for cities and counties by one year. This will allow SANDAG and the local jurisdictions to coordinate planning efforts in conjunction with the development of the Regional Comprehensive Plan (RCP). Senator Ducheny has provided a wealth of leadership on behalf of the cities and county in this region. This bill will enhance the quality of life for all of the state's citizens.

Senator Ducheny expressed her appreciation for this recognition and said that it has a lot to do with policy. It brought to her a greater appreciation for the comprehensive plan engaged in by SANDAG. She thought it was important to support the planning efforts being conducted by SANDAG, which is becoming a pilot model for other areas. She looked forward to working with SANDAG to complete the RCP. Housing is a key element in economic development in this state. She added that we need to find a stable financial situation for the State of California. She welcomed the new Mexican Consul to San Diego.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/MEMBERS COMMENTS (Continued)

Councilmember Crystal Crawford (Del Mar) introduced the new Mexican Consul Luis Cabrera Cuaron. She said that his appointment is a result of action by Mexican President Vicente Fox and the Mexican Congress. She stated that SANDAG is committed to mutual issues of our two countries. She reviewed Mr. Cabrera's career highlights.

Mr. Cabrera indicated the importance of working together with SANDAG. His position on the SANDAG Board shows the commitment of Mexico to work with San Diego County. Mexico is very conscious of the interdependence of this region, and SANDAG provides an excellent forum to discuss mutual issues.

Chair Morrison noted that SANDAG officials had met with Mexican Consul Cabrera previously on a trip to Washington, D.C.

REPORTS

11. DRAFT REGIONAL COMPREHENSIVE PLAN (ACCEPT FOR DISTRIBUTION)

Mayor Lori Holt Pfeiler recognized a number of people who worked together on the RCP. She said that the RCP is our shared vision for the future. She reviewed the current path, the path less traveled, and where the path leads us. Under the existing plans, we will continue to have housing shortages, skyrocketing prices, more traffic congestion, and less open space. The RCP framework will connect our transportation and land use plans and use them as guides to other plans for housing, a healthy environment, economic prosperity, public facilities, and borders. It will also provide social equity and environmental justice and an integrated regional infrastructure strategy. The RCP contains performance measures so we can monitor our progress. Immediate actions include subregional plans with local jurisdictions, coordination with regional infrastructure providers, transportation project evaluation criteria, and smart growth incentives. She reviewed the next steps leading to SANDAG action on a final Environmental Impact Report and RCP in June 2004. Ms. Pfeiler stated that several people who have been involved in the RCP would like to provide comments.

Patty Davis (Chula Vista Council member), Chair of the Stakeholders Working Group, said that there were 25 members of this group who met at least once and sometimes twice a month. They worked with staff on the development of the RCP. She thanked those who worked on this committee for their time and effort.

Mark Stevens, Planning Department, City of Chula Vista, said that SANDAG and everyone involved in this process should be commended for their efforts to include a number of various groups. It has been an exciting project. He urged the Board to release the document for public review.

Susan Davis, All Congregations Together, thanked the SANDAG Board for the community's education about the RCP. The language in RCP was simplified to make it easier to understand. She appreciated the opportunity to provide input.

Supervisor Ron Roberts (County of San Diego) expressed his appreciation to Mayor Pfeiler and staff for their efforts on the RCP. He did indicate a concern about a basic assumption of the RCP related to housing. He said that the plan is anticipating that smart growth will not work and people will be pushed to other areas. He felt that people will need to be housed in this county rather than shifting them out of San Diego.

Public Comments:

Clive Richard, a member of the public, expressed a concern about what the region will look like when we fail to do what we ought to do.

Robert Hoffman, a member of the public, said that prosperity means economic well-being and he didn't see anything in the RCP that shows that. He didn't see any transportation choices, just expansion of what exists today. He didn't feel that transit is going to do the job as claimed in the RCP.

Tom Scott, Executive Director of the San Diego Housing Federation and participant on the Stakeholders Working Group, hoped that the situation of zoning for single-family housing to multi-family housing can be addressed. This plan provides a framework to address this need and still continue our quality of life. He encouraged the Board to move forward with it.

Ramon Salisbury, representing the League of Women Voters, participated on the Stakeholders Working Group. She said that she is looking forward to working on the implementation plan. She urged the Board to move this document forward to public comment.

Janet Anderson, a member of the public, said that she enjoyed working on the Stakeholders Working Group. She stated the need for a plan so that activities in various jurisdictions are integrated and to direct future development to areas that are already urbanized. She said that the heart of this plan is the protection of sensitive lands brought together with the integration of transportation and land use plans.

Board Comments:

Councilmember Patricia McCoy said that we have to make the RCP work, and how we do it is by policy and creativity towards funding, and sharing the burden as equitably as we can. We need to preserve our quality of life. She asked if any of the maps were available on disk. Mayor Pfeiler replied that they will be provided when staff makes a presentation on the RCP to the various city councils.

Councilmember Judy Ritter (NCTD) thanked Mayor Pfeiler, Councilmember Patty Davis, and SANDAG staff, especially Carolina Gregor. She said that if we want to continue our quality life in this county, we have to think regionally. Our key to the future is mass transit. We have to have it operate more often and more frequently.

Mayor Dick Murphy (City of San Diego) said that this is an historic day for SANDAG and the San Diego region as it is the real start of collective efforts for the region. He congratulated Mayor Pfeiler and others.

Councilmember Guerin thanked Mayor Pfeiler. She asked staff to explain the connection of TransNet to the RCP. *The Executive Director indicated that RCP is linked to TransNet in three areas: discretionary money to cities, a piece to improve regional arterial streets, and a smart growth component to incentivize these efforts.*

Bud Lewis, San Diego County Water Authority, said that we need the RCP for the existing population and for new jobs. We are constantly on the danger zone for drought. We need to expand for future needs.

Councilmember Ryan asked staff to comment on the effort to solicit public input on the RCP. *The Executive Director explained that staff will spend the next six months in workshops to the public and completing the environmental document. Over this time, staff will be providing status reports to the Board.*

Councilmember Ryan asked about public comments prior to June for the EIR. Staff explained that if the draft RCP is accepted at this meeting, then the initial round of comments will be reflected in the draft EIR. Staff will bring the draft EIR to the Board in March for distribution. There will be a second round of input at workshops for comments to both the RCP and the EIR. They will be revised to reflect those comments for the Board's consideration in June.

Councilmember Jim Madaffer thanked Mayor Pfeiler for her leadership and SANDAG staff for their work on the RCP.

Chair Morrison noted that there is no plan like this in the United States. SANDAG is being looked at by other areas as a model. It is a huge step in regionalism that other areas have not taken. This gives us the opportunity to know where we are going.

Action: Del Mar - Poway. A motion and second was made to accept the Draft RCP for distribution for a 60-day review period. Yes – 18 (weighted vote, 100%). No – 0 (weighted vote, 0%). Abstain – 0. Absent – 1 (San Marcos).

Chair Morrison called for a five-minute break at 11:15 a.m. He reconvened the meeting at 11:22 a.m.

12. MID-COAST LIGHT RAIL TRANSIT (LRT) ALIGNMENT SELECTION AND IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY (APPROVE)

Transportation Committee Chair Joe Kellejian stated that the Transportation Committee considered the Mid-Coast alignment selection in October and November 2003. It is the only remaining rail project approved by the voters in the original *TransNet* measure. In 1995 the Metropolitan Transit Development Board adopted a preferred route; however, changes in the area since then have necessitated modifications to the preferred alignment.

Staff provided a report indicating the 1995 adopted alignment and an alignment options analysis. The conclusion is that the UCSD West Side Alignment is the preferred alignment due to more ridership, mid-range capital costs, service to UCSD West and East campus directly, service to the University Towne Centre (UTC) activity center, coordination with existing and planned transit services, no significant environmental impacts, and the best land use integration potential. Staff also reviewed the public input efforts. Follow-up actions in the next phase of analysis will include looking at ways to resolve concerns of the Jewish Community Center related to noise, vibration, and traffic concerns. Further analysis is also needed on the use of Eastgate Mall and Genesee Avenue.

Public Comment:

Councilmember Scott Peters, City of San Diego, representing the University City area, expressed concern about the trolley in the context of limited resources. He cautioned the Board not to use all of the funding resources on one project. He asked that an explanation be provided for his constituents in the north coastal area of what the plan is going to be. This is now the major employment center of the

region. He felt that the trolley may not work out to be the best system for the area and suggested that a provision for future flexibility be included.

Bob Emery, representing MTS, asked the SANDAG Board to stay the course. He said that this line has been under evaluation and review for a long time. It makes sense and completes the process, and is a logical extension of the existing trolley system.

Harvey Goodfriend read a statement on behalf of Lisa Briggs with the San Diego Taxpayers Association. The statement said that the determination for light rail transit (LRT) over bus rapid transit (BRT) in this corridor was premature and they don't feel that LRT will provide the solution for this area over that of BRT. They requested that SANDAG contract with an experienced designer of transit way systems and assign it the task of developing BRT. They urged SANDAG to carefully consider the following prior to committing to a course of action: cost/benefit analysis, cost of necessary infrastructure, operating expenses, flexibility, and timing.

Transportation Committee Chair Kellejian clarified that action today is an LRT alignment not a different mode of travel. He said that there has been some comparison between BRT and LRT, and the MTS Board voted 12 to 3 in favor of LRT over BRT.

Jarvis Ross, Planning Committee of Pacific Beach, stated that BRT is a misnomer because it is not rapid and it undergoes the same problems as any other bus system. He appreciated Mayor Murphy's support of the LRT system and hoped that SANDAG does not amend this in any way to a BRT system.

Alice Tana, past president, University City Community Planning Group, said she would like to see SANDAG moving forward with some kind of transportation for this area. She recommended that the SANDAG Board adopt the UCSD West alignment.

George Latimer, President, University City Community Planning Group, stated that the Group has looked at a number of alignment and transit options for the area. Today's question is a simple one, to focus staff's attention and delete an alternative that only serves the east side of the campus. The informed opinion is to proceed with the alignment that will serve both the east and west side of the UCSD campus. They want effective, economic mass transit to the University City area.

Clive Richard, a member of the public, agreed that serving both sides of the campus would be the better choice. He wondered if you should go to University City or stop somewhere along the way and use the remaining money to fund operations. He pointed out that SANDAG's objective is different from that of UCSD's.

Milton Phegley, Campus Community Planner at UCSD, said that the need for transportation exists today and will only increase in the future. This service will be complimented with the UCSD shuttle service. This project does not preclude or eliminate other transportation options. He expressed his support of this light rail project.

Jim Schmidt, a member of the public, stated that the money used for this project will not divert money from freeways and roads. This line will help students get to UCSD. He urged the Board's support of this project.

Bill Lieberman, a member of the public, wanted to affirm an earlier statement that this action is one of alignment and not mode. LRT was recommended for very good reasons. A busway would be cheaper to construct than light rail, but with equivalent capacities, it would be more expensive to operate. Vehicles for BRT are smaller and more are required, with a higher operating cost, and more disruption when buses have to cross streets. The General Accounting Office report several years ago stated that on a cost per passenger basis, light rail was cheaper than BRT. On the use of light rail and a bus way, light rail carries twice the volume. The two modes are different and serve different domains. Light rail is used in heavy use corridors and BRT in corridors where light rail is impractical. He urged the Board to move the project forward.

Noel Neudeck, President of Transit Access Now Today, expressed comments in opposition to more overhead electric trolleys. He said the Board should think in terms of heavy rail not light rail. Diesel vehicle units will be quieter than light rail. All trolleys should be low-floor models. He suggested that the company who laid the new Coaster track should be hired for this project for a very smooth ride. He mentioned that he had been denied service on the trolley due to the lack of space.

Board Comments:

Mayor Murphy stated that there is widespread consensus for the UCSD West alignment. LRT vs. BRT has been debated at length at MTDB. The advantages of LRT are significant over BRT. This project is consistent with the 1987 *TransNet* plan. Federal funding for the LRT is more likely than for BRT. If we switch modes there is no guarantee we will receive federal funding. BRT will work on the I-15 corridor where there are high-occupancy vehicle (HOV) lanes. We do not have HOV lanes on I-5. We have a dedicated right-of-way for a train.

Councilmember Ritter said that this project will allow riders to connect with the Sprinter. To compete with the automobile, we have to further expand our transit system.

Action: City of San Diego – Solana Beach. A motion and second was made to adopt the UCSD West Alignment with Regents Road/Executive Drive and Genesee Avenue variations as the Locally Preferred Alternative for the Mid-Coast LRT Project and to approve the implementation strategy. Yes – 18 (weighted vote, 100%). No – 0 (weighted vote, 0%). Abstain – 0. Absent – 1 (San Marcos).

13. PUBLIC HEARING: FREEWAY DEFICIENCY PLAN: CENTRAL INTERSTATE 5 CORRIDOR (ACCEPT)

Chair Morrison opened the public hearing at 12:17 p.m. There were no requests to speak and the hearing was closed.

There was no discussion of this item.

Action: Poway – City of San Diego. A motion and second was made to accept the Freeway Deficiency Plan for the Central I-5 Corridor. Yes – 18 (weighted vote, 100%). No – 0 (weighted vote, 0%). Abstain – 0. Absent – 1 (San Marcos).

14. REGIONAL ENERGY STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION (APPROVE)

Ramona Finnila, Chair of the Energy Task Force, said that the economy drives our region, and financial solvency is based on two things: creating new money or reducing costs. The largest cost for many is energy. The Energy Task Force found that having a strategy with no implementation authority or collaborative buying is not effective. Because of the collaborative effort, the San Diego Regional Energy Office was formed and the strategy was carried on. The Energy Task Force was formed to develop implementation recommendations for this strategy. Ms. Finnila said that there is a movement to have local government act as the energy portfolio holder in the region.

Public Comments:

Alan Sweedler, Director of the Center for Energy Studies at San Diego State University (SDSU), commented on the following important points: the need for a reliable and reasonably priced source of energy, and to provide a united front for San Diego before federal and state entities. He urged support for approval of this report.

Irene Stillings, Regional Energy Office, stated that the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC) is in the middle of a proceeding to allocate energy efficiency funds for implementation in 2004-2005. A draft decision was issued on November 18, 2003, which will provide funding to the San Diego region for energy efficient activities. Yesterday an alternative decision to delay granting of this money until February 2004 was passed.

Robert Resley, with San Diego Gas & Electric (SDG&E) Company, lent SDG&E'S support for the proposal. SDG&E has a proposal in front of the CPUC for resources for renewed energy reliability. It was determined that providing for energy needs through a regional planning process was the way to proceed.

Mayor Steve Padilla (Chula Vista) was a member of the Energy Task Force. He asked the Board to approve the Task Force recommendations.

Jerry Jones, Vice Chair of the Task Force, said that the process we have come through has happened three times before with plans being developed and then sitting on the shelf. This plan is different as it involves implementation.

Dan Perkins with the Sierra Club said he is happy with the direction on this matter. They want to have an environmentally sound energy policy. He encouraged the Board to move forward with this item.

Alan Ball, a Qualcomm employee, stated that Qualcomm endorsed the recommendations of the Energy Task Force.

Mayor Murphy noted that a year ago he suggested creating a regional energy authority. This proposal is not what he suggested but addresses his concerns that the region has some government entity that will take responsibility for overseeing the Regional Energy Strategy.

Action: Poway – Encinitas. A motion and second was made to approve the following recommendations: SANDAG should assume the responsibility of coordinating the implementation of the Regional Energy Strategy as described in the Energy Task Force Findings, create an Energy Working Group to advise the Regional Planning Committee and convene it in January 2004, and direct staff to request the other organizations involved in the Energy Task Force to help provide interim funds for the Energy Working Group for the period from January to June 2004 . Yes – 17 (weighted vote, 100%). No – 0 (weighted vote, 0%). Abstain – 0. Absent - 1 (San Marcos).

15. UPCOMING MEETINGS

Chair Morrison announced that the next Policy meeting is scheduled for Friday, January 9, 2004, and the discussion will be regarding *TransNet*. The next Board of Directors Business Meeting is scheduled for Friday, January 23, 2004. He also asked that jurisdictions prepare names for nominations in mid-January 2004 for policy advisory committee assignments so that they can be in place by the first of February 2004.

Supervisor Cox indicated that this was his last meeting as Chairman of the County Board of Supervisors. He expressed his appreciation for the opportunity to work on the SANDAG Board.

16. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 12:39 p.m.

GARY L. GALLEGOS
Secretary

**DRAFT ATTENDANCE
SANDAG BOARD OF DIRECTORS' MEETING
DECEMBER 19, 2003**

JURISDICTION/ ORGANIZATION	NAME	ATTENDING	COMMENTS
City of Carlsbad	Ramona Finnila (Member)	Yes	
City of Chula Vista	Steve Padilla (Member)	Yes	
City of Coronado	Phil Monroe (Member)	Yes	
City of Del Mar	Crystal Crawford (Member)	Yes	
City of El Cajon	Mark Lewis (Member)	Yes	
City of Encinitas	Christy Guerin (Member)	Yes	
City of Escondido	Lori Holt Pfeiler (Member)	Yes	
City of Imperial Beach	Patricia McCoy (Member)	Yes	
City of La Mesa	Barry Jantz (Member)	Yes	
City of Lemon Grove	Mary Sessom (Member)	Yes	
City of National City	Ron Morrison, Chair (Member)	Yes	
City of Oceanside	Jack Feller (Member)	Yes	
City of Poway	Mickey Cafagna, Vice Chair (Member)	Yes	
City of San Diego - A	Dick Murphy (Member)	Yes	
City of San Diego - B	Jim Madaffer (Member)	Yes	
City of San Marcos	Corky Smith (Member)	No	
City of Santee	Hal Ryan (Member)	Yes	
City of Solana Beach	Joe Kellejian (Member)	Yes	
City of Vista	Morris Vance (Member)	Yes	
County of San Diego	Greg Cox (Member)	Yes	Ron Roberts also attended
ADVISORY MEMBERS LISTED BELOW (ATTENDANCE NOT COUNTED FOR QUORUM PURPOSES)			
Caltrans	Pedro Orso-Delgado (Alternate)	Yes	
MTDB	Leon Williams (Member)	Yes	
NCTD	Judy Ritter (Member)	Yes	
Imperial County	Victor Carrillo (Member)	No	
US Dept. of Defense	CAPT Christopher Schanze (Member)	Yes	
SD Unified Port District	Jess Van Deventer (Member)	Yes	
SD County Water Authority	Bud Lewis (Alternate)	Yes	
Baja California/Mexico	Luis Cabrera Cuaron (Member)	Yes	