FY 2021 SANDAG Program Budget
By Program Type – March Draft

TOTAL $1.32 Billion*

*Includes annual regional funding of capital program. Administrative Budget of $18.7 million allocated to OWP, regional operations, and capital projects.

TransNet Program
$342 million
26%

Capital Projects
$859 million
65%

Regional Operations and Services
$65 million
5.0%

Overall Work Program
$49 million
3.7%

Board Budget
$0.56 million
0.04%

Office of the Independent Performance Auditor
$0.74 million
0.06%
FY 2021 SANDAG Program Budget
By Program Type – Revised May Draft

TOTAL $1.15 Billion*
*Includes annual regional funding of capital program. Administrative Budget of $17.5 million allocated to OWP, regional operations, and capital projects.

- TransNet Program
  - $292 million
  - 25%

- Capital Projects
  - $746 million
  - 65%

- Regional Operations and Services
  - $63 million
  - 5.5%

- Overall Work Program
  - $46 million
  - 4.0%

- Board Budget
  - $0.56 million
  - 0.05%

- Office of the Independent Performance Auditor
  - $0.73 million
  - 0.06%

- TOTAL $1.15 Billion*
FY 2020 Agency Salaries and Benefits

Overall Work Program: 1.43%
Capital Projects: 0.93%
Operations: 0.89%
Administration: 0.54%
IT: 0.06%
OIPA: 0.05%
TransNet: 0.02%

FY 2020
Total Budget $53M
(4% of total Budget)
FY 2021 SANDAG Strategic Initiatives

**Strategic Initiatives**

- Implement organizational effectiveness actions
- Modernize the agency’s supporting systems and technology
- Become a data-driven organization
FY 2021 Agency Salaries and Benefits

FY 2021 Total Budget $51.6M (4% of total Budget)

- Overall Work Program 1.45%
- Capital Projects 1.16%
- Operations 1.02%
- Administration 0.64%
- IT 0.14%
- OIPA 0.06%
- TransNet 0.02%

- 32% -5% from FY 20
- 26% +2% from FY 20
- 23% +1% from FY 20
- 14% +1% from FY 20
- 3% 1%
- Less than 1%
Budget Next Steps

May 22, 2020: Board of Directors
• Review and approve Revised Draft FY 2021 Program Budget

June 12, 2020: Board of Directors
• Review and adopt proposed Final FY 2021 Program Budget

Quarterly Budget Updates: Board of Directors
• Review and potentially amend Final FY 2021 Program Budget
Recommendation

The Board of Directors is asked to approve the Revised Draft FY 2021 Program Budget and authorize distribution of the document to member agencies and other interested parties for review, and schedule review of the final Program Budget for approval at its June 12, 2020 meeting.
Buena Vista Lagoon Enhancement Program

Board of Directors Meeting | May 22, 2020
Why does Lagoon Need Enhancement?

“The analysis indicated it is likely that all of the existing open water area, except for a small flow channel, will evolve to vegetated habitat within the next 50 years.”

Page vii Feasibility Study (2004)
Existing Easements
Prior Alternatives

No Project Alternative

Freshwater

Saltwater

Hybrid
Modified Saltwater
Communications

February 2020

Buena Vista Lagoon Enhancement Program

Project Update

The San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) was asked by the cities of Carlsbad and Oceanside to take over as the lead agency in preparing an environmental impact report (EIR) for the Buena Vista Lagoon Enhancement project (in accordance with the California Environmental Quality Act).

Buena Vista Lagoon Enhancement

Introduction
Subscribe for Updates

Modified Saltwater Proposal
Engineering Analysis
Environmental Analysis
Updated Cost Estimates

Buena Vista Lagoon Enhancement Project Final EIR

Cover and Title
Changes Made to EIR
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## Summary of EIR Conclusions
(Including Environmental Analysis for Modified Saltwater)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental Resource</th>
<th>No Project</th>
<th>Freshwater</th>
<th>Saltwater</th>
<th>Hybrid</th>
<th>Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air Quality</td>
<td></td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological Resources</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cultural Resources</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrology</td>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land Use and Recreation</td>
<td></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noise</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paleontological Resources</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Health and Safety</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traffic and Circulation</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual Resources</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water Quality</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P = Significant permanent unavoidable impact
T = Significant temporary unavoidable impact
M = Significant but mitigated to less than significant impact
Recommended Approach

1. Certify EIR to lock in Modified Saltwater project

2. Condition approval to enter into Master Agreement prior to final engineering that does following:
   – Identifies agreed upon terms
   – Relinquish all prior easements and replaces new easements prior to construction bid
   – Replacement easement(s) will be provided at no cost and avoid using eminent domain
   – Master Agreement to sign by owners of property, Cities of Carlsbad and Oceanside, CDFW and SANDAG
NEXT STEPS

1. Certification of EIR
2. Seek Funding for Final Engineering
3. Enter into Master Agreement
4. Record Easements
5. Start Construction

- May 2020
- After BOD Certification of EIR
- Prior to Final Engineering
- Prior to Invitation for Bid
- Selection of Contractor
Recommendation

The Board of Directors is asked to adopt Regional Transportation Commission (RTC) Resolution No. RTC-2020-XX (Attachment 7), regarding the Buena Vista Lagoon, approving the Modified Saltwater Alternative as the project, certifying the Final Environmental Impact Report, and adopting the Findings, Statement of Overriding Considerations, and Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program (Attachments 7A-C).
MANDATE DETERMINATION PROCESS

1. Local agency or school district files a test claim with the Commission on State Mandates.
   - If filing is complete, Commission staff issues notice of completion and request for comments.
   - Comment period for parties, interested parties and interested persons on complete test claim filings.
   - Claimant rebuttal period.

2. Commission issues draft proposed decision.
   - Comment period for parties, interested parties and interested persons on draft proposed decision.

3. Commission staff issues proposed decision.

4. Commission Hearing to approve or deny test claim. If test claim is denied, the file is closed. Staff reports the decision to Legislature.

5. If test claim is approved, claimant files with the Commission, or Commission staff prepares, draft proposed parameters and guidelines.
   - Comment period for interested parties and interested persons on the draft proposed parameters and guidelines.
   - Claimant rebuttal period.

6. For claimant prepared parameters and guidelines only, Commission staff issues draft decision and proposed parameters and guidelines.
   - Comment period for claimant, parties, interested parties and interested persons on draft decision and proposed parameters and guidelines.
MANDATE DETERMINATION PROCESS

1. Local agency or school district files a test claim with the Commission on State Mandates.
2. Commission staff issues notice of completion and request for comments.
3. Comment period for parties, interested parties and interested persons on complete test claim filings.
4. Claimant rebuttal period.
5. Commission staff issues draft proposed decision.
6. Comment period for parties, interested parties and interested persons on draft proposed decision.
7. Commission staff issues proposed decision.
8. Commission Hearing to approve or deny test claim. If test claim is denied, the file is closed. Staff reports the decision to Legislature.
9. If test claim is approved, claimant files with the Commission, or Commission staff prepares, draft proposed parameters and guidelines.
10. Comment period for interested parties and interested persons on the draft proposed parameters and guidelines.
11. Claimant rebuttal period.
12. For claimant prepared parameters and guidelines only, Commission staff issues draft decision and proposed parameters and guidelines.
13. Comment period for claimant, parties, interested parties and interested persons on draft decision and proposed parameters and guidelines.

Statute or Executive Order
In enacting a statute or imposing an executive order, the Legislature, Governor, or a state agency may impose a new program or higher level of service on local agencies or school districts. If the statute or executive order does not contain sufficient funding and there are increased costs as a result of the change, affected local agencies and school districts may seek reimbursement by filing a test claim with the Commission on State Mandates.

Test Claim
"Test Claim" means the first claim filed with the Commission alleging that a particular statute or executive order imposes costs mandated by the state, and functions like a class action. The claim must be filed within twelve months of the effective date of the law or of the claimant first incurring costs. The claim is required to include the identification of specific sections of the statute or executive order alleged to contain the mandate, a detailed description of the activities and costs alleged to be mandated and otherwise comply with the filing requirements of Government Code 17553 and the Commission’s regulations. The Commission hears and makes a determination on each claim.

Parameters and Guidelines
For approved test claims, the Commission must determine the amount to be reimbursed. Either the test claimant develops proposed parameters and guidelines or Commission staff prepares draft expedited parameters and guidelines that identify the mandated program, eligible claimants, period of reimbursement, reimbursable activities, and other necessary claiming information. The Commission hears and may adopt, amend, or reject the proposed parameters and guidelines.
**MANDATE DETERMINATION PROCESS**

1. **Claimant**
   - Local agency or school district files a test claim with the Commission on State Mandates.

2. **Commission on State Mandates**
   - If filing is complete, Commission staff issues notice of completion and request for comments.
   - Comment period for parties, interested parties and interested persons on complete test claim filings.
   - Claimant rebuttal period.
   - Commission staff issues draft proposed decision.
   - Comment period for parties, interested parties and interested persons on draft proposed decision.

3. **Affected State and Local Agencies (Parties and Interested Parties) and Interested Persons**
   - Commission staff issues proposed decision.

4. **State Controller**
   - Commission Hearing to approve or deny test claim. If test claim is denied, the file is closed. Staff reports the decision to Legislature.

5. **Statute or Executive Order**
   - In enacting a statute or imposing an executive order, the Legislature, Governor, or a state agency may impose a new program or higher level of service on local agencies or school districts. If the statute or executive order does not contain sufficient funding and there are increased costs as a result of the change, affected local agencies and school districts may seek reimbursement by filing a test claim with the Commission on State Mandates.

6. **Test Claim**
   - "Test Claim" means the first claim filed with the Commission alleging that a particular statute or executive order imposes costs mandated by the state, and functions like a class action. The claim must be filed within twelve months of the effective date of the law or the claimant first incurring costs. The claim is required to include the identification of specific sections of the statute or executive order alleged to contain the mandate, provide a detailed description of the activities and costs alleged to be mandated and otherwise comply with the filing requirements of Government Code 17985 and the Commission’s regulations. The Commission hears and makes a determination on each claim.

7. **Parameters and Guidelines**
   - For approved test claims, the Commission must determine the amount to be reimbursed. Either the test claimant develops proposed parameters and guidelines or Commission staff prepares draft expedited parameters and guidelines that identify the mandated program, eligible claimants, period of reimbursement, reimbursable activities, and other necessary claiming information. The Commission hears and may adopt, amend, or reject the proposed parameters and guidelines.

8. **Commission Hearing**
   - For claimant prepared parameters and guidelines only, Commission staff issues draft decision and proposed parameters and guidelines.
   - Comment period for claimant, parties, interested parties and interested persons on draft decision and proposed parameters and guidelines.