San Diego Regional Military Working Group

The San Diego Regional Military Working Group may take action on any item appearing on this agenda.

Monday, May 13, 2019
9 to 11 a.m.

SANDAG, 7th Floor Conference Room
401 B Street, Suite 800
San Diego, CA 92101

Staff Contact: Jane Clough
(619) 699-1909
jane.clough@sandag.org

Agenda Highlights

• Workshop: Military Multimodal Access Strategy
  Project Prioritization Discussion

Please silence all electronic devices during the meeting

Mission Statement

The 18 cities and county government are SANDAG serving as the forum for regional decision-making. SANDAG builds consensus; makes strategic plans; obtains and allocates resources; plans, engineers, and builds public transit; and provides information on a broad range of topics pertinent to the region’s quality of life.
Welcome to SANDAG. Members of the public may speak to the Working Group on any item at the time the Working Group is considering the item. Please complete a Request to Comment form and then present the form to the Working Group coordinator. Members of the public may address the Working Group on any issue under the agenda item entitled Public Comments/Communications/Member Comments. Public speakers are limited to three minutes or less per person. The Working Group may take action on any item appearing on the agenda.

Both agenda and non-agenda comments should be sent to SANDAG via comment@sandag.org. Please include the Working Group name and meeting date, agenda item, your name, and your organization. Any comments, handouts, presentations, or other materials from the public intended for distribution at the meeting should be received by the Working Group coordinator no later than 5 p.m. two working days prior to the meeting. All public comments and materials received by the deadline become part of the official public record and will be provided to the members for their review at the meeting.

In order to keep the public informed in an efficient manner and facilitate public participation, SANDAG also provides access to all agenda and meeting materials online at sandag.org/meetings. Additionally, interested persons can sign up for email notifications at sandag.org/subscribe.

SANDAG operates its programs without regard to race, color, and national origin in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. SANDAG has developed procedures for investigating and tracking Title VI complaints, and the procedures for filing a complaint are available to the public upon request. Questions concerning SANDAG nondiscrimination obligations or complaint procedures should be directed to the SANDAG General Counsel, John Kirk, at (619) 699-1997 or john.kirk@sandag.org. Any person who believes himself or herself or any specific class of persons to be subjected to discrimination prohibited by Title VI also may file a written complaint with the Federal Transit Administration.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), SANDAG will accommodate persons who require assistance in order to participate in SANDAG meetings. If such assistance is required, please contact SANDAG at (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting. To request this document or related reports in an alternative format, please call (619) 699-1900 or (619) 699-1904 (TTY), or fax (619) 699-1905.

SANDAG agenda materials can be made available in alternative languages. To make a request, call (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

Los materiales de la agenda de SANDAG están disponibles en otros idiomas. Para hacer una solicitud, llame al (619) 699-1900 al menos 72 horas antes de la reunión.

如有需要，我们可以把SANDAG议程材料翻译成其他语言。

请在会议前至少72小时打电话(619) 699-1900提出请求。

SANDAG offices are accessible by public transit. Phone 511 or visit 511sd.com for route information. Bicycle parking is available in the parking garage of the SANDAG offices.
San Diego Regional Military Working Group
Monday, May 13, 2019

Item No.  Action

+1. Approval of Meeting Minutes
The San Diego Regional Military Working Group (Working Group) is asked to review and approve the minutes from its March 25, 2019, meeting.

2. Public Comments and Communications
Members of the public shall have the opportunity to address the Working Group on any issue within the jurisdiction of SANDAG that is not on this agenda. Anyone desiring to speak shall reserve time by completing a Request to Comment form and giving it to the meeting coordinator prior to speaking. Public speakers should notify the meeting coordinator if they have a handout for distribution to Working Group members. Public speakers are limited to three minutes or less per person. Working Group members also may provide information and announcements under this agenda item.

3. Member Communications
Members of the Working Group shall have the opportunity to share news and information regarding their jurisdiction or installation of interest to the group.

4. Chair’s Report (Garry Bonelli, Working Group Chair)
The Chair will update the Working Group on SANDAG-related items of interest to the Working Group.

Reports

+5. Workshop: Military Multimodal Access Strategy Project Prioritization Discussion (April Petonak)
SANDAG is developing a Military Multimodal Access Strategy with funding from a Caltrans Strategic Partnership Planning Grant. At its meeting in March, the Working Group approved a Project Prioritization Methodology which has been applied to the project inventory. The purpose of this workshop is to review ten projects and select the top five for each installation through a dialogue between stakeholders in a table-top exercise format. The Working Group is asked to discuss potential strategies for pursuing the selected projects.

6. Possible Topics for Next Meeting and Adjournment (Garry Bonelli, Working Group Chair)
The next Working Group meeting will be Monday, June 22, 2019, at 9 a.m. The focus of the meeting will be to provide input for the development of the Multimodal Military Access Strategy.

7. Adjournment

+ next to an item indicates an attachment
March 25, 2019, Meeting Minutes

Chair Garry Bonelli (Port of San Diego) called the meeting of the San Diego Regional Military Working Group (Working Group) to order at 9:08 a.m.

1. Approval of Meeting Minutes (Approve)
   
   Action: Upon a motion by Richard Crompton (County of San Diego) and a second by Rick Huenefeld (Marine Corps Recruit Depot), the Working Group approved the minutes from its February 25, 2019, meeting. Yes: Chair Bonelli, Steve Dush (City of Imperial Beach), Mr. Crompton, Mr. Huenefeld, Rodrigo Carrasco (Metropolitan Transit System), Mary Beth Dreusike (Navy Region Southwest Seat – B), Charles Main (North County Transit District). No: None. Abstain: None. Absent: Coast Guard San Diego Sector, Marine Corps Installation West, Navy Region Southwest Seat – A, City of Coronado, City of National City, City of Oceanside, and City of San Diego.

2. Public Comments/Communications (Information)
   
   There were no public comments/communications.

3. Member Communications (Information)
   
   There were no member communications.

Chair’s Report

4. Chair’s Report (Information)

Chair Bonelli informed the Working Group on the status of San Diego Forward: The 2019-2050 Regional Plan and that the Board has decided to postpone the Regional Plan through 2021 to create a new, bold vision for the region while developing a Regional Transportation Plan to meet federal requirements. He also briefed the Working Group on the Airport Subcommittee and its efforts.

Reports

5. Military Multimodal Access Strategy (Discussion/Possible Action)

April Petonak, Associate Transportation Planner, presented the Military Multimodal Access Strategy (MMAS), which is a near-term action identified in San Diego Forward: The 2015 Regional Plan. The purpose of the Strategy is to assemble and prioritize a list of projects, operational improvements and programs that could be implemented to address traffic congestion at key military base and port access points, providing people with alternatives to driving alone. The Working Group was asked to discuss the mission, vision, and values that inform the Strategy and a methodology to identify the key projects for each geographic focus for further analysis. Working Group members discussed the MMAS and staff answered any questions.

6. Possible Topics for Next Meeting and Adjournment (Discussion)

The next meeting of the Working Group will be scheduled for Monday, May 13, 2019, at 9 a.m. The meeting was adjourned by Chair Bonelli at 11:19 a.m.
### Confirmed Attendance at San Diego Regional Military Working Group Meeting

March 25, 2019

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Attended</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Coronado</td>
<td>Blair King</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Coronado</td>
<td>Tom Ritter, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Coronado</td>
<td>Cliff Maurer, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Imperial Beach</td>
<td>Andy Hall</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Imperial Beach</td>
<td>Steve Dush, Alternate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of National City</td>
<td>Brad Raulston</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of National City</td>
<td>Leslie Deese, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Oceanside</td>
<td>Jeff Hunt</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Oceanside</td>
<td>Russ Cunningham, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>Tait Galloway</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Guard San Diego Sector</td>
<td>CDR Tim Williams</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coast Guard San Diego Sector</td>
<td>LCDR Kevin L. Winters, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of San Diego</td>
<td>Donald Steuer</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of San Diego</td>
<td>Richard Crompton, Alternate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Installation West</td>
<td>Tom Caughlan</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Installation West</td>
<td>Sam Jammal, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Installation West</td>
<td>Kristen Camper, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Recruit Depot</td>
<td>Rick Huenefeld</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Recruit Depot</td>
<td>Col. William Bruce Pitman, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marine Corps Recruit Depot</td>
<td>1st Lt. Emani Decquir, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metropolitan Transit System</td>
<td>Rodrigo Carrasco</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy – Southwest Division Naval</td>
<td>Joe Stuyvesant</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Engineering Command (Seat A)</td>
<td>CAPT. Daniel P. Turner, Alternate</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Navy – Southwest Division Naval</td>
<td>Steve Chung</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facilities Engineering Command (Seat B)</td>
<td>Mary Beth Dreusike</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North County Transit District</td>
<td>Charles Main</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North County Transit District</td>
<td>Chris Duddy</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port of San Diego</td>
<td>Garry Bonelli, Chair</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port of San Diego</td>
<td>Joel Valenzuela</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port of San Diego</td>
<td>Aimee Heim, Alternate</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Other Attendees

- Trent Clark, Caltrans
- Dave Sorenson, Kimley Horn
- Matt Horton, Kimley-Horn & Associates
- Cpt. Roy Love, Naval Base San Diego
- David Zajak, Naval Base San Diego
- Muska Laiq, Naval Base Point Loma

### SANDAG Staff

- April Petonak
- Coleen Clementson
- Jane Clough
- John Delmer
Workshop: Military Multimodal Access Strategy Project Prioritization Discussion

Introduction

SANDAG is developing a Military Multimodal Access Strategy with funding from a Caltrans Strategic Partnership Planning Grant. The Military Multimodal Access Strategy is funded by a Caltrans Planning Grant and is one of the early actions identified in San Diego Forward: The 2015 Regional Plan. SANDAG hosted a workshop in December 2018 to receive feedback on prioritization criteria and current, planned and potential projects that support access to military facilities.

At its March 25, 2019, meeting, the Working Group approved a Project Prioritization Methodology which has been applied to the project inventory. Members provided feedback to develop the process for strategically selecting a smaller subset of projects that could be championed by the Working Group. Since the last meeting, the consultant processed the project list through the criteria and distributed the results to the stakeholders for verification.

Workshop Exercise

The purpose of the Workshop is to review the top ten scoring projects for each installation and participate in a discussion among the stakeholders to collectively select the top five projects for each installation to arrive at a reduced list for further consideration.

Participants will be split into five groups based on geography:

- Camp Pendleton
- Marine Corps Air Station Miramar
- Naval Base Coronado
- Naval Base Point Loma, Marine Corps Recruit Depot, Coast Guard
- Naval Base San Diego

Each breakout group will have a facilitator that will describe the top ten scoring projects based on the detailed evaluation. Several additional high scoring projects will be listed in a supplemental handout. Attendees will be asked to vote with stickers for their preferred projects from the list of ten. Using the voting results as a point of discussion, the facilitator will ask the participants to rank the draft top five projects for their respective geographies.

The Working Group can then decide how they would like to proceed with the collective top 25 projects through a strategic discussion initiated at the workshop and continued at the June 10, 2019, meeting. The master list and map of projects are available on the Working Group meeting page. For individual installations, go to https://we.tl/t-ta6x7Z5s3l. The folder contains a list of enhanced description of the top ten projects, including cost or relative cost, and a map of installation areas with their corresponding projects.
Next Steps
The project team will be seeking input from the Working Group on the remaining key milestones:

- June 10, 2019 – Develop Military Multimodal Access Strategy and Structure of Final Document

Key Staff Contact: April Petonak, (619) 699-7322, april.petonak@sandag.org
Attachment: 1. Prioritization Methodology
Prioritization Methodology

**STEP 1: Identify projects that align with San Diego Regional Military Working Group Mission, Vision, and Values (MVV)**

The objective of this step is to simplify the project list for further evaluation. This step is not removing projects from the list but rather identifying projects that are most likely to be a priority for the San Diego Regional Military Working Group (MWG).

**Proposed Definition of MWG’s Mission, Vision, and Values (MVV)**

It was proposed by a stakeholder of the project team to screen projects based on the project’s and MWG’s Mission, Vision, and Values. Utilizing the MWG charter, MMAS Scope, and Caltrans grant application, the following definition for MVV was developed:

The MMAS seeks to **facilitate dialogue** and **find common ground** between the military installations in San Diego County and adjacent local communities related to the region’s transportation system.

Military bases need the transportation system to **support mission readiness** through the safe movement of personnel while also enhancing the quality of life of service members. Nearby local jurisdictions need to operate and maintain transportation facilities in a state of good repair that **equitably serve the needs of all community members** including residents, employees, and businesses. The MMAS contributes towards a transportation system that **improves safety** for all users while **reducing travel times, vehicle miles travelled (VMT) and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions** as outlined in Caltrans Sustainable Community Grant requirements.

In order to identify projects that are likely to be the most impactful to MWG stakeholders, certain project attributes are necessary. The following list identifies the characteristics of projects and programs that **align with the MMAS MVV** and **should move forward for a detailed project evaluation**, which is outlined in Step 2.

- **Proposed Screens:**
  - **Screen 1:** Directly benefits at least two stakeholders, including one installation and one local jurisdiction
    - Purpose of screen: to eliminate single-stakeholder improvements
    - If a project passes this screen, it will move onto the next screen.
  - **Screen 2:** Improves multimodal travel
    - Purpose of screen: to eliminate non-multimodal projects
    - If a project passes this screen, it will move onto the next screen.
  - **Screen 3:** Project is adequately defined to proceed to detailed evaluation
    - Purpose of screen: to identify projects with defined project scope & project extents that allow for detailed evaluation
If a project passes this screen, it will move onto the next step.

- Validation of Screening by MWG
  - Feedback from MWG stakeholders (Are there any projects that was screened out that you wish to advance to detailed evaluation step?)

**STEP 2: Detailed evaluation for screened projects list**

The objective of this step is to perform a detailed evaluation of projects elevated from the screening in Step 1 in order to create a differentiation among projects and help identify projects of priority for the MWG. The detailed evaluation process analyzes each project using agreed upon criteria by MWG stakeholders. Using GIS analysis and technical expertise, each criteria provides a score for each screened project.

- **Congestion Relief (Max of 4 Points)**
  - A score of 0-2 applied to project based on heat map of congestion experienced. **GIS analysis of commute periods using Google maps or other readily available source.**
    - 2 pts – High congestion area or an area with large anticipated near-term growth
    - 1 pt – Moderate congestion area or an area with a moderate anticipated near-term growth
    - 0 pts – Low congestion area or an area with a minimal anticipated near-term growth
  - A score of 0-2 applied to project based on the impact towards relieving congestion. **KH traffic engineer to recommend score.**
    - 2 pts – High relief potential
    - 1 pt – Medium relief potential
    - 0 pts – Low relief potential
    - Note: Leaning on technical expert to make judgement.

- **Increases Safety (Max of 4 points)**
  - A score of 0-2 applied to project based on heat map of collisions. **GIS analysis of existing collision data from TIMS to be used.**
    - 2 pts – Located in an area whose number of collisions is significantly higher than the state’s average (x% higher)
    - 1 pt – Located in area whose number of collisions is around the state’s average (plus or minus x)
    - 0 pts – Located in an area whose number of collisions is below the state’s average (x% lower)
    - Note: Specific values for “x” will be generated during analysis based on industry best practices and to place about 1/3 of the projects in each scoring category.
  - A score of 0 or 2 applied to project based on whether a project is an FHWA approved safety countermeasure. **KH traffic engineer to recommend score.**
    - 2 pts – Incorporates approved safety countermeasures
    - 0 pts – Does not incorporate approved safety countermeasures
• **Increases Transportation Options (Max of 4 points)**
  - A score of 0-1 applied to project based on the impact towards increasing viable and convenient transportation options. KH mobility planner to determine score.
    - 1 pt for each qualifier met:
      - Connects to high capacity transit or provides congestion relief on transit road
      - Improvement on a high throughput facility (freeway)
      - Facility or program is located within a smart growth opportunity area
      - Improvement adds a new option not previously available or improves existing transportation option

**STEP 3: MWG Validation of ranked project list**
The objective of this step is to allow the MWG stakeholders to validate the projects that rise to the top of the list from the detailed evaluation. This step provides the MWG the opportunity to confirm projects and if necessary, replace projects on the list. This step will result in a vetted top 20 project list for the MWG to move forward with.

  - Detailed evaluation process will result in a ranked list of projects.
  - A draft top 5 project list for each base distributed to each **MWG representative for validation** of scoring & ranking
    - MWG representatives will have the opportunity to either confirm or replace projects in the list
    - **Advisory Consideration:** When preparing the final list of projects, MWG representatives should consider whether a project is in an approved plan.
  - KH and SANDAG create final prioritized list of 20 projects based on earlier technical scoring and alignment with MVV.
  - Ranked list to be **presented to MWG** for validation of final scoring