California is Not on Track to Meet SB 375 GHG Targets

Statewide CO₂ and Vehicle Miles Traveled (VMT) Per Capita

Source: California Air Resources Board, 2018 Progress Report: California’s Sustainable Communities and Climate Protection Act, November 2018
5 Big Moves

- COMPLETE CORRIDORS
  Backbone of the system

- TRANSIT LEAP
  Alternatives to automobiles

- MOBILITY HUBS
  Transfer points

- FLEXIBLE FLEETS
  Last mile options

- NEXT OS
  Enabling technology

Where People Live and Work

Population Densities

Employment Densities
Foundation for a Bold New Vision

Where People Work
Kearny Mesa

122,000 – Employees
12.7 miles – Average Commute Length
2.8 million – VMT per day
### Trips headed to Kearny Mesa

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Route Description</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 of 5 South @ Governor</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19,000 Vehicles)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 of 6 South @ SR-163 Merge</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(28,000 Vehicles)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 of 5 West @ Mission Trails</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(10,000 Vehicles)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 of 4 North @ Friars</td>
<td>22%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(32,000 Vehicles)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 of 5 North @ Friars</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(19,000 Vehicles)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Kearny Mesa Employment Center

Employees place of residence

---

Kearny Mesa Employees Place of Residence

- 1 dot = 1 Employee
- Areas with smaller dots represent more employees
- Kearny Mesa Employees Place of Residence

---
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Concept
2015 Regional Plan

5 Big Moves

Complete Corridor Concept
Transit Leap

Mobility Hubs
Mobility Hubs

Mobility Hubs
Flexible Fleets

Next OS
Conceptual Designs

• In development for the following locations:
  – Central Mobility Hub
  – Sorrento Valley
  – North Park
  – El Cajon
  – San Ysidro
  – 78 Corridor

2021 Regional Plan Working Group Forum

• 10 Working Groups
• 71 Working Group Attendees
• 22 Members of the Public
Policy Framework

- Zero Emission Vehicles
- Climate Adaption and Resiliency
- Climate Action Plans
- Complete Corridor Operations
- Land Use and Housing
- Parking Management
- Curb Management
- Transportation Demand Management
- Next OS Governance
- Vision Zero
- Fix-it First

Feedback

- Values
- Transparent and Clear Outreach Strategy
- Short and Long-Term Achievements
- Transit and Active Transportation Priority
- Community Hubs
- Safety
  - Mobility hubs
  - Data governance, security, and protection
  - Vulnerable populations
- Cost
Next Steps

- Unconstrained framework (projects, programs, policies) in early 2020
- Constrained network in early summer 2020
- Adopt the plan in late 2021
RHNA

September 6th - Board of Directors authorize staff to submit Draft RHNA Methodology to Department of Housing and Community Development for review.

• Received comments from HCD on November 1, 2019

• HCD found the methodology furthered the five RHNA objectives

• HCD did not offer any amendments to the methodology
### Draft Allocation by Income Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Very Low</th>
<th>Low</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Above Mod.</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carlsbad</td>
<td>1,311</td>
<td>784</td>
<td>749</td>
<td>1,029</td>
<td>3,873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chula Vista</td>
<td>2,750</td>
<td>1,777</td>
<td>1,911</td>
<td>4,667</td>
<td>11,105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronado</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>174</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>1,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Del Mar</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cajon</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>1,867</td>
<td>3,280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encinitas</td>
<td>469</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>308</td>
<td>408</td>
<td>1,554</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escondido</td>
<td>1,864</td>
<td>1,249</td>
<td>1,527</td>
<td>4,967</td>
<td>9,607</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imperial Beach</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>1,375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Mesa</td>
<td>859</td>
<td>487</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>1,874</td>
<td>3,797</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lemon Grove</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>166</td>
<td>193</td>
<td>706</td>
<td>1,359</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National City</td>
<td>645</td>
<td>506</td>
<td>711</td>
<td>3,575</td>
<td>5,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanside</td>
<td>1,268</td>
<td>718</td>
<td>883</td>
<td>2,574</td>
<td>5,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poway</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>1,119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>27,530</td>
<td>17,311</td>
<td>19,297</td>
<td>43,783</td>
<td>101,901</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Marcos</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>1,316</td>
<td>3,116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santee</td>
<td>406</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>188</td>
<td>425</td>
<td>1,219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solana Beach</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unincorporated</td>
<td>1,834</td>
<td>992</td>
<td>1,165</td>
<td>2,709</td>
<td>6,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vista</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>1,356</td>
<td>2,561</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>42,332</td>
<td>26,627</td>
<td>29,734</td>
<td>72,992</td>
<td>171,685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Next Steps

**November 22, 2019**
- Board of Directors approves final RHNA Methodology and releases draft RHNA Allocation

**Fall 2019/Winter 2020**
- RHNA Allocation appeals

**April 2021**
- Local governments housing element updates due
RHNA Allocation Appeals

Government Code 65584.05

SANDAG distributes draft RHNA Allocation to cities/county

Cities/county have 45 days to submit appeal to SANDAG

An appeal must:

• Be based on comparable data available for all affected jurisdictions
• Be based on accepted planning methodology
• Be supported by documentation
• Include a statement as to why revision is necessary to further the 5 RHNA objectives in state law
• Be consistent with the 2015 Regional Plan/SCS
RHNA Allocation Appeals

Government Code 65584.05

An appeal shall be limited to any of the following circumstances:

• SANDAG failed to adequately consider the information provided by jurisdictions
• SANDAG failed to determine the share of housing needs in accordance to information provided and/or the methodology
• A significant and unforeseen change in circumstance has occurred in the local jurisdiction making the appeal

RHNA Allocation Appeals

Appeals Process

• Draft Allocation Released – November 22, 2019
• 45-Day appeals period concludes – January 6, 2020
• 45-Day comment period of appeals concludes – February 20, 2020
• Public hearing to consider appeals (SANDAG Board) – February/March 2020
• Public hearing to accept, reject, or modify each appeal and release proposed final allocation plan (SANDAG Board) – March 2020
• Public hearing to adopt final allocation plan (SANDAG Board) – March/April 2020