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MISSION STATEMENT

The Regional Planning Committee provides oversight for the preparation and implementation of the Regional Comprehensive Plan that is based on the local general plans and regional plans and addresses interregional issues with surrounding counties and Mexico. The components of the plan include transportation, housing, environment (shoreline, air quality, water quality, and habitat), economy, borders, regional infrastructure needs and financing, and land use and design.
Welcome to SANDAG. Members of the public may speak to the Regional Planning Committee (Committee) on any item at the time the Committee is considering the item. Please complete a Request to Comment form, which is located in the rear of the room, and then present the form to the Committee Clerk seated at the front table. Members of the public may address the Committee on any issue under the agenda item entitled Public Comments/Communications/Member Comments. Public speakers are limited to three minutes or less per person. The Committee may take action on any item appearing on the agenda.

Both agenda and non-agenda comments should be sent to SANDAG via comment@sandag.org. Please include the committee name and meeting date, agenda item, your name, and your organization. Any comments, handouts, presentations, or other materials from the public intended for distribution at the Committee meeting should be received by the Committee Clerk no later than 12 noon, two working days prior to the meeting. All public comments and materials received by the deadline become part of the official project record, will be provided to the members for their review at the meeting, and will be posted to the agenda file as a part of the handouts following each meeting.

In order to keep the public informed in an efficient manner and facilitate public participation, SANDAG also provides access to all agenda and meeting materials online at www.sandag.org/meetings. Additionally, interested persons can sign up for e-notifications via our e-distribution list either at the SANDAG website or by sending an email request to webmaster@sandag.org.

SANDAG operates its programs without regard to race, color, and national origin in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. SANDAG has developed procedures for investigating and tracking Title VI complaints, and the procedures for filing a complaint are available to the public upon request. Questions concerning SANDAG nondiscrimination obligations or complaint procedures should be directed to the SANDAG General Counsel, John Kirk, at (619) 699-1997 or john.kirk@sandag.org. Any person who believes himself or herself or any specific class of persons to be subjected to discrimination prohibited by Title VI also may file a written complaint with the Federal Transit Administration.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), SANDAG will accommodate persons who require assistance in order to participate in SANDAG meetings. If such assistance is required, please contact SANDAG at (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting. To request this document or related reports in an alternative format, please call (619) 699-1900 or (619) 699-1904 (TTY), or fax (619) 699-1905.

SANDAG agenda materials can be made available in alternative languages. To make a request, call (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

Los materiales de la agenda de SANDAG están disponibles en otros idiomas. Para hacer una solicitud, llame al (619) 699-1900 al menos 72 horas antes de la reunión.

如有需要，我们可以把SANDAG议程材料翻译成其他语言。
请在会议前至少 72 小时打电话 (619) 699-1900 提出请求。

SANDAG offices are accessible by public transit. Phone 511 or visit 511sd.com for route information. Bicycle parking is available in the parking garage of the SANDAG offices.
1. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES

The Regional Planning Committee is asked to review and approve the minutes from its June 1, 2018, meeting.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/MEMBER COMMENTS

Members of the public shall have the opportunity to address the Regional Planning Committee on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Committee that is not on this agenda. Anyone desiring to speak shall reserve time by completing a “Request to Speak” form and giving it to the Clerk prior to speaking. Public speakers should notify the Clerk if they have a handout for distribution to Committee members. Public speakers are limited to three minutes or less per person. Committee members also may provide information and announcements under this agenda item.

REPORTS

3. PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS AND FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CYCLE 4 TransNet SMART GROWTH INCENTIVE PROGRAM AND ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION GRANT PROGRAM (Carolina Ilic; Marc Caro, City of Chula Vista; Brian Schoenfisch, City of San Diego)

3A. Project managers from the cities of Chula Vista and San Diego will provide an overview of projects recently completed with Smart Growth Incentive Program funds.

+3B. The Regional Planning Committee is asked to recommend that the Board of Directors approve the proposed funding awards for the Cycle 4 TransNet Smart Growth Incentive Program Planning grants, and in the event that a selected project is unable to use its awarded funds, authorize staff to offer funding and execute a grant agreement with the next highest ranked project(s).
4. PROJECT HIGHLIGHTS AND FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR CYCLE 9 TransNet ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM LAND MANAGEMENT GRANT PROGRAM (Sarah Pierce; Sarah Hutmacher, San Diego River Park Foundation; Melanie Tylke, County of San Diego)

4A. Project managers from the San Diego River Park Foundation and County of San Diego will provide an overview of projects recently completed with Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grants.

4B. The Regional Planning Committee is asked to recommend that the Board of Directors approve the proposed funding awards for the Cycle 9 TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program, and in the event that a selected project is unable to use its awarded funds, authorize staff to offer funding and execute a grant agreement with the next highest ranked project(s).

5. CONTINUED PUBLIC COMMENTS

If the five-speaker limit for public comments was exceeded at the beginning of this agenda, other public comments will be taken at this time. Subjects of previous agenda items may not again be addressed under public comment.

6. UPCOMING MEETINGS

The next meeting of the Regional Planning Committee is scheduled for August 3, 2018, at 12:30 p.m.

7. ADJOURNMENT

+ next to an agenda item indicates an attachment
Chair Mary Salas (South County) called the meeting of the Regional Planning Committee to order at 12:33 p.m.

1. APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES (APPROVE)

Action: Upon a motion by Supervisor Ron Roberts (County of San Diego) and a second by Mayor Sam Abed (North County Inland), the Regional Planning Committee approved the minutes from its May 4, 2018, meeting. Yes: Chair Salas, Vice Chair Kristine Alessio (East County), Councilmember Lorie Zapf (City of San Diego), Supervisor Roberts, Councilmember David Zito (North County Coastal), and Mayor Abed. No: None. Abstain: None. Absent: None.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/MEMBER COMMENTS

Jack Shu, Cleveland National Forest Foundation, spoke regarding the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions in the region.

CONSENT

3. TransNet ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM: LAND MANAGEMENT GRANT PROGRAM QUARTERLY STATUS UPDATE (INFORMATION)

This report provided an update on progress made by TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program recipients.

4. TransNet SMART GROWTH INCENTIVE PROGRAM AND ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION GRANT PROGRAM: QUARTERLY STATUS UPDATE (INFORMATION)

This report provided an update on progress made by TransNet Smart Growth Incentive Program and Active Transportation Grant Program recipients.

Action: Consent Item Nos. 3 and 4 were presented for information.
7. TransNet Smart Growth Incentive Program and Active Transportation Grant Program: Draft Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations for Fourth Cycle of Funding (Discussion)

Carolina Ilic, Senior Regional Planner, presented the item.

Chair Salas and Councilmember Parent, East County, recused themselves and left the Board Room at 12:40 p.m., prior to discussion.

The Regional Planning Committee provided feedback and direction on the draft project rankings and funding recommendations for the fourth cycle of the TransNet Smart Growth Incentive Program and Active Transportation Grant Program.

Julie Procopio, City of Escondido, spoke on this item and submitted a letter to the Regional Planning Committee members.

Mr. Shu, Citizen of La Mesa, requested that the Regional Planning Committee be consistent with the split of funding allocations within the Active Transportation Capital grant program.

Ben Verdugo, Planner with Civic San Diego, provided information on the funding of the Free Ride Everywhere Downtown (FRED) System.

Brad Richter, Vice President Planning, Civic San Diego, provided additional clarification on the FRED System and proposal and stated that the program is run by Civic San Diego.

**Action:** This item was presented for discussion.

Chair Salas and Councilmember Parent returned to the Board Room at 1:25 p.m.


Jane Clough, Senior Regional Planner, presented the item.

Mr. Shu, Cleveland National Forest Foundation, spoke regarding social equity and pollution impacts to disadvantaged communities.

**Action:** Upon a motion by Supervisor Roberts and a second by Councilmember Zito, the Regional Planning Committee recommended that the Board of Directors approve the proposed Social Equity Analysis Framework and Approach for San Diego Forward: The 2019-2050 Regional Plan.

Yes: Chair Salas, Councilmember Zapf, Supervisor Roberts, Councilmember Parent, Councilmember Zito, and Mayor Abed. No: None. Abstain: None. Absent: None.
6. REGIONAL CLIMATE ACTION PLANNING FRAMEWORK (ReCAP) - (RECOMMEND)

Allison Wood, Associate Regional Planner, and Katie Hentrich, Regional Planner, presented the item.

Mr. Shu, Cleveland National Forest Foundation, spoke regarding the need to assist local jurisdiction with climate action planning, the need for mode share data, conflicts from funding sources, co-benefit and a technical advisory from OPR on calculating vechile miles traveled (VMT).

Action: Upon a motion by Councilmember Zito and a second by Councilmember Parent, the Regional Planning Committee recommended that the Board of Directors accept the Regional Climate Action Planning Framework (ReCAP) as a regional resource and guidance document for local governments to promote the use of consistent methodologies and the best available data in local climate action planning activities. Yes: Chair Salas, Councilmember Zapf, Supervisor Roberts, Councilmember Parent, Councilmember Zito, and Mayor Abed. No: None. Abstain: None. Absent: None.

8. TransNet ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM LAND MANAGEMENT GRANT PROGRAM: DRAFT PROJECT RANKINGS AND FUNDING RECOMMENDATIONS FOR NINTH CYCLE OF FUNDING (DISCUSSION)

Sarah Pierce, Regional Planner, presented the item.

The Regional Planning Committee provided feedback on the draft project rankings and recommendations for the ninth cycle of the TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program.

Action: This item was presented for discussion.

9. ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY URBAN AREA TRANSIT STRATEGY UPDATE (INFORMATION)

Jennifer Williamson, Senior Regional Planner, provided an update on the Advanced Technology Urban Area Transit Strategy, which will inform the development of the transit network included in San Diego Forward: The 2019-2050 Regional Plan.

Mr. Shu, Cleveland National Forest Foundation spoke about the need to stay focused on the original goals of the Urban Area Transit Strategy.

Action: This item was presented for information.

10. CONTINUED PUBLIC COMMENTS

There were no continued public comments.

11. UPCOMING MEETINGS

The next Regional Planning Committee meeting is scheduled for Friday, July 6, 2018, at 12:30 p.m.

12. ADJOURNMENT

Chair Salas adjourned the meeting at 2:35 p.m.
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Date: July 6, 2018
File Number: 3300100
Memo to: Regional Planning Committee
From: Charles “Muggs” Stoll, Director of Land Use and Transportation Planning
Action Requested: Recommend
Subject: Funding Recommendations for Cycle 4 TransNet Smart Growth Incentive Program and Active Transportation Grant Program

Overview
The TransNet Extension Ordinance provides funding for two SANDAG competitive grant programs: the Smart Growth Incentive Program (SGIP) and the Active Transportation Grant Program (ATGP). This cycle, SANDAG received 54 applications, for a total request of approximately $55 million. Just over $30 million is available for distribution.

Last month, staff presented the draft project rankings and funding recommendations for each of the grant categories – SGIP Capital, SGIP Planning, ATGP Capital, and ATGP Non-Capital – to the Regional Planning and Transportation Committees for information and discussion. Both Committees provided substantial feedback, which resulted in revisions to the funding recommendations in three categories (SGIP Planning, ATGP Capital, and ATGP Non-Capital), and a delay to the project rankings and funding recommendations for the SGIP Capital category. The revised funding recommendations for three of the four categories and a summary of the revisions are included in the staff report.

Budgetary Impact: Cycle 4 funding is available from the TransNet SGIP and ATGP as reflected in the FY 2019 SANDAG Program Budget approved by the Board of Directors in May 2018.

Next Steps
Pending recommendation by the Regional Planning and Transportation Committees, the Board of Directors will be asked to approve funding awards for the SGIP Planning, ATGP Capital, and ATGP Non-Capital projects on July 27, 2018. Funding recommendations for SGIP Capital applications are expected to be considered this fall.

Recommendation
The Regional Planning Committee is asked to recommend that the Board of Directors approve the proposed funding awards for the Cycle 4 TransNet Smart Growth Incentive Program Planning grants, as shown in Attachment 1A, and in the event that a selected project is unable to use its awarded funds, authorize staff to offer funding and execute a grant agreement with the next highest ranked project(s).

Key Staff Contact: Carolina Ilic, (619) 699-1989, carolina.ilic@sandag.org

Staff report and support information attached
Funding Recommendations for Cycle 4 TransNet Smart Growth Incentive Program and Active Transportation Grant Program

Introduction

The TransNet Extension Ordinance1, approved by the voters in 2004, provides funding for two SANDAG competitive grant programs: the Smart Growth Incentive Program (SGIP) and the Active Transportation Grant Program (ATGP)2. Through these programs, the Board of Directors has awarded more than $50 million to more than 100 projects throughout the San Diego region, leveraging over $34 million in matching funds. This has resulted in a total investment of almost $85 million for smart growth and active transportation projects in local communities since 2009.

Last December, the Board of Directors approved the criteria and released the call for projects for the fourth cycle. The application period closed on March 15, 2018. In total, SANDAG received 54 submittals for these two programs: 33 applications for the SGIP and 21 applications for the ATGP. An additional application was received for a Climate Action Plan grant, which was approved by the Board on May 25, 2018. Application descriptions are included on the Cycle 4 web page at sandag.org/cycle4grants.

Last month, the Regional Planning and Transportation Committees were asked to review and provide input on the draft project rankings and funding recommendations. Both Committees provided substantive feedback, which resulted in changes to the initial funding recommendations for three of the four categories (SGIP Planning, ATGP Capital, ATGP Non-Capital), and a delay on project rankings and funding recommendations for the SGIP Capital category. The changes are summarized below.

Attachments 1A-1C contain the updated project rankings and funding recommendations for the SGIP Planning, ATGP Capital, and ATGP Non-Capital categories. Attachment 2 includes Resolution 2019-01 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Claims for ATGP projects3. As background information, Attachment 3 summarizes the competitive evaluation process and new requirements for Cycle 4.

Discussion

Smart Growth Incentive Program

Applicants can submit funding requests for two types of projects: capital and planning. Per the Board-approved guidelines, approximately 80 percent of funding is allocated to capital projects and approximately 20 percent is designated for planning projects. $26,850,000 is available for the SGIP.

Jurisdictions submitted 33 SGIP applications – 20 capital and 13 planning. In an eligibility check, it was determined that two applications should be reviewed in more detail by the Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee (ITOC) because they may not sufficiently relate to transportation-related infrastructure, as required by the TransNet Extension Ordinance. In advance of this review, all applications were scored and ranked according to the approved criteria.

1 The TransNet Extension Ordinance sets aside 2 percent of annual TransNet sales tax revenues each for the SGIP and ATGP. The ATGP is supplemented with Transportation Development Act (TDA) revenues.

2 The ATGP is referred to as the “Bicycle, Pedestrian, and Neighborhood Safety Program” in the TransNet Extension Ordinance. When the SANDAG Board approved the Regional Bike Early Action Program in 2013, it limited the ATGP to $1 million per year. This cycle includes additional funding due to cost-savings carried over from previous cycles.

3 While most ATGP projects are funded with TDA revenues, five ATGP projects in Cycle 4 will be funded through TransNet based on the available funding mix, including the Del Mar Civic Center Bike Locker, Imperial Beach EEA Campaign, National City Bike Parking Enhancements, National City Waterfront to Homefront Connectivity Study, and San Diego Move Free San Diego project. As a result, these five projects are not included in the attached TDA Resolution.
At its June 13, 2018 meeting (Item 9), the ITOC discussed the eligibility of the two projects and confirmed that all other SGIP applications were eligible. ITOC discussion and staff recommendations are summarized below:

- **St. Joseph’s Park ($165,000), City of San Diego/Civic San Diego:** This proposed SGIP planning project would fund a master plan for a local park in Downtown San Diego. ITOC reviewed this project’s eligibility, as it was not clear whether the requested funding for the park master plan was sufficiently-related to transportation in accordance with the TransNet Extension Ordinance, and park master plans are not clearly identified as fundable projects in the grant guidelines. ITOC members thoroughly discussed the eligibility of this project. Although some members expressed concerns regarding the quality of the project features, the ITOC did not find this project ineligible pursuant to the terms of the TransNet Extension Ordinance.

  **Staff Recommendation:** Staff recommends the St. Joseph’s Park application as ELIGIBLE.

- **FRED Fleet Expansion ($300,000), City of San Diego/Civic San Diego:** This proposed SGIP capital project would fund the purchase of ten electric vehicles and associated charging infrastructure for FRED (Free Rides Everywhere Downtown), the on-demand shuttle system launched in 2016. While the grant guidelines indicate that Eligible Capital Projects include pedestrian improvements, bike facilities, transit access improvements, and other smart growth-supporting infrastructure and encourage applicants to use innovative solutions that are comprehensive in scope, the Eligibility Guidelines for capital projects state that applicants must meet minimum design standards and guidelines consistent with certain documents such as Designing for Smart Growth: Creating Great Places in the San Diego Region, and geometric standards in the Caltrans Highway Design Manual and other guidance. ITOC reviewed this project’s eligibility. While ITOC members discussed this project in depth, expressed support for the project’s innovation, and acknowledged the changing conditions since the passage of the TransNet Extension Ordinance, they did not reach a clear consensus on the project’s eligibility pursuant to the terms of the TransNet Extension Ordinance.

  **Staff Recommendation:** Given the program’s focus on the construction of capital infrastructure improvements in the public realm that support smart growth, staff recommends the FRED Fleet Expansion application as INELIGIBLE.

**SGIP Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations**

**SGIP Planning Projects**

Jurisdictions submitted 13 planning applications originally requesting just over $4.9 million. There is $5.2 million available to fund planning projects for this cycle (representing 20 percent of the total SGIP funding). This means that the remaining $292,909 will be rolled-over into the SGIP Capital category.

Based on the quality of the proposed applications and the eligibility recommendation for the St. Joseph’s Park project, all planning projects are recommended to receive full funding (Attachment 1A). In the meantime, one project (County of San Diego Valley Center Community Plan Update) has received partial funding from a separate Caltrans grant application. This has resulted in a decreased request of SGIP Planning funds by the County from $500,000 to $325,000 for this project, causing a slight re-ranking of projects based on the quantitative points associated with the County’s increased match percentage for this application. This also results in an additional roll-over of $175,000 to SGIP Capital. As a result, the total roll-over from SGIP Planning to SGIP Capital is $467,909 (the $292,909 original rollover plus the $175,000 resulting from the County’s reduced funding request).
**SGIP Capital Projects**

Jurisdictions submitted 20 capital applications requesting approximately $42 million in SGIP Capital funding. Including the $850,000 returned from the SGIP Climate Action Plan and complete streets policy category, and the $467,909 roll-over funding from the SGIP Planning category, there is just over $22 million available to fund capital projects.

At its June 1, 2018 meeting, the Regional Planning Committee raised concerns about evaluator scores for two Escondido applications. Staff made a commitment to re-evaluate the qualitative scores associated with these two projects and to return with additional information. At this time, the reassessment is still underway. As a result, the project rankings and funding recommendations for the SGIP Capital applications will be brought back separately to the Regional Planning and Transportation Committees this fall.

In addition, as discussed at the June 1, 2018 Regional Planning and Transportation Committee meetings, there is a possibility that the SGIP Capital category could end up with remaining unused funds. This could happen due to the roll-over funding from the SGIP Planning category, the additional $300,000 unused funds from the FRED Fleet expansion project, which is recommended as ineligible, and the large funding requests that may prevent applicants from accepting partial funding, if offered. Both Committees were asked for guidance on allocating potential remaining funds, and both Committees expressed support for transferring any remaining SGIP Capital funds, if applicable, to the ATGP for ATGP capital applications in Smart Growth Opportunity Areas. Once the SGIP Capital project rankings and funding recommendations are finalized and brought back to the Committees, determinations on any potential roll-over to the ATGP Capital program will be made in accordance with Board direction.

**Active Transportation Grant Program**

Funding was available for two types of projects: capital and non-capital. Per the criteria approved by the Board of Directors, approximately 75 percent of funding is allocated to capital projects – with a maximum of 60 percent for large projects over $500,000 – and approximately 25 percent is designated for non-capital projects in three subcategories: Planning; Bicycle Parking and Education/Encouragement/Awareness (EEA). A total of $3.6 million is available this cycle.

Jurisdictions submitted 21 applications – 10 capital projects and 11 non-capital projects. An eligibility check determined that all applications are eligible, and the ITOC confirmed this finding at its June 13, 2018, meeting. All applications were scored and ranked according to the approved criteria.

**ATGP Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations**

**ATGP Capital Projects**

Jurisdictions submitted ten capital grant project applications requesting approximately $6.4 million in ATGP funding. There is $2.7 million (representing approximately 75 percent of the total ATGP funding) available to fund “large category” and “small category” capital projects. Large category projects are those over $500,000, and the grant guidelines reserve approximately 60 percent of the total capital funds for this category.
In the initial draft project rankings and funding recommendations, the ATGP Evaluation Panel originally recommended 25 percent, for small category projects, instead of the approximately 40 percent reserved for this category. This recommendation was based on a large point differential between the two top-ranked projects and the two bottom-ranked projects in this category. The Regional Planning and Transportation Committees did not agree with the Evaluation Panel’s recommendation, and directed staff to more closely adhere to the funding percentage allocations specified in the grant guidelines. This resulted in revised funding recommendations, as shown in Attachment 1B, where one additional small-category project (La Mesa Massachusetts Avenue Pedestrian and Bike project) is recommended for full funding; one additional small-category project (Santee Prospect Avenue and Mesa Road Improvements project) is recommended for partial funding; and one large-category project (City of San Diego Streamview Drive project) is recommended for less partial funding than originally recommended.

The cities of San Diego and Santee have indicated that they would be willing to accept partial funding and could increase their match funding to complete their projects as proposed.

In addition, the direction from the Policy Advisory Committees prompted staff to realize that an adjustment was needed to the ATGP Non-Capital funding recommendations to more properly adhere to the 25 percent allocation for Non-Capital. As a result, in the ATGP Planning category, partial funding of $135,000 was offered to the City of San Diego for its Del Mar Heights Complete Streets study, if the City could increase its matching funds to complete the project as proposed. The City of San Diego declined partial funding due to lack of additional matching funds. Partial funding was then offered to the next highest-ranked project, the City of Escondido’s Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy, pending the City’s ability to increase its matching funds to complete the project as proposed. Similar to the City of San Diego, the City of Escondido also declined partial funding due to lack of additional matching funds. Partial funding was then offered to the next highest-ranked and final project on the list, the City of Santee’s Comprehensive Active Transportation Strategy, pending the City’s ability to increase its matching funds to complete the project as proposed. Similar to the cities of San Diego and Escondido, the City of Santee also declined partial funding due to lack of additional matching funds.

As a result, the remaining $140,000 has been rolled-over into the ATGP Capital category, with $84,000 (60%) allocated to Large-Category projects and $56,000 (40%) allocated to Small-Category projects to more closely reflect the allocation guidance included in the grant guidelines. This results in additional funding for the City of San Diego Streamview project and the City of Santee Prospect Avenue and Mesa Road Improvements project, still partially funding both projects.

These revised funding recommendations are reflected in Attachments 1B and 1C.

**ATGP Non-Capital Projects**

Jurisdictions submitted 11 non-capital grant applications requesting just under $1.5 million in ATGP funding. A total of $900,000 (representing 25 percent of the total ATGP funding) is available to fund non-capital projects. As discussed above, based on the project rankings, eight projects in the non-capital categories are recommended to receive full funding and no projects are slated to receive partial funding (given the declined offers of partial funding described above), resulting in a rollover of $140,000 to ATGP Capital Large-Category and Small-Category. The proposed final funding recommendations for ATGP Non-Capital are included in Attachment 1C.
Independent Review and Social Equity Analysis

SANDAG staff conducted an independent review of the revised funding recommendations as part of the Peer Review Process and confirmed the accuracy of the formulas, calculations, quantitative scores, and project rankings. In addition, staff performed a social equity analysis of the revised funding recommendations to ensure that the funding for projects recommended for award would be distributed such that low-income and minority communities would receive an equitable proportion of the benefits. The analysis confirmed that the SGIP Planning and ATGP Capital and Non-Capital funds would be distributed in an equitable manner based on the recommended funding allocations.

Contingency Projects

In some instances, jurisdictions face special circumstances in which they sometimes are not able to complete the tasks included in the scope of work of their grant agreements or need to withdraw from funding. In anticipation that this could happen in Cycle 4, the staff recommendation includes the requested authority to offer funding to the next highest-ranked project(s), execute grant agreements, and report progress in the subsequent quarterly monitoring reports. If this were to occur, a project that fails to meet its deliverables would forfeit the unspent portion of its grant funds. The contingency list would expire after the initiation of the next TransNet grant cycle.

Next Steps

Upon approval by the Board of Directors, the SGIP and ATGP projects would be amended into the 2018 Regional Transportation Improvement Program at its earliest opportunity, and SANDAG would submit the TDA claim for the ATGP projects. The selected grantees would be issued a Notice of Award and would be invited to participate in a kick-off meeting with SANDAG staff to initiate the process to execute grant agreements.

Grant agreement executions for jurisdictions that have adopted Climate Action Plans (CAPs) and complete streets policies are anticipated in fall 2018. The execution of grant agreements for jurisdictions that are awarded funding but have not yet adopted CAPs or complete streets policies will be put on hold for up to one year from Board approval of the funding awards. If those jurisdictions have not adopted their CAPs and/or complete streets strategies within that timeframe, funding will be offered to the next highest-ranked project(s) or reserved for the next funding cycle, and results would be reported in the SGIP and ATGP quarterly reports.

Attachments:  1. Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations
              1A. SGIP Planning Grants
              1B. ATGP Capital Grants
              1C. ATGP Non-Capital Grants
              2. Resolution No. 2019-01 Transportation Development Act Article 3 Claims
              3. Competitive Evaluation Process and New Requirements for Cycle 4
**Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations**  
**Smart Growth Incentive Program (SGIP) Planning ($5.2 million available, 20% of SGIP)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Abbreviated Project Name</th>
<th>CAP?</th>
<th>CS?</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Match Fund Amount</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Project Funding</th>
<th>Cumulative Funds Allocated</th>
<th>Remaining Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD College Area (CASGS)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$4,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2 (tie)</td>
<td>National City</td>
<td>National City 24th St TOD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$4,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD University Comm. SG</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$3,700,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4 (tie)</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD E St Greenway MP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$2,110,000</td>
<td>$3,590,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4 (tie)</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Mira Mesa TOD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$2,110,000</td>
<td>$3,590,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Lemon Grove</td>
<td>Lemon Grove SG GPU/CAP PEIR</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$112,823</td>
<td>$262,290</td>
<td>$262,290</td>
<td>$2,372,290</td>
<td>$2,827,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Oceanside</td>
<td>Oceanside Coastal Rail Trail</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>$400,000</td>
<td>$2,772,290</td>
<td>$2,427,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD St. Joseph's Park</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$135,000</td>
<td>$165,000</td>
<td>$165,000</td>
<td>$2,937,290</td>
<td>$2,262,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Clairemont TOD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$225,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$3,437,290</td>
<td>$1,762,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>Valley Ctr. GPU/VCR Spcl. Study*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
<td>$325,000</td>
<td>$3,762,290</td>
<td>$1,437,710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>La Mesa</td>
<td>La Mesa CS Design Manual</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$29,648</td>
<td>$169,801</td>
<td>$169,801</td>
<td>$3,932,091</td>
<td>$1,267,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>12 (tie)</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>County Casa de Oro SP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$45,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$500,000</td>
<td>$4,432,091</td>
<td>$767,909</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12 (tie)</td>
<td>County</td>
<td>County Alpine Financing Tools</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$4,732,091</td>
<td>$467,909</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Summary**

**SGIP Planning Grants - Fully Funded:** $4,732,091  
**Total SGIP Planning Grant Funds Awarded:** $4,732,091  
**Unspent Funds (Rollover to SGIP Capital):** $467,909

---

*The County of San Diego originally requested $500,000 for its Valley Center project. However, the County subsequently reduced its funding request to $325,000 based on a successful grant award from Caltrans.*

Jurisdictions must have an adopted Climate Action Plan (CAP) and an adopted Complete Streets (CS) Policy to receive grant funding. Jurisdictions that have not yet adopted these documents have up to one year from the Board’s action on the final funding awards to adopt them before funding is transferred to the next highest-ranked project.
# Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations

**Active Transportation Grant Program (ATGP) Capital ($2.7 million available, 75% of ATGP)**

## ATGP Capital - Large Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Abbreviated Project Name</th>
<th>CAP?</th>
<th>CS Policy?</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Match Fund Amount</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Project Funding</th>
<th>Cumulative Funds Requested</th>
<th>Remaining Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>La Mesa</td>
<td>LM University Ave Ped Bike</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$466,000</td>
<td>$502,000</td>
<td>$502,000</td>
<td>$502,000</td>
<td>$1,202,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Solana Beach</td>
<td>SB Lomas Santa Fe Active Trans.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$68,450</td>
<td>$616,050</td>
<td>$616,050</td>
<td>$1,118,050</td>
<td>$585,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Streamview Dr</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$200,000</td>
<td>$1,500,000</td>
<td>$585,950</td>
<td>$2,618,050</td>
<td>($914,050)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Del Mar</td>
<td>Del Mar Streetscape</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$1,735,000</td>
<td>$665,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$3,283,050</td>
<td>($1,579,050)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>National City</td>
<td>National City Bicycle Wayfinding</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$826,800</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$4,109,850</td>
<td>($2,405,850)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD CH Ped. Improvements</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$1,000,000</td>
<td>$1,200,000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$5,309,850</td>
<td>($3,605,850)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ATGP Capital - Small Category

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Abbreviated Project Name</th>
<th>CAP?</th>
<th>CS Policy?</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Match Fund Amount</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Project Funding</th>
<th>Cumulative Funds Requested</th>
<th>Remaining Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>National City</td>
<td>National City Division St</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>$312,000</td>
<td>$312,000</td>
<td>$312,000</td>
<td>$824,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>National City</td>
<td>National City Blvd Bike Conn.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$1,000</td>
<td>$394,001</td>
<td>$394,001</td>
<td>$706,001</td>
<td>$429,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>La Mesa</td>
<td>La Mesa Mass. Ave</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$39,000</td>
<td>$123,000</td>
<td>$123,000</td>
<td>$829,001</td>
<td>$306,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Santee</td>
<td>Santee Prospect Ave</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$174,120</td>
<td>$348,760</td>
<td>$306,999</td>
<td>$1,177,761</td>
<td>($41,761)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Summary

- **ATGP Large Category Grants - Fully Funded**: $1,118,050
- **ATGP Large Category Grants - Partially Funded**: $585,950
- **ATGP Small Category Grants - Fully Funded**: $829,001
- **ATGP Small Category Grants - Partially Funded**: $306,999
- **Total ATGP Capital Grant Funds Awarded**: $2,840,000
- **Unspent Funds (Rollover to ATGP Non-Capital)**: $0

---

Jurisdictions must have an adopted Climate Action Plan (CAP) and an adopted Complete Streets (CS) Policy to receive grant funding. Jurisdictions that have not yet adopted these documents have up to one year from the Board’s action on the final funding awards to adopt them before funding is transferred to the next highest-ranked project.
Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations
Active Transportation Grant Program (ATGP) Non-Capital ($900k available, 25% of ATGP)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Abbreviated Project Name</th>
<th>CAP?</th>
<th>CS?</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Match Fund Amount</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Project Funding</th>
<th>Cumulative Funds Allocated</th>
<th>Remaining Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>National City</td>
<td>National City Waterfront</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$22,000</td>
<td>$198,000</td>
<td>$198,000</td>
<td>$198,000</td>
<td>$347,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>El Cajon</td>
<td>El Cajon ATP</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$23,000</td>
<td>$207,000</td>
<td>$207,000</td>
<td>$405,000</td>
<td>$140,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Del Mar Heights CS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$135,000</td>
<td>$220,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$625,000</td>
<td>(80,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Escondido</td>
<td>Escondido CATS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$39,000</td>
<td>$195,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$820,000</td>
<td>(275,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Santee</td>
<td>Santee CATS</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$33,330</td>
<td>$300,000</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>$1,120,000</td>
<td>(575,000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Abbreviated Project Name</th>
<th>CAP?</th>
<th>CS?</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Match Fund Amount</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Project Funding</th>
<th>Cumulative Funds Allocated</th>
<th>Remaining Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>National City</td>
<td>National City Bike Parking</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$130,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oceanside</td>
<td>Oceanside BikeStation</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$30,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$120,000</td>
<td>$60,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>El Cajon</td>
<td>El Cajon Bike Parking</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$12,500</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$170,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Del Mar</td>
<td>Del Mar Bike Locker</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$10,000</td>
<td>$180,000</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Abbreviated Project Name</th>
<th>CAP?</th>
<th>CS?</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Final Rank</th>
<th>Match Fund Amount</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Project Funding</th>
<th>Cumulative Funds Allocated</th>
<th>Remaining Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>Move Free SD</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$25,000</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$125,000</td>
<td>$55,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Imperial Beach</td>
<td>IBB EEA</td>
<td>Underway</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
<td>$175,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

- ATGP Planning Grants- Fully Funded: $405,000
- ATGP Bike Parking Grants- Fully Funded: $180,000
- ATGP EEA Grants- Fully Funded: $175,000
- Total ATGP Non-Capital Grant Funds Awarded: $760,000
- Total Unspent Non-Capital Funds: $140,000
- Unspent Non-Capital Funds (Rollover to ATGP Capital - Large Category - 60%): $84,000
- Unspent Non-Capital Funds (Rollover to ATGP Capital - Small Category - 40%): $56,000

(Note: All jurisdictions declined partial funding)

Jurisdictions must have an adopted Climate Action Plan (CAP) and an adopted Complete Streets (CS) Policy to receive grant funding. Jurisdictions that have not yet adopted these documents have up to one year from the Board’s action on the final funding awards to adopt them before funding is transferred to the next highest-ranked project.
RESOLUTION NO. 2019-01

APPROVING THE ALLOCATION OF TRANSPORTATION DEVELOPMENT ACT FUNDS
ARTICLE 3 BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES AND PROGRAMS

WHEREAS, the Transportation Development Act (TDA) claims listed below are submitted for FY 2019 TDA funds pursuant to Chapter 4, Article 3, Section 99234 of the California Public Utilities Code (PUC); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 29532 of the California Government Code (CGC), SANDAG has analyzed these claims and determined that they conform substantially to the provisions of the TDA of 1971, as amended; and

WHEREAS, SANDAG finds the claim to be consistent with the Regional Transportation Plan;

NOW THEREFORE

BE IT RESOLVED by the SANDAG Board of Directors as follows:

1. That the Board, pursuant to CGC 29532 and PUC 99234, does hereby approve the allocation of TDA funds for the following projects in the amounts specified below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim No.</th>
<th>Claimant</th>
<th>Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19011001</td>
<td>City of El Cajon</td>
<td>$207,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19011002</td>
<td>Active Transportation Plan</td>
<td>$50,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total City of Del Mar</td>
<td>$257,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19011003</td>
<td>City of La Mesa</td>
<td>$502,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19011004</td>
<td>University Avenue Corridor – Bike Network and Pedestrian Improvements</td>
<td>$123,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total City of La Mesa</td>
<td>$625,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19011005</td>
<td>City of National City</td>
<td>$312,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19011006</td>
<td>Division Street – Euclid to Harbison Bicycle Improvements</td>
<td>$394,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>National City Boulevard Inter-City Bike Connection</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total City of National City</td>
<td>$706,001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19011007</td>
<td>City of Oceanside</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enclosed Bike Parking Facility (Bike Station)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total City of Oceanside</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19011008</td>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>$585,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Streamview Drive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total City of San Diego</td>
<td>$585,950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19011009</td>
<td>City of Santee</td>
<td>$306,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prospect Avenue and Mesa Road Improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total City of Santee</td>
<td>$306,999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19011010</td>
<td>City of Solana Beach</td>
<td>$616,050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lomas Santa Fe Corridor Active Transportation Improvements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total City of Solana Beach</td>
<td>$616,050</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. That the Board, pursuant to CGC 29532 and PUC 99234, does hereby approve the revision to the claims as shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Claim No.</th>
<th>Claimant</th>
<th>Previous Allocation</th>
<th>Adjustment + (-)</th>
<th>Revised Allocation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16011012</td>
<td>City of Chula Vista Walk + Bike Chula Vista</td>
<td>$100,000</td>
<td>-$4,491</td>
<td>$95,509</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16011008</td>
<td>City of Carlsbad Carlsbad Blvd/Tamarack Improvements</td>
<td>$270,000</td>
<td>-$186,431</td>
<td>$83,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16011006</td>
<td>City of Santee Riverwalk Drive Crossing</td>
<td>$216,900</td>
<td>-$4,466</td>
<td>$212,434</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. That the Board does hereby authorize the Executive Director to prepare and transmit allocation instructions and payment schedules to the San Diego County Auditor as are necessary and legal for payment of these claims.

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 27th day of July 2018.

While most TransNet Active Transportation Grant Program projects are funded with TDA revenues, five ATGP projects in Cycle 4, amounting to a total of $433,000, will be funded through TransNet based on the available funding mix. These projects include the Del Mar Civic Center Bike Locker, Imperial Beach EEA Campaign, National City Bike Parking Enhancements, National City Waterfront to Homefront Connectivity Study, and the City of San Diego’s Move Free San Diego project. As a result, these five projects are not included in this TDA Resolution.
Competitive Evaluation Process and New Requirements for Cycle 4 SGIP and ATGP

Competitive Evaluation Process

As with prior calls for projects, evaluation panels were assembled to assist in the review of grant applications. The evaluation panels consisted of members of the Regional Planning Technical Working Group (TWG), Cities/County Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC), and the Active Transportation Working Group (ATWG) that did not compete for funds; the academic community; and staff from SANDAG, Caltrans, and the North County Transit District (NCTD). Per the approved criteria, a “Sum of Ranks” scoring approach was used, where projects with the lowest sum of ranks performed the best.

On April 16 and 17, 2018, the evaluation panels met to review their individual rankings, which were compiled and cumulatively ranked (sum of ranks) by SANDAG staff prior to the meeting for each of the funding categories. The proposals were then collectively discussed regarding merit, shortcomings and conditions, and/or partial funding based upon available funding for this call for projects.

The evaluation panels made recommendations for funding based upon their discussions and expertise to determine if overall intended goals of project proposals could be achieved. The original draft project rankings and funding recommendations were presented to the Regional Planning Committee (Item 7) and the Transportation Committee (Item 9) on June 1, 2018. Detailed spreadsheets showing the original quantitative and qualitative scores for each project (including the evaluator’s raw scores, individual rankings, and combined rankings by project) were posted for review at sandag.org/cycle4grants.

Based on feedback from the Committees at their June 1 meetings, revised project rankings and funding recommendations for the SGIP Planning category and the ATGP Capital and Non-Capital categories will be presented to the Regional Planning and Transportation Committees on July 6, 2018. Spreadsheets showing the revised project rankings are posted for review at sandag.org/cycle4grants.

New Requirements

Several changes were required in Cycle 4 based on commitments made in San Diego Forward: The Regional Plan, including that a local jurisdiction must have an adopted Climate Action Plan (CAP) and complete streets policy to be eligible to receive SGIP and ATGP funding; and that SANDAG would make available competitive funding through the grant programs for preparation of these documents. The Board approved setting aside up to $1 million from the SGIP for this purpose, and approved a one-year “phased funding approach” for jurisdictions to meet the eligibility requirements.

Projects recommended for funding will only receive funding if the jurisdiction has an adopted CAP and complete streets policy in place, or adopts these documents within one year from when the SANDAG Board approves funding awards for the SGIP and ATGP. The proposed funding recommendations include a column indicating the status of each jurisdiction’s CAP and complete streets policy. Upon conclusion of the one-year period, if the requirements are not met, funding would be allocated to the next eligible project(s) in ranked order or reserved for the next funding cycle.
Date: July 6, 2018
File Number: 1200301
Memo to: Regional Planning Committee
From: Charles “Muggs” Stoll, Director of Land Use and Transportation Planning
Action Requested: Recommend
Subject: Funding Recommendations for Cycle 9 TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program

Overview

The TransNet Extension Ordinance provides funding for a competitive grant program for regional land management to promote regional habitat conservation planning. This is the ninth cycle of funding.

On September 22, 2017, the Board of Directors approved the criteria and released the call for projects for a total of $2 million to address land management activities related to: (1) Threat Reduction Stewardship ($800,000); and (2) Species and Habitat Recovery ($1,200,000). The application period closed on January 12, 2018. In total, SANDAG received 39 submittals.

This report presents the project rankings and funding recommendations, included as Attachments 1 and 2. The rankings and funding recommendations were presented to the Regional Planning and Transportation Committees on June 1, 2018, for information, and to the Independent Taxpayer Oversight Committee on June 13, 2018, with no recommended changes.

Budgetary Impact: Cycle 9 funding is available from the TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program budgeted in the Capital Improvement Program Project No. 1200300 as reflected in the FY 2019 SANDAG Program Budget approved by the Board of Directors in May 2018.

Next Steps

Pending recommendation by the Regional Planning and Transportation Committees, the Board of Directors is scheduled to consider approval of the proposed funding awards on July 27, 2018. Grant agreements are expected to be executed in fall 2018.

Recommendation

The Regional Planning Committee is asked to recommend that the Board of Directors approve the proposed funding awards for the Cycle 9 TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program, as shown in Attachments 1 and 2 and in the event that a selected project is unable to use its awarded funds, authorize staff to offer funding and execute a grant agreement with the next highest ranked project(s).

Key Staff Contacts: Sarah Pierce, (619) 699-7312, sarah.pierce@sandag.org

Staff report and support information attached
Funding Recommendations for Cycle 9 TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program

Introduction

The TransNet Extension Ordinance and Expenditure Plan, approved by the voters in 2004, includes the Environmental Mitigation Program (EMP), which provides funding to mitigate habitat impacts from regional and local transportation projects, and provides funding for regional land management and biological monitoring. The EMP is a unique component of the TransNet Extension Ordinance in that it goes beyond traditional mitigation for transportation projects by including a funding allocation for habitat acquisition, management, and monitoring activities to help implement the regional habitat conservation plans.

Each year the Board of Directors allocates $4 million toward implementation of regional land management and biological monitoring pursuant to a memorandum of agreement (MOA) with state and federal agencies on the implementation of the EMP. Of the $4 million available each year, a portion of that funding is allocated to the Land Management Grant Program pursuant to the Regional Management and Monitoring Work Plan. A total of $2 million was allocated to the ninth cycle of land management grants.

A competitive selection process is used to award funding to successful applicants. Eligible applicants include land managers from private nonprofit organizations, local jurisdictions, and other governmental agencies.

Discussion

Competitive Selection Process

On September 22, 2017, the Board of Directors approved the release of the Ninth Cycle Call for Projects for the TransNet EMP Land Management Grant Program. A total of $2 million was available to address land management activities related to: (1) Threat Reduction Stewardship ($800,000); and (2) Species and Habitat Recovery ($1,200,000). The Board of Directors also approved the evaluation criteria for each of the funding categories.

The Ninth Cycle Call for Projects was issued on October 2, 2017, and closed on January 12, 2018. SANDAG received a total of 39 proposals, requesting a total of $6,354,400 in grant funding.

At its January 9, 2018, meeting, the EMP Working Group appointed an evaluation committee to independently review and evaluate the land management grant proposals received by SANDAG under the call for projects. The final evaluation committee consisted of EMP Working Group members who were not competing for funds.

On March 13, 2018, the evaluation committee met to review their individual rankings, which were compiled and cumulatively ranked (sum of ranks) for both of the funding categories. The proposals were then collectively discussed regarding merit, shortcomings and conditions, and/or reductions in project funding based upon available funding for this call for projects.

The evaluation committee made recommendations for full or partial funding for projects based upon its discussions and expertise to determine if overall intended goals of project proposals could be achieved. In addition, applicants were available by phone to address any questions regarding their proposals.
**Evaluation Ranking Results**

The project rankings are reflected in Attachments 1 and 2. The evaluator’s raw scores, individual rankings, and the combined rankings by grant project are available at sandag.org/empgrants.

SANDAG staff conducted an independent review of the evaluation scores as part of the Peer Review Process and confirmed the accuracy of the formulas, calculations, scores, and project rankings. In addition, staff performed a social equity analysis, which showed that the projects proposed for grant funding were distributed such that low-income and minority communities receive an equitable proportion of the benefits.

**Funding Recommendations**

For the Threat Reduction Stewardship category, a total of 21 proposals were received, requesting funding for $1,313,886. The evaluation committee recommended funding for 13 projects totaling $800,000. The top-ranked projects recommended for funding are highlighted in yellow in Attachment 1.

Since this information was presented to the Regional Planning and Transportation Committees in June, the City of Chula Vista has withdrawn its application for the Otay Ranch Burrowing Owl Project due to concerns over the project’s proposed location for the creation of owl burrows. As such, the funding that would have been awarded to this No. 2 ranked project now is proposed to be awarded to the City of San Diego’s Florida Canyon project (rank No. 14).

For the Species and Habitat Recovery category, a total of 18 proposals were received, requesting funding for $5,040,515. The evaluation committee recommended funding for six projects totaling $1,200,000. The top-ranked projects recommended for funding are highlighted in green in Attachment 2.

Attachments: 1. TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program: Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations for Ninth Cycle of Grant Funding – Short-Term Threat Reduction Stewardship

2. TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program: Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations for Ninth Cycle of Grant Funding – Long-Term Species and Habitat Recovery
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRJ #</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Project Summary</th>
<th>Requested Grant Amount</th>
<th>Recommended Grant Amount</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Overall RANK</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Navajo Canyon</td>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>Create, enhance, and expand existing Coastal Cactus Wren (CACW) habitat and reduce the threat of wildfire and invasive plant conversion by replacing areas of invasive flashy fuels with native cactus in City of SD Chollas Radio Canyon and Navajo Canyon Open Spaces.</td>
<td>$ 80,000.00</td>
<td>$ 80,000.00</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fully funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Otay Ranch Burrowing Owl</td>
<td>City of Chula Vista</td>
<td>Increase the quality of habitat and improve connectivity for the western burrowing owl by installing artificial burrows that would encourage burrowing owls to occupy the Millenia parcel within Otay Ranch Preserve.</td>
<td>$ 43,957.00</td>
<td>$ -</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Grant withdrawn.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Elfin Forest</td>
<td>The Escondido Creek Conservancy</td>
<td>Eradicate exotic plants that directly threaten the eastern Encinitas baccharis occurrences and eliminate exotic forbs from the rest of the Los Cielos Preserve Complex in order to protect occurrences of Orcutt’s brodiaea and Encinitas baccharis.</td>
<td>$ 79,852.91</td>
<td>$ 79,852.91</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fully funded. Treatment of invasive species should be prioritized in areas near sensitive species (Encinitas baccharis and Orcutt’s brodiaea).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Otay Mesa Open Space</td>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>Create, enhance, and expand existing Coastal Sage scrub (CSS) habitat surrounding the vernal pools complex and western burrowing owl dens to act as a buffer from invasive plant species and to reduce sediment erosion into the vernal pools.</td>
<td>$ 80,000.00</td>
<td>$ 80,000.00</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fully Funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>D Street</td>
<td>Port of San Diego</td>
<td>Assist in management and recovery of two federal and state-listed species, the California least tern and western snowy plover, which occur at the D Street Fill during the avian breeding season. The Port’s goal is to “prepare” the site for the 2019 and 2020 nesting seasons by performing management required to maintain it as suitable breeding habitat.</td>
<td>$ 75,400.00</td>
<td>$ 75,400.00</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Fully Funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cabrillo</td>
<td>National Parks Service</td>
<td>Remove invasive plants, restore social trails with complimentary native plants, and reduce off-trail use with signage, fencing, and education via social media platforms.</td>
<td>$ 25,000.00</td>
<td>$ 25,000.00</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Fully Funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Ramona Grasslands</td>
<td>County of San Diego</td>
<td>Enhance the existing arroyo toad population and increase the potential for a southwestern pond turtle population within the Preserve.</td>
<td>$ 80,000.00</td>
<td>$ 80,000.00</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Fully Funded. Coordinate with USGS for methods of bullfrog eradication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Rice Canyon Invasive Removal</td>
<td>City of Chula Vista</td>
<td>Reduce threats to Otay tarplant, San Diego thornmint, and Orcutt’s bird beak within Rice Canyon by maintaining and installing new fencing (wire and t post) and signage to direct trail users on authorized trails only.</td>
<td>$ 36,500.00</td>
<td>$ 36,500.00</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Fully Funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRJ #</td>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>Project Summary</td>
<td>Requested Grant Amount</td>
<td>Recommended Grant Amount</td>
<td>Sum of Ranks</td>
<td>Overall RANK</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Wright’s Field</td>
<td>Back County Land Trust</td>
<td>Development and implementation of a Recreation Management Plan (Plan) for the Wright’s Field MSCP Preserve in Alpine. The implementation of this Plan will include trail decommissioning and rerouting, interpretive signage, and a 58 acre fire-fuel reduction / weed control program.</td>
<td>$61,000.00</td>
<td>$61,000.00</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Fully Funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Boulder Creek</td>
<td>San Diego River Park Foundation</td>
<td>Increase the suitability of roosting habitat for Townsend’s big-eared bat by installing a gate at the entrance of a mine and controlling the existing erosion threat.</td>
<td>$47,326.46</td>
<td>$47,326.46</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Fully funded.  Field Assessment must include evaluation of constructing other structures on site. Coordinate with Forest Service during project duration on joint management of mines in areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Rare Plants 2</td>
<td>The Chaparral Lands Conservancy</td>
<td>Seed bulking for Orcutt’s spineflower, short-leafed dudleya, and Orcutt’s brodiaea; supplementation of existing plant populations; and control invasive plants.</td>
<td>$79,998.00</td>
<td>$79,998.00</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Fully Funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Silverwood-Anstine</td>
<td>San Diego Audubon Society</td>
<td>Survey the Silverwood Reserve property lines currently affected and install approximately 1,100 feet of non-barbed wire fencing. In addition 255 feet of lodge pole wood fencing will be installed at the Anstine Preserve.</td>
<td>$34,311.00</td>
<td>$34,311.00</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Fully Funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>Veldt Grass 2</td>
<td>San Elijo Lagoon Conservancy</td>
<td>Begin Phase 1 eradication perennial veldt grass (Ehrharta calycina) in the newly acquired Lake Property, adjacent to San Elijo Lagoon Ecological Reserve.</td>
<td>$77,571.24</td>
<td>$76,654.63</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Fully funded less approximately $916.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>Florida Canyon</td>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>Preserve native species, control invasive species, re-establish native vegetation and habitat, control erosion, establish a designated trail system, fence sensitive areas, and install signage in three sites within Florida Canyon.</td>
<td>$80,000.00</td>
<td>$43,957.00</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Partial award. Revised scope of work and budget will be submitted to SANDAG staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Sticky Dudleya</td>
<td>The Escondido Creek Conservancy</td>
<td>Eradicate exotic forbs within five feet of individual Dudleya viscosa plants and establish a 250-foot buffer zone (~4 acres) around the population where all large flammable exotic plants are removed (Eucalyptus, palm, Arundo).</td>
<td>$79,890.25</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Funding Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>Nesting Sites</td>
<td>Batiquitos Lagoon Foundation</td>
<td>Create a sustainable habitat on a site that has high potential to support a vibrant native plant and bird.</td>
<td>$46,450.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Funding Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Pallid Bat 2</td>
<td>Living Coast Discovery Center</td>
<td>Conduct surveys to determine bat species composition on and around the Sweetwater Marsh Unit of the San Diego Bay National Wildlife Refuge. Bat boxes will be installed throughout the Living Coast Discovery Center’s property and the habitat threat reduction and management plan will be implemented.</td>
<td>$11,715.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Funding Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Channel to El Monte</td>
<td>Lakeside’s River Park Conservancy</td>
<td>Remove homeless camps and patrol the San Diego River from Channel Road to El Monte Valley.</td>
<td>$79,981.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Funding Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Otay Burrowing Owl</td>
<td>Otay Water District</td>
<td>Reconstruct existing burrows for western burrowing owl with the most current design to maximize fledgling success and remove of invasive plants around burrows.</td>
<td>$55,868.00</td>
<td>$</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Funding Not available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRJ #</td>
<td>Project Title</td>
<td>Applicant</td>
<td>Project Summary</td>
<td>Requested Grant Amount</td>
<td>Recommended Grant Amount</td>
<td>Sum of Ranks</td>
<td>Overall RANK</td>
<td>Comments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>Upper Otay Lakes</td>
<td>River Partners</td>
<td>Weed management, tamarisk removal, willow and mulefat plantings to expand the riparian corridors in the 71-acre project site.</td>
<td>$79,065.00</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Chollas Creek</td>
<td>Jacob’s Center for Neighborhood Innovation</td>
<td>Control invasive plants and remove trash within two sections of the Chollas Creek (Pueblo) Watershed located on JCNI-owned property in Southeastern San Diego.</td>
<td>$80,000.00</td>
<td>$-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>$1,313,885.86</strong></td>
<td><strong>$800,000.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program: Project Rankings and Funding Recommendations for Ninth Cycle of Grant Funding – Long-Term Species and Habitat Recovery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PRJ #</th>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Applicant</th>
<th>Project Summary</th>
<th>Requested Grant Amount</th>
<th>Recommended Grant Amount</th>
<th>Required Match Amount</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Overall Rank</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Invasive Species Management</td>
<td>Mission Resource Conservation District</td>
<td>The Santa Margarita and San Luis Rey Watersheds are now over 98% free of Arundo donax. This project will continue re-treatments to allow the program to reach its goal of eradication.</td>
<td>$379,500.00</td>
<td>$379,500.00</td>
<td>$379,500.00</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Fully funded.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>San Pasqual Cactus Wren</td>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>Enhance and restore an additional 100 acres of habitat for the endangered Coastal cactus wren along the north side of San Pasqual Valley.</td>
<td>$300,000.00</td>
<td>$200,000.00</td>
<td>$53,333.00</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Partial award. Funded not available for task 3 (tail remediation). Planted cactus density must be a minimum of approximately 200-300 cacti per acre. Grantee must work with SDMWP to determine the appropriate density of rooted plants/ cactus pads for the specific planting sites. Revised scope of work must specify the total number of cactus that will be planted and how many acres of cactus scrub will be restored. Revised scope of work will be submitted to SANDAG staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lower Otay Reservoir</td>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>Expand existing coastal cactus wren territory from Salt Creek Preserve towards the Sweetwater population. This project would add to the connectivity work done by other regional stakeholders to increase coastal cactus wren genetic diversity.</td>
<td>$336,742.00</td>
<td>$243,142.00</td>
<td>$69,158.00</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Partial award. Funded not available for task 2 (eucalyptus control). Planted cactus density must be a minimum of approximately 200-300 cacti per acre. Grantee must work with SDMWP to determine the appropriate density of rooted plants/ cactus pads for the specific planting sites. Revised scope of work will be submitted to SANDAG staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Burrowing Owl</td>
<td>San Diego Zoo Global</td>
<td>Support the establishment of a new breeding node at Rancho Jamul Ecological Reserve with supplemental releases of burrowing owl from an existing conservation breeding program.</td>
<td>$83,584.00</td>
<td>$50,000.00</td>
<td>$19,147.00</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Partial award. Funded provided for years 1 and 2 of proposal. ICR to determine how to allocate funds between proposed tasks. Revised scope of work and timeline will be submitted to SANDAG staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Mission Bay Park</td>
<td>San Diego Audubon Society</td>
<td>Create resilient, self sustaining California Least tern and Nuttall’s amsion populations in Mission Bay through ecosystem based management and predator control community science.</td>
<td>$325,891.02</td>
<td>$195,333.00</td>
<td>$93,543.00</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Partial award. Funded will be provided for first three years of work. The goal for vegetation cover at nesting sites should be 20%. Some Nuttall’s amsion plants should be moved from the nesting areas to other sites to ensure that habitat quality remains suitable for California least tern. Revised scope of work and timeline will be submitted to SANDAG staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Slinknet Removal</td>
<td>San Diego Zoo Global</td>
<td>Manage an entrenched Onoschophion pillaferum (slinknet) infestation at the Safari Park Biodiversity Reserve for the benefit of the large extant nesting population of coastal cactus wrens and other sensitive species on the property.</td>
<td>$505,098.00</td>
<td>$132,025.00</td>
<td>$132,263.00</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Partial award. Funded awarded for task 1 (slinknet removal) only. Work should take place over 3-4 year timeframe. Revised scope of work and timeline will be submitted to SANDAG staff.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>Nuttall’s Amsion Resilience</td>
<td>Los Penasquitos Lagoon Foundation</td>
<td>Protect and enhance Nuttall’s amsion populations at existing locations with the opportunity to expand the overall populations in locations determined as suitable habitat for this species.</td>
<td>$155,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Rancho Jamul</td>
<td>The Chaparral Lands Conservancy</td>
<td>Project planning, design, permitting, and implementation of work to restore 5.6 acres of vernal pools, native grasslands, chaparral and dependent sensitive species in Postar Valley.</td>
<td>$399,964.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>Rice Canyon Thommit</td>
<td>City of Chula Vista</td>
<td>Improve and expand areas occupied by the San Diego thommit within Rice Canyon through habitat maintenance and enhancement.</td>
<td>$139,656.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>Nuttall’s Amsion Management</td>
<td>San Diego River Park Foundation</td>
<td>Maintain and enhance existing occurrences of Nuttall’s amsion and establish a program for annual inspection of occurrences at the project site.</td>
<td>$350,275.00</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Project ID</td>
<td>Project Description</td>
<td>Funding Amount</td>
<td>Projected Year</td>
<td>Funding Available</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Hanson Pond</td>
<td>$235,741.00</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>Canada de San Vicente</td>
<td>$265,000.00</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>South Crest &amp; Odom</td>
<td>$169,781.00</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Rolling Hills Ranch Preserve</td>
<td>$192,490.00</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Furby North 2</td>
<td>$250,000.00</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Arundo Completion and Maintenance</td>
<td>$590,712.00</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Perennial Pepperweed</td>
<td>$192,080.90</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Lusardi Creek 2</td>
<td>$169,000.00</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>Funding not available.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$5,040,514.92</strong></td>
<td><strong>$1,200,000.00</strong></td>
<td><strong>746,944.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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F Street Promenade Streetscape Master Plan
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Existing Conditions – Roadway
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West – Phase 1

Central – Phase 2
East – Phase 3

Birdseye Perspectives

West  Central  East
Next Steps

Project Schedule

- March 2018 – City Council adopted the Master Plan
- August 2018 – 30% Construction Documents (CD’s)
- 2019 – 100% Construction Documents (CD’s)
- 2019 – 2020 – Estimated Utility Undergrounding Work – Phase 1
- 2021 – Construction Phase 1 (Bay Blvd. to Broadway)
- 2021 – 2022 – Estimated Utility Undergrounding Work – Phase 2
- 2022 – Construction Phase 2 (Broadway to 5th Ave.)

Re-Imagine F Street
F Street Promenade Streetscape Master Plan

SANDAG - Regional Planning Committee
July 6, 2018
Final Streetscape Plan

PHASE 1

PHASE 2

PHASE 3
City of San Diego
Smart Growth Incentive Program
Grant Project Highlights
Grantville Trolley Station/Alvarado Creek Enhancement

Regional Planning Committee
July 6, 2018

Brian Schoenfisch
Program Manager
Planning Department
Planning Department

Grantville Trolley Station / Alvarado Creek Revitalization Study

Need for Project

- Absence of Pedestrian and Bicycle Infrastructure
- Flooding, Storm Water & Water Quality Issues
- Predominance of Auto-dependent Uses
- Unusable Community Amenity
- Lack of Circulation/Access to Grantville Station
- Catalyst Project to Spark Redevelopment

Study Objectives – To Address the Following:
Grantville Trolley Station / Alvarado Creek Revitalization Study
Public Outreach

Grantville Trolley Station / Alvarado Creek Revitalization Study

REVITALIZATION STRATEGY
Grantville Trolley Station / Alvarado Creek Revitalization Study
Revitalization Strategy

Framework for Infill Development
Grantville Trolley Station / Alvarado Creek Revitalization Study

Early Success

Winner – 2018 SDAPA Award!
Grantville Trolley Station / Alvarado Creek Revitalization Study

Conclusion
Overview

• $30.6 million available
  – $27 million for SGIP
  – $3.6 million for ATGP, supplemented by TDA funds
• 54 applications requested more than $55 million
• Updated funding recommendations
Summary of Changes

Eligibility of Two SGIP Applications
- Discussed with ITOC
- Staff recommendations
  - Eligible: St. Joseph’s Park Master Plan (Planning)
  - Non-Eligible: FRED Fleet Expansion (Capital)

Delay on SGIP Capital
- Re-evaluate qualitative scores for two Escondido projects
- Return this fall

Funding Splits in ATGP Capital
- More closely adhere to allocations for large and small category

Rollover of Unused Funding
- SGIP Planning to SGIP Capital ($467,909)
- ATGP Non-Capital to ATGP Capital ($140,000)
### ATGP Capital Grants – Large Category and Small Category

#### Revised Funding Recommendations

**Attachment 1B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>fossil Rank</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Abbreviated Project Name</th>
<th>CAPP</th>
<th>C5 Policy</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Total Match Fund Amount</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Project Funding</th>
<th>Cumulative Funds Allocated</th>
<th>Remaining Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>520,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>720,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ATGP Capital - Large Category

**Revised Funding Recommendations**

**Attachment 1B**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>fossil Rank</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Abbreviated Project Name</th>
<th>CAPP</th>
<th>C5 Policy</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Total Match Fund Amount</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Project Funding</th>
<th>Cumulative Funds Allocated</th>
<th>Remaining Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>520,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>720,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>SD Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### ATGP Non Capital Grants – Planning, Bike Parking, EEA

#### Revised Funding Recommendations – Attachment 1C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project ID</th>
<th>fossil Rank</th>
<th>Jurisdiction</th>
<th>Abbreviated Project Name</th>
<th>CAPP</th>
<th>C5 Policy</th>
<th>Sum of Ranks</th>
<th>Total Match Fund Amount</th>
<th>Requested Funding</th>
<th>Recommended Project Funding</th>
<th>Cumulative Funds Allocated</th>
<th>Remaining Funding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>San Diego Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>520,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>720,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>San Diego Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>San Diego Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>San Diego Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>San Diego Convention Center</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>200,000</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>-200,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Guidance on Allocating Potential Remaining SGIP Capital Funds

• Transfer any remaining SGIP Capital funds to ATGP capital applications in Smart Growth Opportunity Areas
• Once the SGIP Capital project rankings and funding recommendations are finalized, determine any potential roll-over to ATGP Capital

Additional Considerations

• Independent review and social equity analysis
• Contingency projects
Recommendation

The Regional Planning Committee is asked to recommend that the Board of Directors:

(1) approve the proposed funding awards for the fourth cycle of the TransNet Smart Growth Incentive Program Planning grants, as shown in Attachment 1A, and

(2) in the event that a selected project is unable to use its awarded funds, authorize staff to offer funding and execute a grant agreement with the next highest ranked project(s).
Free Ride Everywhere Downtown (FRED) Expansion

City of San Diego/
Civic San Diego

2018 SANDAG/TransNet
Smart Growth Incentive Grant

Meet FRED

- Five passenger, all electric vehicles
- Fleet of 17, with plans to expand to 20
  by June 2018
- Free to the public
- Riders can request a pick-up via a
  smartphone app or hail on the street
- Initial funding of FRED came
  from parking meter revenues
- ADA compliant service

Hours of Operation—Seven days per week:
7 a.m. to 9 p.m., Monday-Thursday
7 a.m. to midnight, Friday
8 a.m. to midnight, Saturday
9 a.m. to 9 p.m., Sunday
Free Ride Everywhere Downtown (FRED) Expansion

Smart Growth Incentive Program (Capital)

- Friday, May 25th
- Evaluation panel identified FRED as one of ten projects to receive full funding
- $300,000 award with Downtown Community Parking District match of $250,000
- Unclear whether FRED qualified as an eligible expense of TransNet smart growth funds

Planning and Designing for Pedestrians: Model Guidelines for the San Diego Region

- Section 3.7.1
  - Retrofitting an Existing Circulation System
    - “Changes can be made within public right-of-ways that can begin to ‘mend’ a disconnected system.”
- Section 3.7.5
  - Transit Access
    - “...the neighborhood may have formidable barriers or a disconnected street pattern that greatly increases the actual walking distances and connections to the facility.”
SGIP Goals and Objectives

- Improving the public realm, and encouraging private smart growth projects that, in combination, create great places, advance mobility hub concepts and leverage advanced technologies.
- Contribute to the reduction of GHG emissions and vehicle miles travelled, and improve public health by encouraging travel by means other than single-occupant vehicles.
- Fund proposed capital projects that are “ready to go”

FRED IS a Capital Investment

- Equitable First and Last Mile option for three Major Transit Centers, trolley stations and bus stations.
- Vehicles would be owned by the Downtown Community Parking District
- Parking meter revenues have funded majority of program to date
FRED Highlights

- Total Riders: 250,000 (Since launch in August 2016)
- Averaging 12,500 riders per month, an increase of 44% over previous year
- Over 400,000 Vehicle Miles Traveled
- 20,000 gallons of gas saved*
- 174 metric tons of CO2 emissions saved*

FRED Projections

- Based on total of 30 vehicles:
  - Ridership projected to increase from 12,500 riders per month, to 25,000 per month, an increase of 100%
  - Annual ridership of 300,000
  - Annual gallons of gas saved per year will increase from 10,000 gallons to 20,000
  - Annual CO2 emissions saved will increase from 88 to 176 metric tons
Environmental Mitigation Program

Land Management Grant Program:
Project Rankings for Ninth Cycle of Funding

TransNet EMP Grant Program
County Parks & Recreation Projects
EMP Funded Areas for Coastal Cactus Wren

County DPR Project Overview

Otay River Valley Area
Cactus Wren Habitat Restoration Grant #5004730 (Long Term EMP Grant)
- $66,840 funded
- 3 Years (October 2015 – October 2018)
- Invasive species removal, habitat enhancement, and cactus wren monitoring surveys on ~3 acre site

Cactus Wren Habitat Restoration Grant #5004944 (Short Term EMP Grant)
- $8,855 funded
- 6 Months (January 2017 – July 2017)
- Added access control measures to protect habitat restoration efforts from illegal trespass
Initial Project Site
March 2016

Weed Dethatching & Herbicide Treatment

Brush Piles & Signage
March 2016
Post Installation July 2016

Cholla Cactus Collection & Planting – March 2016

New Growth March - May 2017
Access Control Fencing
April & May 2017

Critical for:
- Protection of habitat from impacts created by Off-Highway Vehicles (OHV), mountain biking, etc.

Successful in:
- Reducing threats & controlling access
- Protecting habitat to allow for establishment & growth of future cactus wren breeding habitat

March ‘16 – July ‘16 – June ‘18
Vision for Future

Cactus Wren Nest at Adjacent EMP Project Site

Cholla Growth at DPR Site Project

SAN DIEGO RIVER PARK FOUNDATION
TransNet EMP project 2013-15
Sarah Hutmacher, Associate Director
- *TransNet* EMP fiscal year 2013
- Grant period: 9/20/2013 – 9/19/2015
- Location: San Diego River Park in Santee
- Grant from SANDAG: $145,005
- Match provided by SDRPF: $157,233.18
- Total project: $302,238.18
REGIONAL CONTEXT

Provide opportunities for people to experience the River Park and learn about the ecosystem through volunteerism.

Create emotional connections to the park that increase community of stewards.

Illegal use and neglect deterred and/or corrected by increased stewardship.

Higher quality experiences attract more engaged visitors, and therefore more eyes on the park.

Increase quality of River Park and surrounding habitat.

OUR PHILOSOPHY: Institutionalize Stewardship in Santee
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

PARK WATCH: volunteers report weekly on issues related to trash, illegal camping or damaged amenities or access control

48 volunteer completed Park Watch training, 23 retained long-term.

COMMUNITY STEWARDSHIP

TRASH REMOVAL: regular volunteer events organized for community members of all ages to participate in trash removal

44,775 pounds of trash removed

That's 84 baby grand pianos!
COMMUNITY STEWARDSHIP

INVASIVE REMOVAL:
regular volunteer events organized for community members of all ages to participate in mapping and removing invasive plants in Mast Park and Mast Park West

¾ acre of invasive plant canopy coverage removed in total

260 native plants installed

Map of Invasive Plants Removed 2013-2015
The San Diego River Park Foundation
TheWetland Program Park Watch Grant Project
COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

OUTREACH AND EDUCATION:
To reach volunteers and raise awareness in community, we implemented grassroots community engagement campaign.

• Engaged 1,298 one-time volunteers
• Engaged 38 long-term “core” volunteers in partnership or program leadership
• Held 28 volunteer trainings
• Organized 2 media events
• Conducted outreach at 49 community events
• Completed dozens of presentations to local groups and strengthened partnerships with stakeholders

LASTING IMPACTS

• ALL Park Watch segments still being surveyed by volunteers in 2018!
• This project enabled the San Diego River Conservancy to commit funds to treat invasive non-natives on the south side of the River in Mast Park
• Active and engaged volunteer force for ongoing SDRPF events
• Engagement model and lessons learned being used in other communities along the San Diego River watershed
• Trash is still entering the ecosystem, but significantly less than during project period (about one ton of trash currently mapped on the River within City of Santee right now)
THANK YOU!

Contact: 
Sarah Hutmacher
Associate Director
(619) 297-7380, ext. 102
sarah@sandiegoriver.org
Threat Reduction Stewardship

- Three- to five-year projects
- Habitat restoration/enhancement projects
- 6 projects recommended totaling $1,200,000
- 18-month projects
- “Gap funding”
- $80,000 cap per grant
- 13 projects recommended totaling $800,000

Species and Habitat Recovery

- Three- to five-year projects
- Habitat restoration/enhancement projects
- 6 projects recommended totaling $1,200,000
- 18-month projects
- “Gap funding”
- $80,000 cap per grant
- 13 projects recommended totaling $800,000

Recommendation

The Regional Planning Committee is asked to recommend that the Board of Directors approve the proposed funding awards for the Cycle 9 TransNet Environmental Mitigation Program Land Management Grant Program, as shown in Attachments 1 and 2 and in the event that a selected project is unable to use its awarded funds, authorize staff to offer funding and execute a grant agreement with the next highest ranked project(s).