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PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE AGENDA

Friday, December 13, 2013
1 to 3 p.m.
SANDAG Board Room
401 B Street, 7th Floor
San Diego

AGENDA HIGHLIGHTS

- PUBLIC SAFETY BUDGETS AND ARREST RATES
- CROSS-JURISDICTIONAL TASK FORCES
- PUBLIC SAFETY REALIGNMENT UPDATE

PLEASE TURN OFF CELL PHONES DURING THE MEETING

YOU CAN LISTEN TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE MEETING BY VISITING OUR WEBSITE AT WWW.SANDAG.ORG
Welcome to SANDAG. Members of the public may speak to the Public Safety Committee on any item at the time the Committee is considering the item. Please complete a Speaker’s Slip, which is located in the rear of the room, and then present the slip to the Committee Clerk seated at the front table. Members of the public may address the Committee on any issue under the agenda item entitled Public Comments/Communications/Member Comments. Public speakers are limited to three minutes or less per person. The Public Safety Committee may take action on any item appearing on the agenda.

Public comments regarding the agenda can be sent to SANDAG via comment@sandag.org. Please include the agenda item, your name, and your organization. Email comments should be received no later than 12 noon, two working days prior to the Public Safety Committee meeting. Any handouts, presentations, or other materials from the public intended for distribution at the Public Safety Committee meeting should be received by the Committee Clerk no later than 12 noon, two working days prior to the meeting.

In order to keep the public informed in an efficient manner and facilitate public participation, SANDAG also provides access to all agenda and meeting materials online at www.sandag.org/meetings. Additionally, interested persons can sign up for e-notifications via our e-distribution list at either the SANDAG website or by sending an email request to webmaster@sandag.org.

SANDAG operates its programs without regard to race, color, and national origin in compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act. SANDAG has developed procedures for investigating and tracking Title VI complaints and the procedures for filing a complaint are available to the public upon request. Questions concerning SANDAG nondiscrimination obligations or complaint procedures should be directed to SANDAG General Counsel, John Kirk, at (619) 699-1997 or john.kirk@sandag.org. Any person who believes himself or herself or any specific class of persons to be subjected to discrimination prohibited by Title VI also may file a written complaint with the Federal Transit Administration.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), SANDAG will accommodate persons who require assistance in order to participate in SANDAG meetings. If such assistance is required, please contact SANDAG at (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting. To request this document or related reports in an alternative format, please call (619) 699-1900, (619) 699-1904 (TTY), or fax (619) 699-1905.

SANDAG agenda materials can be made available in alternative languages. To make a request call (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

Los materiales de la agenda de SANDAG están disponibles en otros idiomas. Para hacer una solicitud, llame al (619) 699-1900 al menos 72 horas antes de la reunión.

如有需要, 我们可以把SANDAG议程材料翻译成其他语言.

请在会议前至少 72 小时打电话 (619) 699-1900 提出请求.

*SANDAG offices are accessible by public transit. Phone 511 or see 511sd.com for route information. Bicycle parking is available in the parking garage of the SANDAG offices.*
ITEM # | RECOMMENDATION
---|---
1. | ROLL CALL
+2. | APPROVAL OF THE OCTOBER 18, 2013, MEETING MINUTES
3. | PUBLIC COMMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/MEMBER COMMENTS

Members of the public shall have the opportunity to address the Public Safety Committee on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Committee that is not on this agenda. Anyone desiring to speak shall reserve time by completing a “Request to Speak” form and giving it to the Clerk prior to speaking. Public speakers should notify the Clerk if they have a handout for distribution to Committee members. Public speakers are limited to three minutes or less per person. Committee members also may provide information and announcements under this agenda item.

REPORTS (4 through 8)

4. | REPORT FROM CHIEFS'/SHERIFF'S MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE
   (Chief John Bolduc, Chiefs'/Sheriff's Management Committee)
   INFORMATION
   Chief John Bolduc will report on the November 6, 2013, meeting of the Chiefs'/Sheriff's Management Committee.

5. | REPORT FROM THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY FIRE CHIEFS ASSOCIATION
   (Chief Dave Hanneman, San Diego County Fire Chiefs' Association)
   INFORMATION
   Chief Dave Hanneman will report on the November 7 and December 5, 2013, meetings of the San Diego County Fire Chiefs' Association.

6. | PUBLIC SAFETY BUDGETS AND ARREST RATES (Cynthia Burke)
   INFORMATION
   On an annual basis, the Criminal Justice Clearinghouse produces CJ Bulletins which describe crime and arrest rates in the San Diego region, as well as public safety expenditures. An overview of the two most recent bulletins will be presented that include FY 2012 – FY 2013 expenditure figures and 2012 arrest rates.

7. | CROSS-JURISDICTIONAL TASK FORCES IN A BORDER REGION:
   EVALUATION FINDINGS OF THE IMPORTANCE OF COLLABORATION AND COOPERATION (Cynthia Burke)
   INFORMATION
   In 2010, the Criminal Justice Research Division received federal funding to evaluate two federally-supported efforts to enhance collaboration on cross-jurisdictional task forces aimed at combatting drug-related violence and other crime. The results of this three-year study will be presented.
8. PUBLIC SAFETY REALIGNMENT: A TWO YEAR UPDATE
(Will Brown, San Diego County Sheriff’s Department and
Lisa Rodriguez, San Diego County District Attorney's Office)

In October 2011, Assembly Bill 109, also known as Public Safety Realignment, went into effect, which essentially transferred to the counties the responsibility for supervising, housing, and treating some offenders who previously would have been the responsibility of the state. An overview of where San Diego County is two years post-implementation will be provided.

9. UPCOMING MEETINGS

The next meeting of the Public Safety Committee is scheduled for Friday, January 17, 2014.

10. ADJOURNMENT

+ next to an agenda item indicates an attachment
PUBLIC SAFETY COMMITTEE DISCUSSION AND ACTIONS  
OCTOBER 18, 2013

1. ROLL CALL

Chair Mark Lewis (East County) called the Public Safety Committee meeting to order at 1 p.m. Roll call was taken and a quorum was present. See the attached attendance sheet for Public Safety Committee member attendance.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES (APPROVE)

Action: Upon a motion by Vice Chair Rebecca Jones (North County Inland) and a second by Chief Tom Zoll (Regional Transit), the minutes of the July 19, 2013, Public Safety Committee were unanimously approved.

3. PUBLIC COMMENTS/COMMUNICATIONS/MEMBER COMMENTS

Councilmember Marti Emerald (City of San Diego) wanted to acknowledge the San Diego Fire Department and all fire departments around the region for teaching fire safety during fire protection month.

Supervisor Bill Horn (County of San Diego) wanted to thank those federal employees that came to work during the recent sequestration. He noted that three federal employees were monitoring the forest nets at the Cal Fire Stations.

REPORTS

4. REPORT FROM CHIEFS'/SHERIFF’S MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE (INFORMATION)

Ms. Barbara Montgomery (SANDAG) reported on the August 7, 2013, and October 2, 2013, meetings of the Chiefs’/Sheriff’s Management Committee. The next Chiefs’/Sheriff’s Management Committee meeting is scheduled for Wednesday, November 6, 2013.

Action: This item was presented for information.
5. REPORT FROM THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY FIRE CHIEFS’ ASSOCIATION (INFORMATION)

Chief Dave Hanneman (Regional Fire/Emergency Medical Services) reported on the state of the wildfire season and activities of the San Diego County Fire Chiefs’ Association.

Action: This item was presented for information.

6. PUBLIC-SAFETY-RELATED GOALS FOR THE 2014 LEGISLATIVE PROGRAM (RECOMMEND)

Ms. Jaymie Bradford (SANDAG) presented the public safety-related goals in the 2014 SANDAG Legislative Program.

Action: Upon a motion by Councilmember Emerald and a second by Councilmember Jack Feller (North County Coastal), the Public Safety Committee unanimously recommended the SANDAG Executive Committee include the public safety-related goals discussed in the 2014 Legislative Program.

7. MID-YEAR CRIME STATISTICS FOR THE SAN DIEGO REGION (INFORMATION)

Dr. Cynthia Burke (Director, Applied Research Division/Criminal Justice/Public Safety) presented an overview of the semi-annual regional crime statistics report.

Action: This item was presented for information.

8. SUBSTANCE USE TRENDS AMONG THE SAN DIEGO COUNTY ARRESTEE POPULATION (INFORMATION)

Dr. Burke gave a PowerPoint presentation on the 2012 data collected from juvenile and adult arrestees regarding their drug use histories and other risky behaviors.

Action: This item was presented for information.

9. INTERNET CRIME FOCUS TEAM (INFORMATION)

Councilmember Kristal Jabara (City of San Marcos), Sergeant Chuck Arnold (San Diego Police Department), and Supervisor Dave Roberts (San Diego County) gave a PowerPoint presentation with an outreach video regarding the Internet Crime Focus Team (ICFT) efforts to combat internet crimes against children. The ICFT’s goal is to keep youth out of harm’s way by advancing important legislation and developing outreach materials to help educate the public.

Action: This item was presented for information.

10. UPCOMING MEETINGS (INFORMATION)

The next meeting of the Public Safety Committee is scheduled for Friday, December 13, 2013.

11. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 2:16 p.m.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>MEMBER/ ALTERNATE</th>
<th>ATTEND YES/NO</th>
<th>Attended By</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East County</td>
<td>Hon. Mark Lewis-Chair</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hon. Bill Wells</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North County Coastal</td>
<td>Hon. Jack Feller</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hon. Al Corti</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South County</td>
<td>Hon. Alejandra Sotelo-Solis</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hon. Robert Patton</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North County Inland</td>
<td>Hon. Rebecca Jones</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hon. Dave Cowles</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>Hon. Marti Emerald</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hon. Myrtle Cole</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County of San Diego</td>
<td>Supervisor Bill Horn</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chairman Greg Cox</td>
<td>1st Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Public Safety</td>
<td>Chief Jim Abele</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chief John Antillon</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Chiefs’/Sheriff’s Association</td>
<td>Chief John Bolduc</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chief Manuel Rodriguez</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chief Jim Redman</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chief David Bejarano</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego Police Department</td>
<td>Chief William M. Lansdowne</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ex. Assistant Chief David Ramirez</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>County Sheriff</td>
<td>Sheriff William D. Gore</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Undersheriff Ed Prendergast</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Kirby Beyer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homeland Security</td>
<td>John Valencia</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Holly Crawford</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Fire/ Emergency Medical Services</td>
<td>Chief Dave Hanneman</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chief Tony Michel</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego County District Attorney</td>
<td>Bonnie Dumanis</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adolfo Gonzales</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Miguel Rosario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional Transit Services</td>
<td>Tom Zoll</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bill Burke</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**ADVISORY MEMBERS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>JURISDICTION</th>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>MEMBER/ ALTERNATE</th>
<th>ATTEND YES/NO</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>County Public Safety</td>
<td>Mack Jenkins</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yvette Klepin</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department of Defense</td>
<td>Joseph Stuyvesant</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B.G. Vincent A. Coglianese</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Federal Public Safety</td>
<td>FOD Gregory J. Archambeault</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>YES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Attorney Laura E. Duffy</td>
<td>1st Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FSD/SD BC Bell</td>
<td>2nd Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US Marshal Steven C. Stafford</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SAC Daphne Hearn</td>
<td>1st Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Robert Howe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acting SAC Bill Sherman</td>
<td>2nd Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td>Gary Hill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern CA Tribal Chairmen’s Association (SCTCA)</td>
<td>Eric LaChappa</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Leia Arviso</td>
<td>Alternate</td>
<td>NO</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Background

- Annual CJ Bulletins
- Budget Bulletin
  - Summary of expenditures and staffing
  - Focus on County and municipal government funding
- Arrest Bulletin
  - Adult and juvenile
  - All arrests, not just Part I
  - Highest arrest
  - Comparison to other large counties
Regional Public Safety Expenditures Have Decreased Since High Five Years Ago

Public Safety Expenditures in the Billions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FY</th>
<th>Law Enforcement</th>
<th>Correctional Facilities</th>
<th>Court-Related</th>
<th>Prosecution</th>
<th>Probation</th>
<th>Public Defense</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>$618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>$561</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Less Money Expended Per San Diego County Resident Annually in FY 13 Compared to FY 08

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 08</th>
<th>FY 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Law Enforcement</td>
<td>$287</td>
<td>$267</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correctional Facilities</td>
<td>$107</td>
<td>$100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Court-Related</td>
<td>$87</td>
<td>$72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prosecution</td>
<td>$54</td>
<td>$50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>$35</td>
<td>$34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Defense</td>
<td>$27</td>
<td>$22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>$20</td>
<td>$16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>$618</strong></td>
<td><strong>$561</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Per Capita Law Enforcement Spending Varies Per Resident in Different Jurisdictions...

As Does Number of Sworn Officers per 1,000 Residents...
However, 80% of Departments Have Seen a Reduction in This Number Over the Past Five Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 09</th>
<th>FY 13</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chula Vista</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlsbad</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Escondido</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>La Mesa</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanside</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Cajon</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National City</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronado</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average of 259 Arrests Per Day in 2012, Compared to 317 in 2008

Average Number of Arrests per Day

- Juveniles: 50 in 2008, 28 in 2012
For First Time in the Past Decade, Adult Arrest Rate Higher Than the Juvenile Rate

Arrest Rate Per 1,000

Arrest Rates Down for Each Age Group Except Those 30 to 39
Number of Arrests Down in Each Category Over Five Years Except for Adults Arrested for Property Crime

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Adults</th>
<th>Juveniles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Violent</td>
<td>-5%</td>
<td>-38%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Property</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol/Drug</td>
<td>-23%</td>
<td>-42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weapons</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Status</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>-41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>-6%</td>
<td>-49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>-13%</td>
<td>-44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary

- Public safety budgets may not be keeping pace with public safety needs
- San Diego County remains one of the safest communities in the country, despite fewer sworn officers per 1,000 population
- Arrests continue to decline and for the first time the arrest rate for adults was greater than it was for juveniles
- Changes may reflect in part the economic climate and choices law enforcement are faced with
EVALUATING CROSS-JURISDICTIONAL TASK FORCES IN A BORDER REGION

December 2013

Cynthia Burke, Ph.D.
Criminal Justice Research Division

This research was supported with funding from the National Institute of Justice. Opinions or points of view expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

Prior Literature

• Cross-agency collaboration most successful when expertise, resources, and legal authority shared

• MJTFs can lead to improved information sharing, higher level targets, higher quality investigations, increased arrests, enhanced prosecution
Background

- **2009**: DOJ funded 16 sites with ARRA dollars to target crime stemming from the southern border of U.S.

- **2011**: Grant awarded to evaluate 2 San Diego efforts & compare to Imperial County

Task Forces Evaluated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ARRA Supported</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVPD/CBAG Grant: Cross Border Violence (CBVTF)</td>
<td>Imperial County Safe Streets (IC-SSTF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Mexican Traffickers (MMTTF)</td>
<td>North County Gang (NCGTF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Violent Crime-Gang Group (VCTF-GG)</td>
<td>East County Gang (ECGTF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe Streets Violent Crime (SSVCTF)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheriff’s Grant: Border Crime Suppression Team (BCST)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
#1: Task Force Evaluations Not Common Because Challenging

- Can’t compare task force evaluations to what local law enforcement does daily
- ARRA funding a drop in the bucket
- Challenges comparing inputs to outputs – what is right time frame
- Different task forces have different goals – one outcome measure doesn’t work for all

#2: San Diego County Collaborates Well

- 20 MJTFs with missions related to cross-border drug-related violence
- Around 14 years on average, with a mean of 26 sworn staff and 9 partnering agencies
- 100% federal representation, 89% local, and 50% state; 78% prosecutor and 50% probation/parole
#3: Multiple Benefits of Task Force Participation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>To Local Agencies</th>
<th>To Federal Agencies</th>
<th>To Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Involvement in higher level cases, more resources, training, and experience, access to seized assets</td>
<td>Knowledge of the community, access to confidential informants, access to uniformed staff</td>
<td>Better deconfliction, force multiplier, not limited by jurisdictional boundaries, build stronger cases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Almost All (96%) Stakeholders Feel There Should Be Greater Task Force Participation by Local Agencies

“Task forces have way more of an ability to remain flexible and dynamic. Response is usually faster. The task forces are a huge asset to law enforcement”.
99% Agreed that Task Forces are Even More Important in a Border Region

• Greater variety and amount of crime
  • Point of entry
• Greater number of agencies coordinating
• Potential for spillover violence

Outcome Statistics for Four Task Forces 2007-2012

• 35,773 grams of drugs seized
• $24.1 million in assets seized
• 219 DTOs dismantled or disrupted
#4: Officers With Task Force Experience Have More Contacts to Use at Different Agencies

![Bar chart showing contacts at local/state and federal levels for officers with and without task force experience.](chart)

#5: Task Forces Target High Level Offenders Operating in Multiple Jurisdictions

Two Mexican Mafia Members and 117 San Diego County, California Street Gang Members and Associates with Ties to the Mexican Mafia Charged with Racketeering Conspiracy, Drug Trafficking Violations, and Firearms Offenses

119 Individuals Charged in Three Countywide Law Enforcement Operations


U.S. Attorney District of California (Southern Division)
These Suspects Have Lengthy Histories of LE Contacts

- Average of 22.3 contacts per suspect

Most Suspects Have Had Contact With Multiple Agencies

- One: 14%
- Two: 26%
- Three: 25%
- Four: 27%
- Five: 7%
Most Agencies Have Contact with Non-Residents

#6 Majority of Task Force Cases Successfully Prosecuted
#7: While Collaboration Works, It Isn’t Always Easy

- Different agency culture and bureaucracy at the federal level
- Perceived overlap of targets
- Interoperability challenges
- Lack of commitment to participate by some agencies
- Time required to do background checks
- Mandatory rotations

Conclusion

- Despite limited resources, task force involvement important short- and long-term
- Acknowledge and streamline communication and participation
- Explore creative ways to support task force cooperation, even if not formal
Criminal Justice Realignment
Public Safety Realignment Update

December 13, 2013

Chief Probation Officer Mack Jenkins
Deputy District Attorney Lisa R. Rodriguez
Sheriff’s Captain Frank Clamser

AB109: Fundamental Change to
the Criminal Justice System

Public Safety Realignment
3 New Types of Offenders
Now Housed or Supervised Locally

- **Probation**
  - Formal Probation
  - Supervised by Probation Department

- **1170(h) Defendants**
  - Full & Split Sentences
  - “Mandatory Supervision” by Probation
  - This IS a Prison Sentence

- **Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS)**
  - Released from State Prison and Supervised by Probation
  - Revocations in County Jail

- **Parolees**
  - Revocations in County Jail
  - On July 1, 2013, we began Parole Revocations, Warrants, Modifications

---

Interdependencies in Criminal Justice System

- **Law Enforcement**
- **Probation**
- **Superior Court**
- **HHSA**
- **District Attorney**
- **Public Defender**

Community
Community Corrections Partnership Executive Committee

- Chief Probation Officer – Chair
- Presiding Judge or designee
- District Attorney
- Public Defender
- Sheriff
- Chief of Police
- Head of HHSA

Traditional Criminal Justice System has been Permanently Altered

If we want to reduce recidivism, we must do something different
We look to what has worked in the past

- Local Reentry Program (LRP)
- Prison Reentry Program (SB 618)
- Drug and Reentry Courts

Programming in custody with transition to community is critical: *Particularly CBT*

- Strong partnership between treatment and probation results in improved outcomes
- Need consistent, well defined, and immediate incentives and sanctions
- Court involvement is paramount
- Changing behavior and becoming healthy takes time, patience, and persistence
Realignment Goals of CCP

Goal #1: Efficiently Use Jail Capacity

Goal #2: Incorporate Reentry Principles Into In Custody Programming

Goal #3: Incorporate Evidence-Based Practices (EBPs)

Goal #1

- Training & Education (Sheriff, Probation, Judges, Attorneys)
- 1170(h) Split Sentences
- Efficiently Use Jail Capacity
- Residential Reentry Center and Work Furlough
- County Parole and Alternative Custody Unit
Goal #2

Incorporate Reentry Principles into In-Custody Programming

Las Colinas Detention Facility

East Mesa Reentry Facility

Designing the Foundations

Offender assigned to participate in the appropriate programming

Psycho-social groups

Substance Abuse Treatment

Cognitive Behavioral Therapy

Vocational/Educational Classes
Programming in JAIL

Core Classes
- Orientation
- Probation 101
- Thinking for a Change – 24 hrs
- Substance Abuse – 15 hrs
- Relapse Prevention – 18 hrs
- GED – varies
- Reentry class – 6 hrs

Supplemental Classes
- Anger Management
- Life Skills
- HIV
- Serve Safe
- Food Handlers
- Anti-Theft
- Computer Graphics
- Parenting

Goal #3
Incorporate Evidence Based Practices

Realignment Divisions Created
Community Transition Center
Reentry Court
Mandatory Supervision Court
Community Supervision

- In the first two years of Realignment Probation supervised:
  - 4,087 offenders on Post Release Community Supervision (PRCS)
  - 544 Mandatory Supervision Offenders (MSOs)

- The Realigned population is a higher risk of offender than those traditionally seen on probation caseloads

![Pie charts showing risk levels for Probation, MSO, and PRCS]

Incentive Based Supervision

- 562 PRCS offenders discharged after 6 months
  - Achieved case plan goals
  - No arrest or violation
  - Drug tested clean
  - Employed or enrolled in school

- 506 offenders had no custodial violation in 12 consecutive months of supervision and were discharged
  (October 2012 – October 2013)
Partnerships with Local Law Enforcement

- Co-located Probation officers at 17 agencies to serve as liaisons and coordinate collaborative monitoring of realigned offenders
- Tracking Known Offenders (TKO)
- Partnered with the San Diego Police Department (SDPD) to supervise the realigned population and ensure offender compliance
- Post Release Offender Notification Form (PRON)
- Data sharing agreement offered to law enforcement partners enabling them to receive daily updates on realigned offenders

Recidivism Measures

- Measurement of the rate at which offenders commit additional criminal activity
- Representatives of the Community Corrections Partnership (CCP) have agreed to track recidivism using the following measures:
  - Re-arrest
  - New misdemeanor charges
  - New felony charges
  - New misdemeanor convictions
  - New felony convictions
  - Revocations
- Not comparable to California Department of Corrections (CDCR) recidivism measure
  - Pre-realignment, parolees could be returned to prison for any violation, which was counted as recidivism
  - The realigned population, including parolees, is only subject to local custody for a violation and not all realigned offenders are supervised upon release
Recidivism of Realigned Offenders

- Measure: New conviction during the term of supervision
  - Post Release Community Supervision: 15%
  - Mandatory Supervision: 28%

- Additional measures will be tracked

MANDATORY SUPERVISION COURT
Overview of the Blueprint for Success

Assessment of the offender

Multi-disciplinary team approach

Programming, treatment, education in custody

Court Process
- Pre-release
- Court hearings post-release

Seamless transition to community services

3 phase process in the community

Three Phase Process in the Community

• Phase One
- Foundation in the Community

• Phase Two
- Ongoing Compliance and Progress

• Phase Three
- Promotion of Self-Sufficiency and Aftercare
Preparation in the DA’s Office

- Part of Community Corrections Partnership
- Work closely with Court, Probation, Public Defenders and Sheriffs Department
- Multiple training for all of the Deputy District Attorneys, Investigators and Paralegals
- Additional Victim Advocates and Paralegals
- Created a Realignment Unit
  - Revocations and Court Process
  - Mandatory Supervision Court

THE EFFECTS OF REALIGNMENT
Effects on Criminal Justice

- Public safety is Priority #1
- 500 + crimes serve prison sentences in jail
- Alternative sentencing and rehabilitation
  - Local Reentry Program
  - Treatment
  - Collaborative Courts: Reentry, Drug, Behavioral Health, Veterans
- Communication between agencies is a priority
- More community involvement

The Continuing Challenges

- Use of Evidence Based Practices
  - Training
  - Courtroom applicability
  - Acceptance and shift from efficiencies to effectiveness
- Increased Programming in Custody and Community, Dual Diagnosis, Employment, and Housing
- Creativity and Accountability
- Building Trust and Tracking Outcomes