MEETING NOTICE
AND AGENDA

CITIES/COUNTY TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CTAC)
The CTAC may take action on any item appearing on this agenda.

Thursday, January 3, 2008
9:30 to 11:30 a.m.
SANDAG, Conference Room 8A
401 B Street, Suite 800
San Diego, CA 92101-4231

Chair: Frank Rivera, City of Chula Vista
Staff Contact: Dan Martin
(619) 699-1926
dma@sandag.org

AGENDA HIGHLIGHTS

• NOMINATION AND ELECTION OF NEW CTAC VICE CHAIR
• UPDATE TO DRAFT PROPOSITION 1B TRAFFIC LIGHT SYNCHRONIZATION PROGRAM GUIDELINES (TLSP)

SANDAG offices are accessible by public transit.
Phone 1-800-COMMUTE or see www.sdcommute.com for route information.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), SANDAG will accommodate persons who require assistance in order to participate in SANDAG meetings. If such assistance is required, please contact SANDAG at (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

To request this document or related reports in an alternative format, please call (619) 699-1900, (619) 699-1904 (TTY), or fax (619) 699-1905.
# CITIES/COUNTY TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE (CTAC)

Thursday, January 3, 2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM #</th>
<th>RECOMMENDATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>INTRODUCTIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+2.</td>
<td>SUMMARY OF DECEMBER 6, 2007, CTAC MEETING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The summary for the December 6 CTAC meeting is attached. CTAC is asked to review and approve the meeting summary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3.</th>
<th>PUBLIC COMMENTS</th>
<th>COMMENTS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Members of the public will have the opportunity to address the Working Group during this time. Speakers are limited to three minutes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>+4.</th>
<th>UPDATE TO DRAFT PROPOSITION 1B TRAFFIC LIGHT SYNCHRONIZATION PROGRAM GUIDELINES (TLSP) (José Nuncio)</th>
<th>INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Staff will provide a brief update of the Draft Proposition 1B Traffic Light Synchronization Program Guidelines and will be available to respond to questions from CTAC members. The draft guidelines issued by the State of California are attached for reference.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5.</th>
<th>CALTRANS UPDATES (Erwin Gojuancgo)</th>
<th>INFORMATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

An update on various local programs, funding program deadlines, and announcements regarding upcoming conferences will be provided.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6.</th>
<th>REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION CONGESTION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (RTCIP) UPDATE (Dan Martin)</th>
<th>DISCUSSION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

CTAC members will provide feedback on the RTCIP workshop held on December 6, 2007, and share progress with the committee. The RTCIP schedule information will be made available.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM #</th>
<th>RECOMMENDATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>NOMINATION AND ELECTION OF NEW CTAC COMMITTEE VICE CHAIR (Frank Rivera) NOMINATION/ELECTION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>In accordance with the CTAC Charter, nominations will be accepted and an election will be held for the new two-year term for Vice Chair from January 2008 to December 2009.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>ANNOUNCEMENTS INFORMATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CTAC members are encouraged to share items of interest.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>UPCOMING MEETING INFORMATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The next CTAC meeting is scheduled for Thursday, February 7, 2008 from 9:30 to 11:30 a.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

+ next to an item indicates an attachment
SUMMARY OF THE DECEMBER 6, 2007, CTAC MEETING

Introductions

Greg Humora chaired the meeting. Meeting participants introduced themselves.

Meeting Summary

CTAC approved the meeting summary from the October 4, 2007, CTAC meeting.

Public Comments

There were no comments from the public.

2007 Regional Transportation Plan (RTP)

Mike Hix (SANDAG) provided an overview of the 2007 RTP, which was approved on November 30, 2007. Mike noted some minor changes to the Final 2007 RTP. The changes include the development of a regional energy plan to address greenhouse gases.

SANDAG FY 2009 Federal Transportation Appropriations Criteria and Submission Form

Victoria Stackwick (SANDAG) provided a brief summary of the federal transportation appropriations process. Victoria explained that resources for FY 2009 are limited and it is anticipated that there will be $186 million in projects competing for $3.9 million of anticipated funding for the region. In addition, Victoria explained that FY 2009 may be similar to FY 2007. In FY 2007, there were no earmarks for projects.

Victoria reviewed the eligibility criteria and the evaluation criteria outlined in the agenda item. Funding will need to go to projects that are either ready for construction or currently in construction due to the limited resources. Letters of support from SANDAG or from your respective congressional representative is advised.

Caltrans Updates

Erwin Gojuancgo (Caltrans) provided an overview of the emergency assistance through the Caltrans Local Assistance Office as a result of the San Diego wild fires that occurred in October of this year. Erwin indicated that completed damage assessment forms are still pending from some agencies.
Erwin explained that the Local Programs Office has begun to send out e-mails to agencies regarding announcements. The announcements will include information on programs, reminders for deadlines associated with programs, lapsing/inactive funding information for federal aid, and meeting notifications such as the South Tehachapi meeting scheduled for January 2008.

**Nomination and Election of New CTAC Committee Chair and Vice Chair**

Greg Humora (Chair) explained that the CTAC Committee envisioned that the selection of the Chair and Vice Chair be rotated from term to term to different parts of the region to assure proper representation to all parts of the region. With that explanation, Greg requested nominations for Vice Chair. No nominations were made. Greg agreed to table the item until the January 2008 meeting.

Greg requested nominations for Chair. Frank Rivera (Vice Chair) was nominated and unanimously voted to serve as Chair of CTAC starting in January 2008. All commended Frank and Greg for their service in representing the CTAC Committee.

**Announcements**

Chandra Collure (Solana Beach) announced that after 15 years of service to the City of Solana Beach he would be retiring. Chandra thanked Mike Hix, Muggs Stoll, and Erwin Gojuancgo for their help over the years.

Muggs Stoll (SANDAG) announced that in his role as the TransNet Program Manager, he will support the SANDAG Transportation Committee and the TransNet Independent Taxpayers Oversight Committee. Dan Martin will replace him in support of CTAC starting in January 2008.

Erwin Gojuancgo also announced that Caltrans has promoted Laurie Berman to Chief Deputy Director for Caltrans District 11 responsible for Capital Delivery.

**Special Item**

At the conclusion of the CTAC meeting, an interactive workshop was led by Marney Cox (SANDAG) for local jurisdiction representatives and financial specialists to help prepare for implementation of the Regional Transportation Congestion Improvement Program (RTCIP).

**Next Meeting**

The next planned meeting of the CTAC will be January 3, 2008, at 9:30 a.m. It will be held as usual at the SANDAG offices in Conference Room 8A.
To: Chair and Commissioners

From: John F. Barna
Executive Director

Date: November 30, 2007

Ref: Presentation of Draft Proposition 1B Traffic Light Synchronization Program Guidelines

Issue: Proposition 1B, approved by the voters on November 7, 2006, includes $250 million to fund the Traffic Light Synchronization Program (TLSP). In 2007, the Legislature enacted implementing legislation (SB 88) that designated the Commission as the administrative agency responsible for programming TLSP funds and the agency authorized to adopt guidelines for the program.

Recommendation: Staff recommends that the Commission hear comments on the TLSP guidelines and provide any direction to staff for revising the draft for adoption in January.

Background: Proposition 1B provided clear direction on how, when and who would be responsible for implementing some of the other Bond programs, such as CMIA, but it did not include similar guidance on how to implement the Traffic Light Synchronization Program.

In late August 2007, the Legislature enacted implementing legislation (SB 88) to designate administrative agencies for each of the programs funded by the bond act. SB 88 designated the Commission as the administrative agency responsible for programming TLSP funds and the agency authorized to adopt guidelines for the program.

The attached draft incorporates the Department’s comments and the administrative and reporting requirements added by SB 88, including setting aside $150 million for the City of Los Angeles.

Attachment
Traffic Light Synchronization Program Guidelines

General Program Policy

1. Authority and purpose of guidelines. The Highway Safety, Traffic Reduction, Air Quality, and Port Security Bond Act of 2006, approved by the voters as Proposition 1B on November 7, 2006, included a $250 million program to fund traffic light synchronization projects and other technology-based improvements to improve safety, operations and the effective capacity of local streets and roads. This Traffic Light Synchronization Program (TLSP) is funded from funds deposited in the Highway Safety, Rehabilitation, and Preservation Account. The funds are available, upon appropriation by the Legislature, to the Department of Transportation, as allocated by the California Transportation Commission.

   In 2007, the Legislature enacted implementing legislation (SB 88) that designated the Commission as the administrative agency responsible for programming TLSP funds and the agency authorized to adopt guidelines for the program. SB 88 also specified various administrative and reporting requirements for all Proposition 1B programs, and it directed that $150 million from the TLSP be allocated to the City of Los Angeles for upgrading and installing traffic signal synchronization within its jurisdiction.

2. TLSP Program of Projects. The Department will develop a proposed program of projects for the TLSP on the basis of project applications prepared by regional agencies or recipient local agencies. The Commission will adopt the program upon finding that it is consistent with the program intent, which is to improve safety, operations and the effective capacity of local streets and roads.

   In developing the proposed program of projects, the Department will give priority to projects that result in an effective and sustainable integrated local or regional transportation system. The system should be coordinated with other transportation facilities through a corridor system management plan or other documented coordinated management strategies for the local street and road system. The Department will evaluate project nominations on the basis of regional mobility and safety benefits, especially in highly congested corridors, in terms of congestion reduction benefits or time savings and estimated reduction in deaths and injuries.

3. Program Schedule. The Commission and the Department intend to implement the program on the following schedule:
TLSP project applications due to Department. February 28, 2008.
Department releases its proposed program of projects. March/April 2008.
Commission adopts the initial TLSP program of projects. April 10, 2008.

4. Eligibility of applicants and projects. The Department will consider applications submitted by a regional agency or by a public agency responsible for development of a proposed project. Eligible projects are the capital costs of projects to fund traffic light synchronization projects or other technology-based improvements to improve safety, operations and the effective capacity of local streets and roads.

Under statute, the applicant agency must provide a project funding plan that demonstrates that the non-TLSP funds in the plan (local, state, or federal) are reasonably expected to be available and sufficient to complete the project. The Department and Commission expect that TLSP project funding will be limited to the capital costs of projects.

The useful life of a TLSP project shall not be less than the required useful life for capital assets pursuant to the State General Obligation Bond Law, specifically subdivision (a) of Section 16727 of the Government Code. That section generally requires that projects have an expected useful life of 15 years or more.

5. Project Applications. Project applications and their supporting documentation will form the primary basis for the Department’s development of the proposed TLSP program of projects. Each project application should include:

- A cover letter with signature authorizing and approving the application.
- A programming request form (Appendix A), together with a map of the project location, that describes the project scope, useful life, cost, funding plan, delivery milestones, and major project benefits. Cost estimates should be escalated to the year of proposed implementation. The project delivery milestones should include the start and completion dates for environmental clearance, land acquisition, design, construction bid award, construction completion, and project closeout.
- A brief narrative that provides:
  - A concise description of the project scope proposed for TLSP funding.
  - A specific description of non-TLSP funding to be applied to the project and the basis for concluding that the non-TLSP funding is reasonably expected to be available.
o A description of the transportation corridor and the function of the proposed project within the corridor, including how the project would improve safety, operations and the effective capacity of local streets and roads in the corridor.

o A description and quantification of project benefits, citing any documentation in support of estimates of project benefits. Where applicable and available, this should include a description of how the project would support transportation and land use planning goals and the quantification of emission reductions due to the project.

o A description of the corridor system management plan or other coordinated management strategy being implemented by the applicant agency and other jurisdictions within the corridor.

- Documentation supporting the benefit and cost estimates cited in the application. This should be no more than 10 pages in length, citing or excerpting, as appropriate, the project study report, environmental document, regional transportation plan, and other studies that provide quantitative measures of the project’s costs and benefits.

6. Submittal of project applications. For the initial program of projects, the Department will consider only projects for which a nomination and supporting documentation are received in the Department by February 28, 2008, in hard copy. Applications will include the signature from an officer authorized by the implementing agency. Where the project is to be implemented by an agency other than the applicant agency, the application will also include the signature of the authorized officer of the applicant agency.

The Department requests that each project application include three copies of the cover letter, the programming request form, and the narrative description, together with two copies of all supporting documentation. All application materials should be addressed or delivered to:

California Department of Transportation
Traffic Operations, TLSP Program
1120 N Street, Mail Station 36
Sacramento, CA 95814

7. Application from the City of Los Angeles. The City of Los Angeles may submit a single application for a program of projects within the City for funding from the $150 million designated for the City by statute. The City’s application, however, should provide sufficient documentation for each element of its program to permit the Department and Commission to determine that each element meets the eligibility requirements and intent of the program.
Project Selection and Programming

8. Program of projects based on applications. The Department will develop its proposed TLSP program of projects and propose a TLSP project priority listing from the applications received by the application due date. The priority listing may be by specific project, group, or category as the Department finds appropriate. The listing may take into account the amount of funds appropriated.

9. Project application scoring. The Department will evaluate and score project applications according to the following weighting:

   A. 60%, the effectiveness of the project in providing transportation benefits, including the improvement of safety, operations, and effective capacity of local streets and roads in a corridor. The Department will measure operational improvement and capacity benefits in terms of hours of delay saved per dollar expended. The Department will measure safety benefits in terms of the estimated reduction in the number of deaths and injuries.

   B. 20%, the date by which the project will be ready for award of the construction contract, giving higher priority to projects that can be delivered earlier.

   C. 10%, the degree to which the project contributes to corridor or air basin emissions reduction of particulates and other pollutants.

   D. 10%, the financial participation by a local agency in the TLSP project, giving higher priority to projects with a higher local contribution.

10. Evaluation committee. The Department will form a committee to conduct a review and objective evaluation of project applications, with representatives of staff from the Federal Highway Administration, the Department, and the Commission.

11. Program adoption. The Commission will adopt the initial TLSP program of projects after holding at least two public hearings, including at least one in northern California and one in southern California. The Commission anticipates that authorized TLSP funding will be fully programmed with the initial adoption. If the authorized funding is not fully programmed, however, the Department may propose and the Commission may adopt amendments to add new projects to the program at a later time. The Department may, if it finds it necessary or appropriate, advise potential applicants to submit new or revised applications.
**Project Delivery**

12. **Project baseline agreements.** Within three months after the adoption of the TLSP program of projects, the Department, the Commission, and the implementing agency will execute a project baseline agreement, which will set forth the project scope, benefits, delivery schedule, and the project budget and funding plan. The funding plan will identify the source of non-TLSP funding. The Commission may delete a project for which no project baseline agreement is executed, and the Commission will not consider approval of a project allocation prior to the execution of a project baseline agreement.

13. **Semiannual delivery report.** After the adoption of a project into the TLSP program of projects, the local implementing agency will submit reports, on a semiannual basis, on the activities and progress made toward implementation of the project, including those project development activities taking place prior to a TLSP allocation. The purpose of the report is to ensure that the project is being executed in a timely fashion, and is within the scope and budget identified when the decision was made to fund the project. If it is anticipated that project costs will exceed the approved project budget, the local implementing agency will provide a plan to the Commission for achieving the benefits of the project by either downscooping the project to remain within budget or by identifying an alternative funding source to meet the cost increase. The Commission may either approve the corrective plan or direct the local implementing agency to modify its plan. Where a project allocation has not yet been made, the Commission may amend the program of projects to delete the project.

14. **Amendments to program of projects.** The Commission may approve an amendment of the TLSP in conjunction with its review of a project corrective plan as described in section 13. The Department may also recommend and the Commission may approve an amendment of the program at any time. An amendment need only appear on the agenda published 10 days in advance of the Commission meeting. It does not require the 30-day notice that applies to a STIP amendment.

15. **Allocations from the TLSP.** The Commission will consider the allocation of funds from the TLSP for a project or project component when it receives an allocation request and recommendation from Caltrans, in the same manner as for the STIP. The recommendation will include a determination of the availability of appropriated TLSP funds. The Commission will approve the allocation if the funds are available, the allocation is necessary to implement the project as included in the adopted TLSP program, and the project has the required environmental clearance.

16. **Final delivery report.** Within six months of the project becoming operable, the implementing agency will provide a final delivery report to the Commission on the scope of the completed project, its final cost as compared to the approved project budget, its duration as compared to the project schedule in the project baseline agreement, and performance outcomes derived from the project as compared to those described in the project baseline agreement. The Commission shall forward this report to the Department of Finance as required by Government Code section 8879.50.
The implementing agency will also provide a supplement to the final delivery report at the completion of the project to reflect final project expenditures at the conclusion of all project activities. For the purposes of this section, a project becomes operable at the end of the construction phase when the construction contract is accepted. Project completion occurs at the conclusion of all remaining project activities after acceptance of the construction contract.

17. **Audit of project expenditures and outcomes.** The Department will ensure that project expenditures and outcomes are audited. For each TLSP project, the Commission expects the Department to provide a semi-final audit report within 6 months after the final delivery report, and a final audit report within 6 months after the final delivery report supplement. The Commission may also require interim audits at any time during the performance of the project.

Audits will be performed in accordance with Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards promulgated by the United States Government Accountability Office. Audits will provide a finding on the following:

- Whether project costs incurred and reimbursed are in compliance with the executed project baseline agreement or approved amendments thereof; state and federal laws and regulations; contract provisions; and Commission guidelines.

- Whether project deliverables and outcomes are consistent with the project scope, schedule and benefits described in the executed project baseline agreement or approved amendments thereof.