



401 B Street, Suite 800  
 San Diego, CA 92101-4231  
 (619) 699-1900  
 Fax (619) 699-1905  
 www.sandag.org

# MEETING NOTICE AND AGENDA

## ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM WORKING GROUP

The Environmental Mitigation Program Working Group may take action on any item appearing on this agenda.

Tuesday, February 14, 2006

1 to 3 p.m.

SANDAG, Conference Room 7  
 401 B Street, Suite 800  
 San Diego, CA 92101-4231

Staff Contact: Janet Fairbanks  
 (619) 699-6970  
 jfa@sandag.org

*SANDAG offices are accessible by public transit.  
 Phone 1-800-COMMUTE or see www.sdcommute.com for route information.*

*In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), SANDAG will accommodate persons who require assistance in order to participate in SANDAG meetings. If such assistance is required, please contact SANDAG at (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.*

*To request this document or related reports in an alternative format, please call (619) 699-1900, (619) 699-1904 (TTY), or fax (619) 699-1905.*

MEMBER AGENCIES

- Cities of
- Carlsbad
- Chula Vista
- Coronado
- Del Mar
- El Cajon
- Encinitas
- Escondido
- Imperial Beach
- La Mesa
- Lemon Grove
- National City
- Oceanside
- Poway
- San Diego
- San Marcos
- Santee
- Solana Beach
- Vista
- and
- County of San Diego

ADVISORY MEMBERS

- Imperial County
- California Department of Transportation
- Metropolitan Transit System
- North San Diego County Transit Development Board
- United States Department of Defense
- San Diego Unified Port District
- San Diego County Water Authority
- Mexico

# ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM WORKING GROUP

Tuesday, February 14, 2006

ITEM #		RECOMMENDATION
1.	WELCOME AND INTRODUCTIONS (Chair Carrie Downey, Councilmember, City of Coronado)	WELCOME
+2.	JANUARY 10, 2006, MEETING SUMMARY (Chair Carrie Downey)	APPROVE
	Review and approve the meeting summary of the January 10, 2006, meeting.	
+3.	REVIEW AND RECOMMEND FUNDING FOR LAND MANAGEMENT PROJECTS (Chair Carrie Downey)	APPROVE
	On January 10, 2006, the EMP Working Group appointed a selection committee to review the land management project applications which were received by SANDAG on January 19, 2006. The attached memo summarizes the selection committee's process for review, and their evaluations of the 16 applications. The EMP Working Group is being asked to review the evaluations and recommend that the Regional Planning Committee fund the top 8 projects as highlighted in the attached spreadsheet.	
+4.	REGIONAL CONSERVED LANDS DATABASE (Sue Carnevale, SANDAG Staff)	APPROVE
	On January 10, 2006, the EMP Working Group appointed a subcommittee to review and finalize the attributes for the proposed conserved lands database. Attached are the subcommittee's recommendations on the objectives and attributes for the conserved lands database, which the EMP Working Group is being asked to approve.	

**ITEM #**

**RECOMMENDATION**

- 5. TRANSPORTATION FUND PROGRAMMING PROCESS  
(Craig Scott, SANDAG Staff)

DISCUSS

SANDAG administers several transportation funding programs, including *TransNet*, which SANDAG has administered since 1988. *TransNet* funds, and other state and federal funds, are programmed for expenditure over a five-year period in the Regional Transportation Improvement Program (RTIP). The *TransNet* Ordinance has a local streets and roads component which distributes dollars to local jurisdictions on a formula basis rather than through a competitive process. It has been suggested that future EMP regional habitat conservation fund dollars could be distributed in a similar way. Craig Scott will describe the overall transportation fund programming process and how it relates to the *TransNet* local street and road program. The EMP Working Group is being asked to discuss the pros and cons of exploring a similar concept for future EMP regional habitat conservation funds.

- 6. PUBLIC COMMENT AND COMMUNICATIONS

COMMENTS

Members of the public will have the opportunity to address the EMP Working Group on any issue within the jurisdiction of the Working Group. Speakers are limited to three minutes each.

- 7. ADJOURNMENT

The next EMP Working Group meeting is scheduled for March 14, 2006.

+ next to an item indicates an attachment

**San Diego Association of Governments**  
**ENVIRONMENTAL MITIGATION PROGRAM WORKING**  
**GROUP**

February 14, 2006

AGENDA ITEM NO.: **2**

**Action Requested: APPROVE**

MEETING SUMMARY OF JANUARY 10, 2006

File Number 3002700

**Members in Attendance:**

- Councilmember Carrie Downey, City of Coronado, Chair
- Craig Adams, Conservation Resources Network
- Matthew J. Adams, BIA of San Diego
- Bruce April, CALTRANS
- Jeannette Baker, Army Corps of Engineers
- Karen Bane, State Coastal Conservancy (on speaker phone)
- Kurt Bardella, Alliance for Habitat Conservation
- Michael Beck, Endangered Habitats League
- Patti Brindle, City of Poway
- John Donnelly, Wildlife Conservation Board
- Robert Fisher, U.S. Geological Survey
- Keith Greer, City of San Diego
- Mike Grim, City of Carlsbad
- Kevin Mallory, City of Santee
- David Mayer, California Department of Fish and Game
- Thomas Oberbauer, County of San Diego
- Kathy Viatella, The Nature Conservancy
- Mary Jo Wilson, City of National City
- Susan Wynn, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- Emily Young, The San Diego Foundation

**SANDAG Staff in Attendance:**

- Janet Fairbanks
- Bob Leiter
- Rob Rundle
- Sue Carnevale
- Shelby Tucker
- Patrick Jelesma

**Others in Attendance:**

- Megan Johnson, SCWRP
- Greg Thomsen
- Josh Garcia, City of San Diego
- Libby Lucas, CDFG
- Mary Niez, County of San Diego

## **1. Welcome**

EMPWG Chair Carrie Downey, City of Coronado, welcomed the EMPWG.

## **2. November 8, 2005, Meeting Summary**

The EMPWG approved the November 8, 2005, meeting summary.

## **3. Appoint a Screening Committee to Review the Proposed Land Management Projects Application Forms**

Chair Downey introduced the item. On December 16, 2005, the SANDAG Board of Directors approved expending up to \$750,000 for eligible land management projects, a process for allocating the funds, and the criteria and rating system for considering funding requests. On December 19, 2005, SANDAG staff distributed the application form to EMP Working Group members and posted it on the SANDAG Web site. Applications are due on January 19, 2006. The next step is to appoint a subcommittee to review the projects.

The Chair recommends appointing a subcommittee made up of members of the EMPWG. The subcommittee should consist of EMPWG members who are not submitting projects for land management consideration and, therefore, do not have conflicts of interest. She asked for input.

Janet Fairbanks described the types of expertise desired for the subcommittee – members familiar with the preserve design, biologists, and local jurisdiction representatives with financial/budgetary expertise. SANDAG staff is recommending that representatives include wildlife agencies, CALTRANS, and a jurisdiction.

Craig Adams suggested that a non-governmental organization (NGO) be added to the subcommittee. He moved that the USFWS, CDFG, CALTRANS, the Chair, and a local jurisdiction be appointed, along with a member of a NGO. The motion carried.

The following committee members were appointed: Susan Wynn, USFWS; David Mayer, CDFG; Bruce April, CALTRANS; Mary Jo Wilson, City of National City; and the Chair. Craig Adams proposed that Jerre Stallcup be added to represent the NGO. A motion was carried to approve these six individuals. The subcommittee agreed to meet on January 31.

## **4. Regional Conserved Lands Database**

Sue Carnevale introduced the item. On December 16, 2005, the SANDAG Board of Directors approved expending up to \$125,000 for development of a conserved lands database for the San Diego region. This requires defining “conserved lands” and identifying attributes that should be collected to make the database useful for land management, biological monitoring, land acquisition, NCCP preserve assembly tracking, and other EMP work efforts.

Sue reviewed the proposed definition for “conserved lands” and a list of proposed attributes for the conserved lands database. Some data already exists which can be modified, updated, and incorporated into the regional database. The database will be useful if the users contribute to its development, contribute data, and keep it current. The committee provided input on refining the objectives of this database.

The committee expressed the following concerns about the amount of information being requested. Also, since there is a limited amount of money, we may not be able to meet all the objectives or collect all the proposed information. We want to make sure the information is standardized and not collected by different agencies for different purposes. Also, we want to make sure that the purpose of the database is to collect data and not use it to determine NCCP permit compliance and if conservation goals are being achieved. We need to determine how to continue to maintain the data over time, where the database will be housed, how the information will be accessed, and how to integrate this database with other databases on biological monitoring, etc. The database structure should focus on three objectives: inventory, gaps, and management status. Objectives can be prioritized by year. The fields also could be prioritized.

It was decided that a subcommittee be formed to work with Sue on the conserved lands definition and the attributes. Volunteers to serve on this committee are: Mike Grim, Susan Wynn, Kathy Viatella, David Mayer, Bruce April, Keith Greer, Jerre Stallcup, Tom Oberbauer, Mary Neiz, and Robert Fisher. Tom Oberbauer will chair the subcommittee. Motion approved to appoint the subcommittee

## **5. Statewide Infrastructure Bond Proposals**

Bob Leiter introduced the item. Several statewide infrastructure financing proposals currently are being discussed. SANDAG assessed regional infrastructure in the adopted Regional Comprehensive Plan, which includes the Integrated Regional Infrastructure Strategy. SANDAG is looking for regional consensus on priorities for statewide regional funding. The Board of Directors is discussing this on Friday, January 13. A report was prepared that identifies needs in eight infrastructure categories: transportation, storm water management, parks and open space, education, water supply and delivery systems, waste water, solid waste collection, and energy supply. The report concludes that transportation, storm water management, parks and open space, and education are the systems most reliant upon general taxes rather than user fees; therefore, state infrastructure financing should focus on these systems. Staff updated the needs assessment; for the habitat conservation programs, the RCP estimated a need of \$1.3 billion for acquisition, management, and monitoring; updating this figure to 2005 dollars increases this need to \$1.5 billion. A report was distributed that summarizes this information.

SANDAG staff is working with our lobbyists to schedule future meetings with state officials and legislators to discuss these issues. Michael Beck requested that staff relay concerns to the Board that there are needs throughout the entire state for habitat conservation implementation; NCCP is underfunded. Matt Adams requests more emphasis on local streets and roads and affordable housing.

## **6. Public Comment**

The Chair stated that Senator Ducheny would like to arrange a field trip for us to Potrero. The Senator would like to see this land acquired and will be submitting a proposal at the appropriate time. If anyone is interested in a field trip, let Janet Fairbanks know; she will arrange it. The trip will take about two hours.

Megan Johnson introduced herself as a new member of the Southern California Wetlands Recovery Project.

## **7. Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned at 2:40 p.m.





# Agenda Item No.: 3

401 B Street, Suite 800  
San Diego, CA 92101-4231  
(619) 699-1900  
Fax (619) 699-1905  
www.sandag.org

February 14, 2006

3002700

TO: EMP Working Group  
FROM: The Honorable Carrie Downey, Chair, EMP Working Group  
SUBJECT: LAND MANAGEMENT PROJECTS APPLICATIONS REVIEW

MEMBER AGENCIES

- Cities of
- Carlsbad
- Chula Vista
- Coronado
- Del Mar
- El Cajon
- Encinitas
- Escondido
- Imperial Beach
- La Mesa
- Lemon Grove
- National City
- Oceanside
- Poway
- San Diego
- San Marcos
- Santee
- Solana Beach
- Vista
- and
- County of San Diego

ADVISORY MEMBERS

- Imperial County
- California Department of Transportation
- Metropolitan Transit System
- North San Diego County Transit Development Board
- United States Department of Defense
- San Diego Unified Port District
- San Diego County Water Authority
- Mexico

On January 10, 2006, the EMP Working Group appointed a selection committee to review the land management project applications. On January 20, copies of the 16 applications were sent to each committee member, who ranked them according to the criteria approved by the EMP Working Group in October 2005.

The selection committee (Bruce April, David Mayer, Jerre Stallcup, Mary Jo Wilson, Susan Wynn, and I) met on January 31. Individual rankings were discussed; then a summary score was calculated based upon final rankings. Project summary scores are shown on the attached spreadsheet.

The committee then reviewed the individual funding requests. In some cases the entire request is being recommended for funding. In other cases, the committee is recommending a reduced amount. This was to allow a more diverse group geographically and jurisdictionally to receive funding, as well as to fund the most pressing needs in this first year. It is the committee's hope that projects that did not receive any funding or their full requested amount will resubmit for next year's funding when the funding process has been approved for the out years of the EMP. The top-ranked projects being recommended to receive funding are summarized below.

The selection committee is recommending that the EMP Working Group forward to the Regional Planning Committee the following projects for funding:

**1. Multiple Public and Private Properties with Vernal Pool Resources located in the City and County of San Diego**

The City and County of San Diego, along with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, jointly submitted a project to manage 2,905 acres of property with vernal pool resources. The properties will be managed by the City of San Diego Park and Recreation Department Open Space Division, the City of San Diego Water Department, and the County of San Diego Department of Parks and Recreation.

Land management activities proposed include invasive control, de-thatching, reshaping vernal pool basins, fencing, and reintroduction of historic populations of sensitive species.

The application is for \$429,276. The committee is recommending funding only \$264,006 because of the extent of need as generated by the other applications.

## **2. Lakeside Linkage (a/k/a Lakeside Archipelago)**

The County of San Diego Department of Parks and Recreation is proposing to manage 121.4 acres they own in the Lakeside area. These lands were acquired to contribute to the County of San Diego acquisition goal as part of the Multiple Species Conservation Program South County Subarea Plan.

Coastal sage scrub habitat is being degraded by illegal access, particularly by motorized vehicles such as motorcycles. The County is requesting \$70,750 to control illegal access points through fencing, gating, and signage. Funding also will be used to haul away illegally-dumped material. The committee is recommending funding the entire request for \$70,750.

## **3. Former Shinohara Parcel, San Diego National Wildlife Refuge**

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the San Diego National Wildlife Refuge jointly submitted this application. They are proposing to restore vernal pools on the 31 acre Shinohara site. This would include de-thatching, collecting seeds, re-contouring the pools, applying herbicide, mapping areas for pool re-contouring, establishing permanent monitoring transects, and initiating the monitoring program.

They requested \$10,000 for a contingency fund; for a total of \$118,000. The committee is recommending funding the work, not the contingency fund, for a total of \$108,000.

## **4. Manchester Habitat Conservation Area**

The City of Encinitas submitted this application on behalf of the Center for Natural Lands Management, the owner and manager of this 123-acre former mitigation bank.

They are requesting funds for erosion control of areas with sparse vegetation (such as abandoned trails), steep slopes with little vegetation, gullying caused by heavy rains, generally loose soil types, and former agricultural areas. Native plants will be planted and a hydrology study will include designing erosion control alternatives for the larger erosion problem at the preserve.

The committee does not recommend that the project's contingency and overhead costs be funded at the level requested; therefore, they recommend that \$52,744 be funded rather than the \$54,944 being requested.

## **5. North Shore Lake Hodges: Bernardo Mountain, Lake Hodges/Walsh TET Property**

This project was submitted by the San Dieguito River Park Joint Powers Authority (JPA) to manage 337.5 acres of land owned by the JPA, the San Dieguito River Valley Conservancy, and The Environmental Trust.

They are requesting \$50,000 to rehabilitate existing habitat, control erosion, remove invasive species, clear for firebreaks, install and repair signs, remove trash, repair trails, and conduct biological surveys. They also are proposing to purchase a "mini-excavator" to reduce man-hours and minimize the use of labor crews. They are proposing to share the mini-excavator with other land management organizations or agencies which could benefit from its use. The committee recommends fully funding this request for \$50,000.

#### **6. Wright's Field MSCP Preserve**

This project was submitted by the Back Country Land Trust of San Diego County, who holds fee title to 230 acres of land and has exercised an option to purchase 142 acres of land. Their proposal is to fence the accessible areas of the Wright's Field Preserve from unauthorized off-road vehicle traffic, including 4,500 lineal feet of road frontage.

For \$39,000, the Trust is proposing to erect fencing and gates to provide additional protection of the preserve and its sensitive native grasslands, Engelmann oak woodlands, vernal pools, riparian, and coastal sage scrub habitats. Wrights Field is known to host 12 of the 85 MSCP-covered species. The committee recommends fully funding this request for \$39,000.

#### **7. Crest Canyon and Adjacent Southern Maritime Chaparral Habitat**

This project was submitted by the property owner, the City of San Diego Park and Recreation Department Open Space Division. They are requesting \$23,000 to install a fence to protect *Dudleya brevifolia*, which is known only from a handful of sites in North Coastal San Diego and is a California state-listed endangered species that is being negatively impacted by trespassing and invasive plants.

The applicant also is requesting \$115,000 for invasive species removal, for a total request of \$138,000. The selection committee is recommending that only the fence be funded at this time for \$23,000.

#### **8. Indian Head Canyon Area and Rancho Carrillo**

Doug Gibson, Director, San Elijo Lagoon Conservancy, submitted this application on behalf of the Carlsbad Watershed Network, a consortium of non-profit organizations, seven cities in north-coastal San Diego County, the County of San Diego, various federal, state, and local agencies, and members of the academic community. Indian Head Canyon is 10 acres located in the City of Encinitas; Rancho Carrillo is 20 acres, located in the City of Carlsbad.

The conservancy is proposing to outreach to the land owners in the area, treat pepperweed and artichoke thistle, cut or treat non-native invasive annuals before they set seed, then treat the *Arundo*, pampas grass, German ivy, tamarisk, and castor bean, reduce biomass, and install native vegetation where appropriate. They are requesting \$142,500. The committee is recommending fully funding this request.

The recommended funding of these eight projects totals \$750,000; which is the amount the SANDAG Board of Directors authorized be spent on land management projects within the FY 2006 EMP Regional Habitat Conservation Fund.



January 31, 2006

**EMP WORKING GROUP SELECTION COMMITTEE  
SUMMARY OF LAND MANAGEMENT PROJECT EVALUATIONS**

<b>Project</b>	<b>Summary Score</b>	<b>Rank</b>	<b>Funding Requested</b>	<b>Recommended Funding</b>	<b>General Land Management Activities to be done</b>
1. City and County of San Diego – Vernal Pools	97.8	1	\$ 429,276	\$ 264,006	Invasive control, dethatching, fencing, reshaping VPs, reintroduction
2. County of San Diego – Lakeside Linkages	91.0	2	\$ 70,750	\$ 70,750	Fencing, access control, trash removal, signage
3. US Fish and Wildlife Refuge System – Vernal Pools	90.0	3	\$ 118,000	\$ 108,000	Invasive control, dethatching, seed collection, reshaping VPs, reintroduction
4. City of Encinitas – Manchester Mitigation Bank	89.0	4	\$ 54,944	\$ 52,744	Erosion control, hydrology study
5. San Dieguito River Park JPA – Lake Hodges/Bernardo Mountain	88.5	5	\$ 50,000	\$ 50,000	Habitat rehabilitation, invasive & erosion control, bio surveys, trail maint
6. Back Country Land Trust – Wrights Field	87.0	6	\$ 39,000	\$ 39,000	Fencing
7. City of San Diego – Crest Canyon	77.0	7	\$ 138,000	\$ 23,000	Access Control to protect sensitive resources
8. San Elijo Lagoon Conservancy – Indian Head Canyon/Rancho Carillo (Encinitas and Carlsbad)	75.5	8	\$ 142,500	\$ 142,500	Invasive control, re-vegetation
9. County of San Diego – Lusardi Creek	74.6	9	\$ 185,500	NA	
10. City of San Diego – Otay River Valley	71.9	10	\$ 636,000	NA	
11. City of Carlsbad – Brodiaea Preserve	69.4	11	\$ 25,000	NA	
12. City of San Marcos – Fry Vernal Pools Weeding	67.2	12	\$ 4,687	NA	
13. City of San Marcos – Fry Vernal Pools Fencing	67.2	12	\$ 12,995	NA	
14. City of Carlsbad – Calavera Hills	65.5	13	\$ 50,000	NA	
15. City of San Marcos – Universal Boot Vernal Pools	58.2	14	\$ 13,256	NA	
16. City of Encinitas – El Camino Real Channel Maintenance	53.0	15	\$ 15,000	NA	
			\$ 1,984,908	\$ 750,000	

Shaded rows indicate land management projects funded for FY 2006





# Agenda Item No.: 4

401 B Street, Suite 800  
San Diego, CA 92101-4231  
(619) 699-1900  
Fax (619) 699-1905  
www.sandag.org

February 14, 2006

3002700

TO: EMP Working Group  
FROM: Tom Oberbauer, Chair, Conserved Lands Database Subcommittee  
SUBJECT: Conserved Lands Database Objectives and Attributes

MEMBER AGENCIES

- Cities of
- Carlsbad
- Chula Vista
- Coronado
- Del Mar
- El Cajon
- Encinitas
- Escondido
- Imperial Beach
- La Mesa
- Lemon Grove
- National City
- Oceanside
- Poway
- San Diego
- San Marcos
- Santee
- Solana Beach
- Vista
- and
- County of San Diego

ADVISORY MEMBERS

- Imperial County
- California Department of Transportation
- Metropolitan Transit System
- North San Diego County Transit Development Board
- United States Department of Defense
- San Diego Unified Port District
- San Diego County Water Authority
- Mexico

At the January 10 EMP Working Group meeting, there was a presentation and discussion on the objectives and attributes for the conserved lands database. At this meeting, the working group suggested that a subcommittee be formed to review and finalize the attributes for this database. The following EMP Working Group members were appointed to the subcommittee: Mike Grim, Susan Wynn, Kathy Viatella, David Mayer, Bruce April, Keith Greer, Tom Oberbauer, and Robert Fisher. Jerre Stallcup, Conservation Biology Institute, was asked to participate as well.

The subcommittee met on January 25. Attached are the subcommittee's recommendations on the objectives and attributes for the conserved lands database.

We are asking the EMP Working Group to approve the subcommittee's recommendations and approve the attached objectives and attributes for the conserved lands database. This information will be used to prepare the scope of work and required contractual agreements with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. We anticipate that the contract for this work effort will be approved by the Regional Planning Committee and the SANDAG Board of Directors in March of this year.

TO/SC

Attachment

**Regional Conserved Lands Database  
for both NCCP Permitted Areas and Non-NCCP Permitted Areas  
for the San Diego Region**

**Objectives of the conserved lands database:**

- To provide a comprehensive inventory of land in the region that is conserved for the purpose of protecting open space and natural habitats, including lands inside and outside of NCCP areas;
- To assist in identifying gaps in conservation;
- To provide general information on the status of land management; and
- To assist in identifying land areas that need land management plans.

**Definition of conserved land to be included in the regional database:**

*Conserved lands are those lands that are legally conserved to:*

- *Protect natural habitats, species, and open space (including agricultural lands that are important components of the regional habitat preserve design);*
- *Contribute to the existing and planned regional habitat preserve system; and*
- *Manage to protect the open space or natural resources into the future.*

The conservation occurs through public or private acquisitions, conservation easements, land dedications, mitigation, mitigation banks, covenants, or other mechanisms that ensure the land will not be developed. In order to be part of the regional conserved lands database, lands must meet two of the three criteria identified above.

**Definition of conserved lands mapping unit:**

The geographic extent of each unit of conserved land to be mapped and tracked will be defined by the primary land owner.

# DRAFT

## Proposed Attributes for Conserved Lands Database

January 23, 2006

**Bold text** indicate proposed information (attributes) to be collected for each conserved land area.  
*Italicized text* indicate the valid values for the attribute, a pick list, no free form entry of information.

**Status** (*Conserved, Pending, Undergoing Restoration/Revegetation*)

**Tracking Number/Unique ID**

**Name of Conserved Land Area**

**Owner**

**Date of Conservation**

**Type of Conservation** (*Acquisition, Easement, Dedication, Covenant, Mitigation Bank, Restoration/Revegetation, In-Lieu Fees*)

**Management Responsibility** (*Federal, State, Local, Private, Non-Profit, Mixed, Unknown, Other*)

**Management Agency**

**APNs of Project** (automatically generated by spatial overlay)

**Acres** (automatically calculated from spatial data)

**Date Information Entered** (automatically generated by computer)

**Date Information Modified** (automatically generated by computer)

**Information Source**

**Name of Preserve Unit**

**Generalized Land Ownership** (*City, County, Other Local (Water/Sewer), State, Federal, Non-Profit, Land Conservancy, Homeowners Association, Other*)

**Does Property Have a Land Management Plan** (*Yes, In-Progress, No, Not Applicable*)

**Date of Land Management Plan**

**Dedicated Funding for Land Management** (*Yes, No*)

**Type of Management Funding** (*Endowment, Annual Appropriation, Mixed, Other*)

**Contact Name of Land Manager**

**Contact Address of Land Manager**

**Contact Phone Number of Land Manager**

**Contact E-mail Address of Land Manager**