MEETING NOTICE
AND AGENDA

SHORELINE PRESERVATION WORKING GROUP
The Shoreline Preservation Working Group may take action on any item appearing on this agenda.

Thursday, September 1, 2005
11:30 a.m. – 1 p.m.

SANDAG, 7th Floor
401 B Street, Suite 800
San Diego, CA 92101-4231

Staff Contact: Shelby Tucker
(619) 699-1916
stu@sandag.org

SANDAG offices are accessible by public transit. Phone 1-800-COMMUTE or see www.sdcommute.com for route information.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), SANDAG will accommodate persons who require assistance in order to participate in SANDAG meetings. If such assistance is required, please contact SANDAG at (619) 699-1900 at least 72 hours in advance of the meeting.

To request this document or related reports in an alternative format, please call (619) 699-1900, (619) 699-1904 (TTY), or fax (619) 699-1905.
SHORELINE PRESERVATION WORKING GROUP
Thursday, September 1, 2005

ITEM # | ACTION
--- | ---
1. Welcome and Introductions | 
2. Public Comments/Communications | COMMENTS
   Members of the public will have the opportunity to address the Shoreline Preservation Working Group during this time.

CONSENT ITEMS (Items 3 - 6)

3. Summary of the June 2, 2005 Meeting | APPROVE
   The June 2, 2005 meeting summary is enclosed. The SPWG should review and approve.

4. Shoreline Preservation Working Group Charter | APPROVE
   At the June 2nd meeting, the SPWG reviewed the draft charter. The attached charter describes the group’s purpose, line of reporting, responsibilities, membership, and other details.

5. SANDAG Committees and Working Groups | INFORMATION
   At the June 2nd meeting, the SPWG requested a list of SANDAG’s standing committees and working groups. In July, the Board of Directors approved the recommendations from the Executive Committee’s annual review of the committees and working groups, this Board Report is attached for the SPWG’s information.

6. Beach Parking | INFORMATION
   At the June 2nd meeting, the SPWG requested information on fee structures for coastal cities. The City of San Diego had been exploring the option of charging for beach parking, the fee would be different depending on whether you were a resident or visitor.

REPORTS (Items 7 - 11)

7. Regional Beach Sand Project Shoreline Monitoring Results | INFORMATION
   SANDAG’s sand monitoring consultant, Coastal Frontiers, will report on the results of the fourth and final year of monitoring since the Regional Beach Sand Project was completed. The monitoring report is available on SANDAG’s Web site.
8. **Regional Beach Sand Project Biological Monitoring Results**

SANDAG’s biological resources monitoring consultant, AMEC Earth and Environmental, will report on the effects sand movement has had on nearshore resources since the Regional Beach Sand Project was completed. This is the fourth and final year of the biological resources monitoring, the report will be available on SANDAG’s Web site.

9. **Southern California Beach Processes Study**

Dr. Robert Guza from Scripps Institution of Oceanography will provide the Working Group with some information on the Southern California Beach Processes Study (SCBPS). The SCBPS is monitoring and modeling beach erosion, providing the knowledge base for more effective local and regional beach management. SCBPS measures regional beach width and volume changes semiannually with an airborne system and monitors small focus regions monthly with ground-based methods.

9. **Sand Compatibility and Opportunistic Use Program (SCOUP)**

Chris Webb from Moffatt and Nichol will provide a status report on the SCOUP project. The SCOUP plan is final and out for public review, and its environmental document is in the public review period. Additionally, staff will provide the Working Group with an update on the preparation of the additional environmental document.

10. **Legislative Update**

The CalCoast representative will discuss the status of state and federal legislation, including SB 153 and SB 658. The SANDAG Executive Committee sent a letter regarding SB 153 to the State Legislative Delegation from San Diego in August 2005, a copy of the letter is attached for your review.

11. **Adjournment and Next Meeting**

The next SPWG meeting will be held on Thursday, November 3, 2005 from 11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM #</th>
<th>ACTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>Regional Beach Sand Project Biological Monitoring Results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SANDAG’s biological resources monitoring consultant, AMEC Earth and Environmental, will report on the effects sand movement has had on nearshore resources since the Regional Beach Sand Project was completed. This is the fourth and final year of the biological resources monitoring, the report is available on SANDAG’s Web site.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>UCSD Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dr. Robert Guza from Scripps Institution of Oceanography will provide the Working Group with some information on the Southern California Beach Processes Study (SCBPS). The SCBPS is monitoring and modeling beach erosion, providing the knowledge base for more effective local and regional beach management. SCBPS measures regional beach width and volume changes semiannually with an airborne system and monitors small focus regions monthly with ground-based methods.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>Sand Compatibility and Opportunistic Use Program (SCOUP)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chris Webb from Moffatt and Nichol will provide a status report on the SCOUP project. The SCOUP and its environmental document are final and out for public review. Additionally, staff will provide the Working Group with an update on the preparation of the additional environmental document.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+10.</td>
<td>Legislative Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The CalCoast representative will discuss the status of state and federal legislation, including SB 153 and SB 658. The SANDAG Executive Committee sent a letter regarding SB 153 to the State Legislative Delegation from San Diego in August 2005, a copy of the letter is attached for your review.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>Adjournment and Next Meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The next SPWG meeting will be held on Thursday, November 3, 2005 from 11:30 a.m. to 1 p.m.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DATE: August 8, 2005
TO: Shoreline Preservation Working Group
FROM: SANDAG Staff
SUBJECT: June 2, 2005 Meeting Summary

Members in Attendance
- Councilmember Ann Kulchin, City of Carlsbad, Chair
- Councilmember James Bond, City of Encinitas, Vice-Chair
- Councilmember Carrie Downey, City of Coronado
- Councilmember Jerry Finnell, City of Del Mar
- Supervisor Pam Slater Price, County of San Diego

Advisory Members
- August Felando, Calif. Lobster & Trap Fisherman’s Assn.
- Marilyn Fluharty, California Dept. of Fish and Game
- Robert Hoffman, NOAA Fisheries Service
- Mitch Perdue, U.S. Navy
- Dedi Ridenour, Sierra Club
- Robert Smith, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
- Kim Sterrett, CA Dept. of Boating and Waterways

Staff Working Group
- Ray Duncan, City of Oceanside
- Steven Jantz, City of Carlsbad
- Eileen Maher, Port of San Diego
- Danny L. Schrotberger, City of San Diego
- Kathy Weldon, City of Encinitas

Others
- Kathleen Beard, Old Pacific Realty
- John Campbell, City of Carlsbad
- Dick Erhardt, City of Carlsbad
- Teri Fenner, EDAW
- Marianne Greene, City of San Diego
- Sachiko Kohatsu, County of San Diego
- Brian Leslie, City of Encinitas
- Angela Lockhart, City of Carlsbad
- John Metz, Oceanside Harbor & Beach Advisory Comm.
- David Oakley, Encinitas Seacoast Preservation Assn.
- Barry Snyder, AMEC
- Susan Steele, Encinitas Seacoast Preservation Assn.
- Chris Webb, Moffat & Nichol
- Michelle Woo, AMEC
- Kristin Green, SANDAG
- Rob Rundle, SANDAG
- Shelby Tucker, SANDAG
1. **Welcome and Introductions**
   Councilmember Kulchin welcomed everyone and conducted the meeting.

2. **The Meeting Summary for June 2, 2005**
   Meeting summary from the June 2, 2005 meeting was approved.

3. **Public Comment and Communication**
   Rob Rundle announced that intern Kristin Green is leaving SANDAG, and thanked her for her work over the past year.

4. **Update on the Port of San Diego Dredging**
   Eileen Maher, The Port of San Diego, updated the Working Group with a power point on their dredging project, which placed sand on Imperial Beach. The dredging project is in conjunction with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and dredged the main navigation channel in San Diego bay. Benefits of the project include better utilization of terminals by vessels that can partially unload in LA, and then finish unloading in San Diego, thus reducing truck traffic between LA and San Diego. The project dredged three to four barges of sand a day and placed 421,000 cubic yards of sand on Imperial Beach south of the pier at a cost of two million dollars.

   The Working Group asked if the project would harm the kelp beds that lie south of the Imperial Beach pier. Eileen Maher said that during dredging and dumping, they took samples, and although she has not seen a final report, she has not seen harm to the kelp beds.

   The Working Group then inquired as to whether or not the sediment was clean from the dredging project. Eileen Maher stated that they conducted standard corps testing on all sediment and determined the material was suitable for open water disposal.

5. **Sand Compatibility and Opportunistic Use Program (SCOUPIP)**
   Chris Webb from Moffatt & Nichol provided the Working Group with a progress report on the SCOUPIP project: since the last meeting, staff went to Oceanside and presented the details of the program to their Harbor and Beaches Advisory Committee. The Committee was receptive to the SCOUPIP and to South Oceanside as the pilot project location. The final draft of the master plan has been completed and The Department of Boating and Waterways been reviewing it. Staff will take their comments and have a final draft by June, give it to the resources agencies that are part of the sediment Working Group and have their comments back on the master plan, and finalize it by July. The CEQA documents are under preparation, and will be in public review by August. The goal is to finish by the end of September, for Oceanside to certify.

   Staff updated the Working Group on the status of a proposed second environmental document. During the April 7 Shoreline Preservation Working Group meeting, several jurisdictions requested to be considered for inclusion in the SCOUPIP CEQA document. Boating and Waterways indicated that a separate CEQA document is necessary for the additional sites. Staff obtained approval to enter into an agreement with Moffatt & Nichol to prepare the additional CEQA document. The cities of Coronado, Imperial Beach, Encinitas, and Solana Beach have expressed their interest in moving forward with the process. Any other interested
cities should let staff know by July 1st. The cost estimate is $10,000 per site; however, this amount may be reduced depending on the number of sites, and on whether or not Boating and Waterways will be able to provide a match for the cities’ funds.

Rob Rundle added that since we will not know if Boating and Waterways will be cost sharing, SANDAG needs cities to commit to the full amount, however, cities should understand that there is possibility that they may be reimbursed.

The Working Group asked for reassurance that if cities pay up front, boating and waterways will not automatically decide that they do not need to fund the project. Rob Rundle stated that since the initial offer came from the state, then he thinks that it is good faith and that they would follow through.

The Working Group asked whether or not SCOUP is time specific. Teri Fenner from EDAN responded by saying that it is time specific and that there will be a five year time period with a maximum amount in a calendar year. It anticipates a small placement in the first couple of years with a monitoring program built in as well.

There was a question raised about the difference between the pilot program’s financing and the additional cities’ financing. Rob Rundle answered by stating that the grant we got from Boating and Waterways enabled us to do one pilot, which is Oceanside. The additional cities will have to bear the $10,000. However, Oceanside will incur additional costs when they seek permitting and conduct monitoring.

7. Future of Shoreline Preservation Committee
Shelby Tucker announced that on May 13th, the Executive Committee approved the continuation of the Shoreline Preservation Committee with two changes: first, the name will be changed to the Shoreline Preservation Working Group, and second, the Working Group will report to the Regional Planning Committee and provide them with regular updates. At the last SPWG meeting there was some discussion regarding RPC representation on the SPWG, however, there was no discussion of RPC representation to the SPWG at the executive committee. There is some overlap, SPWG members Scott Peters, City of San Diego, also sits on the RPC. Pam Slater, County of San Diego, is a SPWG member, and also an alternate on the RPC.

Councilmember Kulchin announced that Shelby will be taking over for Rob at the Shoreline Preservation Working Group, and moved the meeting forward to agenda item 8.

8. Shoreline Preservation Working Group Charter
Staff announced that the Executive Committee has requested that each Working Group create a charter that describes that group’s purpose, line of reporting, responsibilities, membership, and other details. Attached in the agenda packet is a copy of the draft charter that has been created for the Shoreline Preservation Working Group. Staff encourages your comments and suggestions, charters need to be completed by June 30th.

The point was raised that the beach is a regional issue. In the summer months, people from the entire county use the beach, placing a disproportionate strain on coastal communities to
supply facilities and maintain them. Should there be a regional effort to get revenue from the whole county to support the beach?

The comment was made that the beach creates major traffic impacts and we have yet to provide reasonable transportation to the beach, even if it is only during the summer months. We should look at how to get people to the beach through mass transportation. Rob Rundle stated that there are transportation improvements in the coastal zone through the TransNet ordinance, and SANDAG started meeting with the coastal commission regarding transportation issues. They will require SANDAG to look at transit opportunities rather than road expansion.

The question was raised as to whether there is any benefit to a member from the Shoreline Working Group going to the Regional Planning Committee once a month and doing a two minute update for them. Rob Rundle responded that staff already plans to make regular updates. A Working Group member suggested that she would like a person’s name on the committee who she could call if she had any questions. Rob Rundle stated that the chair of the committee is Lori Holt Pfeiler from Escondido, Working Group members can contact her.

9. **Legislative Update**

Staff updated the Working Group on the status of state and federal legislation. SB 658, sponsored by Calcoast, and would enable counties to add a motor vehicle registration fee of $6 to create funds for coastal projects. It passed the senate and is now in the assembly. Park and Water bond, AB 1269, was in appropriations last week and will be a two year bill. However this bill is being held back in favor of another park and water bond, SB 153, which passed senate appropriations last week.

10. **Next Meeting Date**

The next meeting was scheduled for August 4th, however because August is usually vacation time for city employees, the Working Group agreed to reschedule the next meeting for September 1st.

11. **Announcements**

Kathy Weldon announced that Encinitas hired Phil King to calibrate their beach counters along with Carlsbad’s. Carlsbad’s counts will possibly come out in November. Some of Carlsbad’s beach survey includes questions such as, “why did you choose Carlsbad, and if there were no beaches would you still come here?” and “How did you get to the beach?” Carlsbad is trying to get an understanding of the importance of the beach to attracting people to the city.

There will be a June 22nd meeting at 9 a.m. to noon on new methods of sand replenishment and financing on the third floor of the board of supervisor’s chambers.

The City of San Diego is proposing a parking fee for non-San Diego residents and asked the Working Group if it was legal to have a different parking fee for non residents. Staff said that they would check into that.

11. **Adjourn**

The meeting was adjourned. Next meeting will be on September 1, 2005.
Shoreline Preservation Working Group Charter

Purpose
The Shoreline Preservation Working Group (Working Group) was formed as a committee in the 1980s and currently advises the Regional Planning Committee on issues related to the implementation of the Shoreline Preservation Strategy (Strategy) adopted in 1993. The Strategy proposes an extensive beach building and maintenance program for the critical shoreline erosion areas in the region. It contains a comprehensive set of recommendations on the beach building program and on financing and implementation. The Working Group has technical expertise and background knowledge of regional shoreline issues, which is useful in applying the principles and goals laid out in the Strategy and SANDAG’s Regional Comprehensive Plan (adopted in 2004). Continuing to support the region’s ongoing and future beach nourishment efforts is a top priority for the Working Group. Additionally, in 1996, SANDAG enacted a shoreline monitoring program and the Working Group will continue to oversee and implement this program.

Guiding Principles
- Commitment to unified approach for local decisions on sand replenishment
- Address local needs and maximize positive regional impacts
- Encourage cooperation and coordination
- Promote opportunities for beach sand replenishment

Line of Reporting
The Shoreline Preservation Working Group, established by the Board of Directors, advises the Regional Planning Committee (RPC) on issues relating to the implementation of the Shoreline Preservation Strategy and the Regional Comprehensive Plan. Based on the Working Group’s input, the RPC makes policy recommendations to the SANDAG Board of Directors. Regular updates on Working Group activities should be made to the Regional Planning Committee to update them on current programs and projects and further strengthen the connection between the two groups.

Responsibilities
The Shoreline Preservation Working Group’s main responsibilities are to make recommendations to the RPC on issues related to the implementation of the adopted Shoreline Preservation Strategy and Regional Comprehensive Plan, focusing on future beach nourishment opportunities and the shoreline monitoring program.

Membership
The Shoreline Preservation Working Group has 11 voting members, which are elected officials from coastal cities and a representative from the San Diego Unified Port District and the U.S. Navy. Additionally, the Working Group has several advisory members which are representatives from community groups and organizations, environmental groups, state and federal agencies, and other interested stakeholders. Voting members of the Working Group and their alternates are selected by the bodies they represent. Non-voting members of the Working Group are also selected by the bodies they represent and are categorized as either Technical or Community Advisors and provide added knowledge and input to the Working Group. In the event of a lack of participation by a member of the Working Group or the group/agency the member represents, the Regional Planning Committee may approve allowing the Working Group to modify the membership roster in order to achieve a quorum and full participation.
**Meeting Time and Location**

The Shoreline Preservation Working Group meetings are held bimonthly at 11:30 a.m. on the first Thursday. Meetings are normally held in the 7th floor conference room at the SANDAG offices.

**Selection of the Chair**

Selection of the Shoreline Preservation Working Group’s Chair and Vice-Chair is done simultaneously and begins with recommendations made by Working Group members. The final decision is then made based on a vote of the Group’s voting members.

**Duration of Existence**

The Shoreline Preservation Working Group was originally created as a committee in the 1980s. The Working Group’s current status is that of a standing working group. An evaluation of the group’s work will be conducted annually as part of the SANDAG Executive Committee’s annual committee/working group review process.
QUARTERLY REPORT ON SANDAG COMMITTEES AND WORKING GROUPS

Introduction

SANDAG Board Policy No. 004 requires an informational report be provided to the Board of Directors on a quarterly basis concerning the status of all standing and ad hoc committees and working groups that report to SANDAG’s Policy Advisory Committees (PACs). With the exception of the Executive Committee, each PAC has a number of different committees and working groups that report to it. The groups advise the PACs on a variety of projects and issues related to SANDAG’s Overall Work Program and Capital Improvement Program.

This item summarizes the status of the 25 current committees and working groups that report to the Transportation, Regional Planning, Borders, and Public Safety Committees. Attachment 1 depicts the relationship between each of the PACs and their related committees and working groups. Attachment 2 lists the membership, responsibilities, year established, frequency of meetings, and status of each of these groups.

Discussion

In February and May, the Executive Committee conducted its first annual review of SANDAG’s existing committees and working groups. The purpose of the annual review is to determine the need to maintain each lower-level committee or working group and to approve any revisions in functions or membership. At its May 13, 2005, meeting, the Executive Committee approved revisions to the Shoreline Preservation Working Group (formerly known as the Shoreline Preservation Committee), the Regional Housing Working Group (formerly known as the Regional Housing Task Force), and the Energy Working Group, and dissolved two groups: the San Diego High-Speed Rail Task Force and the Border Energy Issues Group. The Executive Committee approved the continuation of all of SANDAG’s remaining committees and working groups.

GARY L. GALLEGOS
Executive Director

Attachments: 1. Policy Advisory Committees and Related Working Groups
2. List of Committees and Working Groups

Key Staff Contact: Kim Kawada, (619) 699-6994, kka@sandag.org
AD HOC
STANDING
ELECTED OFFICIALS

Board of Directors

Borders Committee

Committee on Binational Regional Opportunities

I-15 IRP Technical Working Group
STANDING ELECTED OFFICIALS

Board of Directors

Public Safety Committee

Chiefs'/Sheriff's Management Committee

ARJIS

- Business Working Group
- Crime Analysis Working Group
- Technical Working Group
- Users Working Group
### LIST OF COMMITTEES AND WORKING GROUPS

#### TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMITTEE OR WORKING GROUP NAME</th>
<th>MEMBERSHIP</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITIES</th>
<th>YEAR ESTABL.</th>
<th>CURRENT STATUS</th>
<th>STATUS OF CHARTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Bayshore Bikeway Working Group</td>
<td>Supervisor Cox (County), Mayor Rose (Imperial Beach), Councilmember Monroe (Coronado), Deputy Mayor Zucchet (San Diego), Councilmember Zarate (National City), Councilmember McCann (Chula Vista), and representatives from the Port of San Diego and the bicycling community.</td>
<td>Reviews and supports improvements to the Bayshore Bikeway.</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Will terminate upon project completion</td>
<td>☑ completed June 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bicycle-Pedestrian Advisory Working Group</td>
<td>Staff from local jurisdictions, Caltrans, MTS, NCTD, Port of San Diego, San Diego County Bicycle Coalition, North County Cycle Club, and Walk San Diego</td>
<td>Makes bicycle and pedestrian funding recommendations and reviews non-motorized transportation issues.</td>
<td>1970s</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>☑ completed May 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Cities/County Transportation Advisory Committee (CTAC)</td>
<td>Public works directors from local jurisdictions and staff from Caltrans, MTS, and NCTD</td>
<td>Makes arterial project funding recommendations and reviews arterial planning and project issues.</td>
<td>1993</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>☑ completed June 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Regional Fare Structure Working Group</td>
<td>NCTD, MTS, and other transit operator staffs</td>
<td>Assists in developing Regional Comprehensive Fare Ordinance, conducts annual evaluation of regional transit fare structure, and develops recommendations for fare changes as part of the annual transit agency budget process.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>Scheduled for an upcoming meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE OR WORKING GROUP NAME</td>
<td>MEMBERSHIP</td>
<td>RESPONSIBILITIES</td>
<td>YEAR ESTABL.</td>
<td>CURRENT STATUS</td>
<td>STATUS OF CHARTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Regional Short Range Transit Plan (SRTP) Working Group</td>
<td>NCTD, MTS, and other transit operator staffs</td>
<td>Assists in developing annual Regional SRTP</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>Scheduled for Fall 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 San Diego Region Conformity Working Group</td>
<td>Staff from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), FHWA, FTA, California Air Resources Board (ARB), Caltrans, and San Diego Air Pollution Control District (APCD)</td>
<td>Reviews and comments on RTP/RTIP transportation conformity and State Implementation Plan development or updates.</td>
<td>1994</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>☑ completed May 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 San Diego Regional Traffic Engineers Council (SANTEC)</td>
<td>Traffic engineers from local jurisdictions, Caltrans, and staff from MTS and NCTD</td>
<td>Makes traffic signal project funding recommendations and reviews traffic engineering issues</td>
<td>1989</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>☑ completed June 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Subcommittee for Accessible Transportation (SCAT)</td>
<td>Staff from social service agencies, SANDAG, MTS, and NCTD, and citizens</td>
<td>Advises on senior/disabled transit services and planning issues</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>Scheduled for September 2005 meeting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TRANSPORTATION COMMITTEE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMITTEE OR WORKING GROUP NAME</th>
<th>MEMBERSHIP</th>
<th>RESPONSIBILITIES</th>
<th>YEAR ESTABL.</th>
<th>CURRENT STATUS</th>
<th>STATUS OF CHARTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10 Transit Access Advisory Committee</td>
<td>Interested citizens representing various organizations involved in the American with Disabilities Act (ADA)</td>
<td>Reviews and advises on transit capital improvement projects for ADA compliance</td>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>Scheduled for July 2005 meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Mid-Coast Working Group</td>
<td>Staff from the City of San Diego and its City Council offices; community groups; business and property owners; University of California, San Diego (UCSD)</td>
<td>Advises on project-related planning and environmental issues</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Expected to begin meeting in late 2005. Will terminate upon completion of environmental phase (2008)</td>
<td>Scheduled for an upcoming meeting in late 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Regional Car Sharing Working Group</td>
<td>Staff from the City of San Diego, NCTD, MTS, Caltrans, and the San Diego Economic Development Corporation (SDEDC)</td>
<td>Advises on project development issues</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Will terminate upon project completion (2006)</td>
<td>☑ completed June 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE OR WORKING GROUP NAME</td>
<td>MEMBERSHIP</td>
<td>RESPONSIBILITIES</td>
<td>YEAR ESTABL.</td>
<td>CURRENT STATUS</td>
<td>STATUS OF CHARTER</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 Shoreline Preservation Working Group</td>
<td>Mayor Pro Tem Kulchin (Carlsbad), Mayor Pro Tem Janney and Mayor Rose (Imperial Beach), Councilmembers Tierney and Monroe (Coronado), Councilmember Peters (San Diego), Mayor Finnell and Deputy Mayor Crawford (Del Mar), Councilmembers Roberts and Kellejian (Solana Beach), Councilmember Bond (Encinitas), Supervisor Slater-Price (County), Councilmember Feller (Oceanside); representatives from resource agencies, DOD, Port of San Diego, Surfrider, Sierra Club, California Coastal Coalition, and California Lobster and Trap Fisherman Association</td>
<td>Advises on the implementation of the Shoreline Preservation Strategy adopted by SANDAG in 1993</td>
<td>1980s</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>Scheduled for September 2005 meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Regional Housing Working Group</td>
<td>Councilmember Atkins (San Diego), Mayor Pro Tem Campbell and Councilmember Ritter (Vista), Councilmember Davis (Chula Vista), Councilmember Rexford (Poway), Mayor Holt-Pfeiler (Escondido), Supervisor Horn (County), Councilmember Natividad (National City), Councilmember Preston (San Marcos), Councilmember Houlihan (Encinitas), and Councilmember Ramos (El Cajon); UCSD Connect; housing industry representatives and housing advocates</td>
<td>Serves as a forum for discussion of regional housing issues</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>Scheduled for July 2005 meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 Energy Working Group</td>
<td>Councilmember Abarbanel (Del Mar, representing North County Coastal), Mayor Madrid (La Mesa, representing East County), Mayor Pro Tem Campbell (Vista, representing North County Inland), Deputy Mayor Zuchet and Councilmember Frye (San Diego), Vacant (South Bay), Vacant (County); representatives from San Diego Gas &amp; Electric (SDG&amp;E), environmental groups, consumer advocates, small and large businesses, labor, academia, and economic development agencies.</td>
<td>Advises on the implementation of the Regional Energy Strategy adopted by SANDAG in 2003</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>On February 25, 2005, BOD approved continuing EWG work program.</td>
<td>☑ completed June 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE OR WORKING GROUP NAME</td>
<td>MEMBERSHIP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 Regional Planning Technical Working Group (TWG)</td>
<td>Planning directors from local jurisdictions, and staff from other agencies, including Port of San Diego, MTS, NCTD, County Water Authority (CWA), and Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 Regional Planning Stakeholders Working Group (SWG)</td>
<td>Interested citizens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 Regionwide Forecast Technical Working Group</td>
<td>Representatives of business, academia, and government agencies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONSIBILITIES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Advises on the development and implementation of the RCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advises on Regional Comprehensive Plan (RCP) implementation and RTP update issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review and evaluate the methods and assumptions contained in the Demographic and Economic Forecasting Model to help update the Regional Growth Forecast to the year 2030.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YEAR ESTABL.</th>
<th>CURRENT STATUS</th>
<th>STATUS OF CHARTER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>Standing</td>
<td>Scheduled for July 2005 meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Will terminate upon completion of RTP update (2007)</td>
<td>☑ completed March 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>Will terminate upon completion of forecast (Spring 2006)</td>
<td>☑ completed April 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE OR WORKING GROUP NAME</td>
<td>MEMBERSHIP</td>
<td>RESPONSIBILITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 Committee on Binational Regional Opportunities (COBRO)</td>
<td>Stakeholders from both sides of the border, including representatives from local jurisdictions, businesses, and academia</td>
<td>Advises on binational related planning and project development issues</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 I-15 IRP Technical Working Group</td>
<td>Staff from local jurisdictions in the San Diego and southwestern Riverside regions, Caltrans, RCTC, Riverside Transit Agency (RTA), economic development agencies, chambers of commerce, state legislative offices, and other interested parties</td>
<td>Advises I-15 IRP Policy Committee on implementation of recommended strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE OR WORKING GROUP NAME</td>
<td>MEMBERSHIP</td>
<td>RESPONSIBILITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 Chiefs’ / Sheriff’s Management Committee</td>
<td>Sheriff and police chiefs from around the region</td>
<td>Reviews, evaluates and oversees the SANDAG Automated Regional Justice Information System (ARJIS) and Criminal Justice work programs and budgets; oversees the ARJIS Business, Technical, Users and Crime Analyst Working Groups; and evaluates and recommends regional public safety initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 ARJIS Business Working Group</td>
<td>Appointed staff members from each agency represented on the Chiefs’ / Sheriff’s Management Committee</td>
<td>Provides advice to the Chiefs’ / Sheriff’s Management Committee on ARJIS matters relating to information sharing, business practices, and budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 ARJIS Crime Analysis Working Group</td>
<td>Appointed staff members from each agency represented on the Chiefs’ / Sheriff’s Management Committee</td>
<td>Provides advice to the Chiefs’ / Sheriff’s Management Committee on matters relating to the verification, validation, and analysis of regional public safety information and mandated federal reporting requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMMITTEE OR WORKING GROUP NAME</td>
<td>MEMBERSHIP</td>
<td>RESPONSIBILITIES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 ARJIS Technical Working Group</td>
<td>Appointed staff members from each agency represented on the Chiefs'/Sheriff's Management Committee</td>
<td>Provides advice to the Chiefs'/Sheriff's Management Committee on ARJIS matters relating to state-of-the-art information technology that enhances both officer and public safety</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 ARJIS Users Working Group</td>
<td>Appointed staff members from each agency represented on the Chiefs'/Sheriff's Management Committee</td>
<td>Provides advice to the Chiefs'/Sheriff's Management Committee on ARJIS matters relating to the operational capabilities and use of applications and technology initiatives</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TO: Shoreline Preservation Working Group  
FROM: SANDAG Staff  
SUBJECT: Non-residential beach parking fee for the City of San Diego

As requested during the June 2nd Shoreline Preservation Working Group meeting, staff has compiled research on the non-resident beach parking fee issue in the City of San Diego and cited similar examples from other public beaches in the United States. The City of San Diego originally proposed a $10 non-San Diego resident parking fee on its 63 public lots in the beach areas, while not charging San Diego residents for parking. Possible implementation measures included, issuing all residents stickers for their cars or checking entering automobiles driver's licenses. In a seven-to-one vote, the San Diego city council rejected this proposal on Tuesday, June 7th.

According to the Union Tribune, proponents argued the City of San Diego needs the $1.15 million of additional annual revenue, which would be earmarked for the Park and Recreation Department to maintain the beach areas. They claim that currently, non-beach users are subsidizing, through taxes, the free beach parking for those who use the beach. And similarly, non-resident visitors to San Diego city beaches are not paying for the maintenance of the facilities that they use.

The Union Tribune also cited critics claiming the fee will drive tourists away, congest coastal residential streets with redirected parking, and discriminate against those who do not live in San Diego. In addition, critics claim the fee program is too costly. A private firm may have to be hired to manage the parking, which would cost about half of the revenue generated.

After research of city and state governments that have implemented similar beach parking measures, staff compiled a list of four public beaches, although none of the four are an exact match of the City of San Diego’s proposal.

- Rhode Island charges different fees for out of state residents versus state residents based on the car’s license plate registration. Residents only pay half the price that out-of-state residents pay.
- In the Town of Orleans in Cape Cod, Massachusetts, residential beach parking is free, and residents are able to get a sticker for their car. Non-residents must pay a beach parking fee of $5 to $10 depending on the month.
• Volusia County Beaches in Florida, such as Daytona Beach, are county run beaches that charge a flat rate for daily parking passes for all visitors, but charge county residents half as much for annual passes as non-residents.

• The town of Huntington Beaches in Long Island, New York offers a seasonal beach permit to residents for $25, and charges day use fees of $10 for residents, while non-residents must pay $15.

Through staff’s research, no examples of residents and non-residents paying different prices for beach parking were found in California, and few were found nationally. In addition, the cases staff found were usually jurisdictions that were smaller than The City of San Diego.
August 9, 2005

Senator Denise Ducheny
State Capitol, Room 4081
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Senator Ducheny:

Subject: Senate Bill 153 California Clean Water, Safe Neighborhood Parks, and Coastal Protection Act of 2006 (Chesbro) “as amended July 11, 2005”

The Executive Committee of the San Diego Association of Governments (SANDAG) respectfully requests your assistance in amending Senate Bill (SB) 153 to reflect more equity for the funding needs in San Diego, particularly in the area of beach replenishment. In its current form, the bill funds the following three categories through general obligation bonds that total $3,865,000,000:

1. Neighborhood, Community and Regional Parks and Recreation Areas $1,740,000,000
2. State Parks and Wildlife Projection $1,300,000,000
3. Water Quality and Coastal Protection $825,000,000

The Executive Committee discussed SB 153 at their July 2005 meeting and expressed concern regarding the inequity of the proposed allocation for the potential bond proceeds. The primary concern is that the funding proposed for the Water Quality and Coastal Protection element of the bill is significantly less than the other two funding categories and probably does not adequately meet the needs of the State’s coastal communities and critical elements such as beach sand replenishment are not listed as an eligible category. In addition, there was concern as to why the specific earmark for the San Diego River Conservancy, included in the section 5096.720 (e) (9) was for $10 million when other conservancy earmarks were included at much higher levels.

The Committee took an official action to oppose this bill unless amended. We would be happy to discuss this with you in further detail at your earliest convenience. Your past actions demonstrate your understanding of the importance of coastal protection and the associated impact to the state’s economy through tourism and we look forward to working with you to address our concerns.

Sincerely,

Mickey Catana
Chairman, SANDAG Board of Directors

MC/ER/dah

cc: San Diego Delegation